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Abstract

The link between stock returns and economic growth has been an important research topic in the financial economic literature. The purpose of this study is to employ a threshold vector autoregressive (TVAR) approach in order to investigate the non-linear relationship between stock returns and output growth in the four East Asian countries: Taiwan, Japan, Korea, and Malaysia. The causality between the two under different stock returns regimes are also examined in this study. The empirical findings of this modest study indicate that there is an asymmetric relationship between stock returns and industrial production growth in the four East Asian countries. Finally, the findings from the generalized impulse response function of the VAR model reveal that each country’s stock market disturbance has a greater impulse effect on output growth under bad news regime than under good news regime.
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1. Introduction

There has been a substantial theoretical work on the link between stock returns and economic growth. Morck et al. (1990) review five existing theoretical links between the two variables, which arguably encompasses most of the main theories that have been put forward in this literature. In addition, the study also provides an excellent survey on most of the debate on the causal direction of the underlying relationship. Mauro (2003) suggests that through the wealth channel, the stock price developments can have a major impact on consumption pattern. Levine and Zervos (1996) conclude that the stock market contributes to a country’s economic growth by providing liquid capital, risk management to investors, and information on the movements of economic fundamentals.

On the other hand, several theoretical studies have provided conflicting predictions about the returns-growth association. Bhide (1993) indicates that the volatility of stock market may reduce the ability of the public to supervise on a company’s investment efficiency. In addition, the public may increase investment returns by speculating in the stock market; thus, the stock market development may be unfavorable to the economic growth. Obstfeld (1994) indicates that high financial market liquidity may increase investment returns and thus decrease saving rate due to substitution effect and income effect, which is unfavorable to the economic growth. Mauro (1995) finds that when the investment portfolio is taken into account, the development of stock market will decrease the public’s precautionary saving, which is also detrimental to the economic growth.

There is a substantial empirical work on the link between the stock returns and output growth. An incomplete list of the studies includes: Fama (1981, 1990), Wahlroos and Berglund (1986), Mullins and Wadhwani (1989), Schwert (1990), Canova and Nicolò (1995), Choi et al. (1999), Doong (2001), and Mauro (2003). Each has found that stock returns and various macroeconomic factors are, to varying degrees, correlated, using either U.S. or other international data. However, some studies provide evidence to the contrary including: Barro (1990), Stock and Watson (1990, 1998), Hu (1993), andBinswanger (2000). The causality relationship between stock returns and economic growth is also investigated in numerous empirical studies. Fama (1990), Schwert (1990), Canova and Nicolò (1995), Doong (2001), and Mauro (2003) conclude that an increase in stock market returns is an indication of an increase in industrial production growth rates.

Extensive empirical studies on the returns growth association have employed linear model methodology and concentrated mainly on three different directions, namely, by investigating (1) the channel through which the stock returns affect the economic growth, (2) the factors that influence the relationship between stock returns and output growth, and (3) the causality between the two. However, more recent findings in the business cycle literature have indicated that many macro time series can be
characterized by low-frequency, high-amplitude shocks. Because of the existence of these shocks, many macroeconomic time series display systematic asymmetric behavior over various phases of the business cycle. As a result, a linear dynamic model of stock market returns may produce a misleading specification of market performance. This suggests a need to develop a nonlinear model since any member of the wide class of standard linear models cannot represent the asymmetric nature of the business cycle adequately. Consequently, in recent years, there has been a growing interest in the application of nonlinear models for characterizing the cyclical time-series relationship between stock returns and output growth. An incomplete list of the nonlinear studies includes: Tamin (1988), Sichel (1993), Dolian and Louton (1995), Domian and Louton (1997), Filer et al. (1999), and Sarantis (2001). This study contributes to the existing literature in the following ways: (a) it improves upon past linear econometric methods by the use of vector autoregressive (VAR) and TVAR methodology to examine the correlation between stock returns and output growth and thereby permits us to shed additional evidence on the nonlinear relationship between the two, (b) a TVAR is a relatively simple and intuitive way to capture nonlinearity such as regime switching, and asymmetry implied by the theoretical models of stock returns and macroeconomic activity. Moreover, a TVAR allows stock returns regimes to switch, as a result of shocks, to other variables besides stock returns, so that stock returns regimes are themselves endogenous; thus, the threshold variable(s) in this study is determined by the model endogenously, (c) although previous empirical work on the relationship between stock returns and output growth has been concentrated on advanced countries and, to a less extent, on other developing countries, this relationship remains surprisingly unexplored in the case of East Asia countries despite the growing economic integration among these countries and their importance to the world economy. Considering this relative lack of regional research, this study therefore investigates the possible empirical association between stock returns and output growth in Taiwan, Japan, Korea, and Malaysia, and (d) the causality relationship between stock returns and output growth is examined in the context of VAR methodology. In addition, the impulse response function of the VAR methodology allows us to investigate the impact of the stock market disturbances on economic growth under different stock returns regimes.

2. Data Description and Stationary Test

In order to explore the empirical relationship between stock returns and economic growth in Taiwan, Japan, Korea, and Malaysia, monthly data for real stock price index and industrial production are taken from the AREMOS database of the Ministry of Education and the International Monetary Fund’s International Financial Statistics. Since there are no monthly data for GDP available for the above countries, the industrial production growth rate ($GP_t$) is served as a measure of GDP growth. This method is often used in many of the previous studies. Data on the real stock returns are obtained by transforming the raw data on real price index into logarithmic returns by the following method: $R_t = \ln(P_t/P_{t-1})*100$, where $P_t$ represents the real stock price index. There are 324 monthly observations on real stock returns and industrial production available over the period from January 1977 to December 2003 for Taiwan, 216 monthly observations from January 1986 to April 2003 for Japan, 196 monthly observations from January 1987 to April 2003 for Korea, and finally, 135 monthly observations from January 1987 to March 1998 for Malaysia.

The data for both of the empirical variables are seasonally adjusted according to the method suggested by Davidson et al. (1978). Following the conventional time series analysis approach, the ADF (Augmented Dickey and Fuller (1981)) test, PP (Phillips and Perron (1988)) test, and KPSS (Kwiatkowski, et al. (1992)) test are employed as a first step to examine the hypothesis of a unit root among the variables. If a unit root exists in each variable, Johansen (1995) cointegration test is applied to test for cointegration in a bivariate framework. The study then proceeds to examine the lag structure in order to obtain the optimal lag length for the unit root test. In the case of the ADF unit root test, the Akaike (1973) Information Criterion (AIC) technique is employed. The optimal lag lengths of PP and KPSS unit root test are based on Bartlett test (for greater details, see Newey and West (1994)). The resulting unit root tests indicate that the underlying series are stationary by rejecting the null hypothesis of the existence of a unit root among the variables; however, the series for real stock return for Japan and Malaysia are not stationary under the ADF unit root test at the 10% level of significance.

3. Empirical Model

In order to explore the lead-lag relationship between stock returns and output growth, the bivariate Granger causality via a VAR methodology is specified as follows:

$$GP_t = \alpha_0 + \sum_{i=1}^h \alpha_{2i}GP_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^h \alpha_{1i}R_{t-i} + \epsilon_{1i}$$

$$R_t = \beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^h \beta_{0i}GP_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^h \beta_{1i}R_{t-i} + \epsilon_{2i}$$

In the above framework, if the null hypothesis:
In equation (4), $q_i$ is the latent threshold variable. The lag term of the stock returns $R_{i,t-1}$, $i=1,2,\ldots,k$ serves as the threshold variable given that the aim of this study is to investigate the influence of the stock market disturbance on output growth. When $R_{i,t-1} \geq \gamma$, it implies that the stock returns are higher than the threshold value, which is designated as the “good news regime”. On the other hand, when $R_{i,t-1} < \gamma$, it implies that the stock returns are lower than the threshold value, which is designated as the “bad news regime.”

Before applying the TVAR model such as (4), we need to test for the threshold effects. In this case, it is analogous to test the null hypothesis of the linear VAR model against the alternative hypothesis of the nonlinear TVAR model such as (4). However, the conventional methods of hypothesis testing cannot be applied because of the difficulty with the threshold parameter $\gamma$ being unidentified under the null hypothesis. In this case, the underlying distribution of a large sample is not Chi-square distribution. Hansen (1996) proposes a solution for this particular problem and suggests that relevant tests should be conducted by transforming the large sample distribution function of the statistics through the use of the bootstrap procedure to obtain the asymptotic p-value of the large sample. Once the null hypothesis is established, the sampling distribution of the p-value statistics is uniformly distributed. This study employs the supremum LM statistics proposed by Hansen (1996) to test the null hypothesis that the threshold variable is unidentified. Assuming the threshold variable is $R_{i,t-1} \in [\gamma_L, \gamma_U]$), $i=1,2,\ldots,k$, and the statistics is:

$$\text{SupLM} = \sup_{LM(R_{i,t})}$$

(5)

$$\gamma_L \leq R_{i,t-1} \leq \gamma_U$$

As the asymptotic distribution of the statistics is unknown, the test statistics are computed through the simulation method. Refer to Hansen (1996) for an in-depth discussion of the method.

### 4. Empirical Results and Conclusion

The non-linear link between stock returns and output growth has been the subject of considerable interest and debate. While many published studies in recent years covered industrialized countries, a small number examined developing countries. The purpose of this study is to employ the newly developed threshold vector autoregressive methodology to investigate the non-linear relationship between industrial production growth rate and stock returns in Taiwan, Malaysia, Japan, and Korea.

The empirical results of this study indicate that there is an asymmetric relationship between stock returns and industrial production growth rate in the four East Asian countries. In Taiwan and Malaysia, when the stock returns are low (bad news regime), the rate of stock returns is a leading indicator of output growth. However, when the stock returns are high (good news regime), there is no correlation between the two. In the case of Japan and Korea, regardless of the stock returns regimes, the rate of stock returns is a leading indicator of output growth. The findings from the generalized impulse response
function of the VAR model reveal that each country’s stock market disturbance has a greater impulse effect on output growth under bad news regime than under good news regime.
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