Gaussian Mixture Noise Improving Nonlinear Multiple Signal Detection*

Yonguo Wang, Huan Li, Qiuyun Hu

College of Science, Nanjing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Nanjing, China

wyg@njupt.edu.cn

Abstract - Based on a nonlinear signal detector, stochastic resonance (SR) of noise-improved multiple signal detection is discussed for Gaussian mixture noise with different parameters. Signal transits from supra-threshold to sub-threshold when the threshold of the nonlinear signal detector is raised and noise transits from unimodal to bimodal when the parameter in Gaussian mixture noise increases, the occurrence of SR is studied in detail. The evolution of SR is a gradual process, which isn't affected completely by whether the signal is supra-threshold or sub-threshold, or by whether the noise is unimodal or bimodal. Based on computer simulation, for different fixed noise parameters and detector thresholds, the existence of SR shows a variety of circumstances and the detection efficacy can be improved rapidly when the sample number increases. These results show that the occurrence of SR depends strongly on the parameter in Gaussian mixture noise, the threshold of the nonlinear multiple signal detector and the sample number, and is their complex synergy.

Index Terms - multiple signal detection, probability of detection error, Gaussian mixture noise, stochastic resonance.

1. Introduction

Sometimes, noise can improve signal processing and information transmission in a nonlinear system. This phenomenon is called stochastic resonance (SR) [1-15], which is discovered firstly by R. Benzi et al. in 1981 [1]. Most studies of SR involve a sub-threshold signal (i.e., the signal cannot cross the system threshold without the assistance of noise) exciting a nonlinear system, which can produce a stronger beneficial response by adding suitable noise under certain conditions. In 2000, N. Stocks et al. explored firstly supra-threshold stochastic resonance (SSR) of noise-assisted supra-threshold signal (i.e., a continuously valued random signal) processing based on a summing network of threshold devices [16]. Without noise, the supra-threshold signal usually elicits the same response from each of the threshold devices. Noise often deteriorates supra-threshold signal transmission in a single system, however, when different noises are independently added on these devices of the summing network, they will produce distinct responses, when all these responses are summed, the overall response can be more efficient than the response in every threshold device, which shows that noise is beneficial to supra-threshold signal processing [16-29]. SSR extended the mechanism of SR. The study of SR and SSR has been an active field in the study of the effects played by noise and nonlinearity. People with great interest have studied the existence, theories, simulations, experiments and applications

of SR and SSR in different fields and from different aspects. SR and SSR are usually characterized by signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), mutual information (MI), cross-correlation coefficient (CCC), probability of detection (P_d), and so on [1-29]. The study of SR and SSR in binary signal detection has also received many attentions [6,12,13,25,28-48]. In [11], the problem of non-Gaussian noise improving binary signal detection has been preliminarily explored, for unimodal generalized Gaussian noise, only when the input signal is sub-threshold, the noise can enhance the signal detection and SR exists, however, for bimodal Gaussian mixture noise, not only when the input signal is sub-threshold, SR often exists, but also when the input signal is supra-threshold, SR sometimes exists, too. In [49], we discussed SR in nonlinear multiple signal detection for four representative unimodal noises (Uniform noise, Gaussian noise, Laplace noise and Cauchy noise) and obtained the similar result for unimodal generalized Gaussian noise in binary signal detection, namely, only when the signal is sub-threshold, noise can enhance the signal detection and SR exists. Here, we further discuss in detail SR in the nonlinear multiple signal detection for Gaussian mixture noise. The existence and the efficiency of SR rely intensely on noise parameter, detector threshold and the sample number, and is the result of their common action in nonlinear multiple signal detection.

2. Nonlinear Multiple Signal Detection

As in [49], s is a random ternary signal and takes the constant value s_{-1} (hypothesis H_{-1}), s_0 (hypothesis H_0) or s_1 (hypothesis H_1) with the equal prior probabilities $P_j = 1/3$ ($j = -1, 0, 1$). An independent noise θ is added to the original signal s . z is the output of a three-state nonlinearity

$$z = \begin{cases} -1, & s + \theta < -u \\ 0, & -u < s + \theta < u \\ 1, & s + \theta > u \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where u is an adjustable threshold. The ternary data set $\mathbf{Z} = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_N)$ is the new sample observation. Then the nonlinear sum statistic $z_s = \sum_{i=1}^N z_i$ assumes integer values between $-N$ and N . ($z_s \in \{-N, -N+1, \dots, 0, \dots, N-1, N\}$).

* This work is supported by NSF Grant #61179027 to Y.G. Wang.

The maximum a posterior probability (MAP) detector by the sum statistic z_s can be obtained based on the likelihood ratios

$$\frac{P_0 \cdot \Pr(z_s = n | H_0)}{P_{-1} \cdot \Pr(z_s = n | H_{-1})} \underset{H_{-1}, H_1}{\overset{H_0, H_1}{>}} 1, \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{P_1 \cdot \Pr(z_s = n | H_1)}{P_{-1} \cdot \Pr(z_s = n | H_{-1})} \underset{H_{-1}, H_0}{\overset{H_0, H_1}{>}} 1, \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{P_1 \cdot \Pr(z_s = n | H_1)}{P_0 \cdot \Pr(z_s = n | H_0)} \underset{H_{-1}, H_0}{\overset{H_{-1}, H_1}{>}} 1. \quad (4)$$

The minimal P_{er} of the MAP detector is

$$\begin{aligned} P_{er} &= \sum_{n=-N}^N [P_{-1} \cdot \Pr(z_s = n | H_{-1}) + P_0 \cdot \Pr(z_s = n | H_0) \\ &\quad + P_1 \cdot \Pr(z_s = n | H_1)] - \max\{P_{-1} \cdot \Pr(z_s = n | H_{-1}), \\ &\quad P_0 \cdot \Pr(z_s = n | H_0), P_1 \cdot \Pr(z_s = n | H_1)\} \\ &= \sum_{n=-N}^N \frac{3}{4} [P_{-1} \cdot \Pr(z_s = n | H_{-1}) + P_1 \cdot \Pr(z_s = n | H_1)] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} P_0 \cdot \Pr(z_s = n | H_0) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4} |P_{-1} \cdot \Pr(z_s = n | H_{-1}) - P_1 \cdot \Pr(z_s = n | H_1)| \\ &\quad - \left| \frac{1}{4} [P_{-1} \cdot \Pr(z_s = n | H_{-1}) + P_1 \cdot \Pr(z_s = n | H_1)] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{4} |P_{-1} \cdot \Pr(z_s = n | H_{-1}) - P_1 \cdot \Pr(z_s = n | H_1)| \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} P_0 \cdot \Pr(z_s = n | H_0) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{n=-N}^N [P_{-1} \cdot \Pr(z_s = n | H_{-1}) + P_1 \cdot \Pr(z_s = n | H_1)] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{n=-N}^N |P_{-1} \cdot \Pr(z_s = n | H_{-1}) - P_1 \cdot \Pr(z_s = n | H_1)| \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &- \sum_{n=-N}^N \left| \frac{1}{4} [P_{-1} \cdot \Pr(z_s = n | H_{-1}) + P_1 \cdot \Pr(z_s = n | H_1)] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{4} |P_{-1} \cdot \Pr(z_s = n | H_{-1}) - P_1 \cdot \Pr(z_s = n | H_1)| \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} P_0 \cdot \Pr(z_s = n | H_0) \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where (6) can be obtained via

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{a, b, c\} &= \max\{\max\{a, b\}, c\} \\ &= \max\left\{\frac{1}{2}(a+b) + \frac{1}{2}|a-b|, c\right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{4}(a+b) + \frac{1}{4}|a-b| + \frac{c}{2} \\ &\quad + \left| \frac{1}{4}(a+b) + \frac{1}{4}|a-b| - \frac{c}{2} \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Given the noise θ with the probability density function (PDF) $f(x)$ and the cumulative distribution function (CDF) $F(x)$. Then the conditional probabilities are

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(z_i = -1 | H_k) &= \Pr(\theta + k < -u) = \Pr(\theta < -u - k) \\ &= 1 - F(u + k) = \begin{cases} 1 - F(u - 1), & k = -1 \\ 1 - F(u), & k = 0 \\ 1 - F(u + 1), & k = 1 \end{cases}, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(z_i = 1 | H_k) &= \Pr(\theta + k > u) = \Pr(\theta > u - k) \\ &= 1 - F(u - k) = \begin{cases} 1 - F(u + 1), & k = -1 \\ 1 - F(u), & k = 0 \\ 1 - F(u - 1), & k = 1 \end{cases}, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(z_i = 0 | H_k) &= F(u + k) + F(u - k) - 1 \\ &= \begin{cases} F(u - 1) + F(u + 1) - 1, & k = -1 \\ 2F(u) - 1, & k = 0 \\ F(u + 1) + F(u - 1) - 1, & k = 1 \end{cases}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

For one sample ($N = 1$) special case, P_{er} in (7) is simplified as

$$\begin{aligned} P_{er} &= \frac{2}{3} - \frac{1}{6} F(u + 1) - \frac{1}{3} F(u) + \frac{1}{2} F(u - 1) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{6} |F(u - 1) + F(u + 1) - 2F(u)|. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

3. Gaussian Mixture Noise Improving Multiple Signal Detection

Gaussian mixture noise PDF with zero mean and two parameters (m, σ) is [12]

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(\sigma^2 - m^2)}} \left[\exp\left(-\frac{(x-m)^2}{2(\sigma^2 - m^2)}\right) + \exp\left(-\frac{(x+m)^2}{2(\sigma^2 - m^2)}\right) \right], \sigma > m. \quad (13)$$

And CDF is

$$\begin{aligned} F(x) &= \int_{-\infty}^x f(x) dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^x \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(\sigma^2 - m^2)}} \left[\exp\left(-\frac{(x-m)^2}{2(\sigma^2 - m^2)}\right) + \exp\left(-\frac{(x+m)^2}{2(\sigma^2 - m^2)}\right) \right] dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{x-m}{\sqrt{2(\sigma^2 - m^2)}}\right) + \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{x+m}{\sqrt{2(\sigma^2 - m^2)}}\right), \\ &\quad \sigma > m, -\infty < x < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

When $m = 0$, the Gaussian mixture noise is just Gaussian noise. Gaussian mixture noise models are widely used in ocean acoustics and sonar applications, and often used in the study of SR and SSR.

By inserting (14) into (12), we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P_{er} &= \frac{2}{3} - \frac{1}{24} \left[\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{u+1-m}{\sqrt{2(\sigma^2 - m^2)}}\right) + \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{u+1+m}{\sqrt{2(\sigma^2 - m^2)}}\right) \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{12} \left[\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{u-m}{\sqrt{2(\sigma^2 - m^2)}}\right) + \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{u+m}{\sqrt{2(\sigma^2 - m^2)}}\right) \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{18} \left[\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{u-1-m}{\sqrt{2(\sigma^2 - m^2)}}\right) + \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{u-1+m}{\sqrt{2(\sigma^2 - m^2)}}\right) \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{24} \left[\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{u-1-m}{\sqrt{2(\sigma^2 - m^2)}}\right) + \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{u-1+m}{\sqrt{2(\sigma^2 - m^2)}}\right) \right] \\ &\quad + \left[\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{u+1-m}{\sqrt{2(\sigma^2 - m^2)}}\right) + \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{u+1+m}{\sqrt{2(\sigma^2 - m^2)}}\right) \right] \\ &\quad - 2 \left[\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{u-m}{\sqrt{2(\sigma^2 - m^2)}}\right) + \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{u+m}{\sqrt{2(\sigma^2 - m^2)}}\right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Fig.1 Gaussian mixture noise PDFs with different parameters.

Fig.2 The probability of error P_{er} , as a function of the Gaussian mixture noise intensity σ , for $m = 0.5$, $N = 1$ and different threshold levels.

Fig.3 The probability of error P_{er} , as a function of the Gaussian mixture noise intensity σ , for $m = 0.8$, $N = 1$ and different threshold levels.

Fig.4 The probability of error P_{er} , as a function of the Gaussian mixture noise intensity σ , for $m = 1$, $N = 1$ and different threshold levels.

Fig.7 The probability of error P_{er} , as a function of the intensity σ for Gaussian mixture noise with different noise parameters and $u = 0.5$, $N = 1$.

Fig.5 The probability of error P_{er} , as a function of the Gaussian mixture noise intensity σ , for $m = 3$, $N = 1$ and different threshold levels.

Fig.8 The probability of error P_{er} , as a function of the intensity σ for Gaussian mixture noise with different noise parameters and $u = 2$, $N = 1$.

Fig.6 The probability of error P_{er} , as a function of the Gaussian mixture noise intensity σ , for $m = 5$, $N = 1$ and different threshold levels.

Fig.1 gives Gaussian mixture noise PDFs for different parameters ($m=1,2,3,5,7,9$). When the parameter m raises, the noise PDFs transit gradually from unimodal to bimodal.

For Gaussian mixture noise with different parameters and the nonlinear detector with different threshold levels, Figs.2-8 show the theoretical curves and the Monte Carlo simulation (data points) of the probability of detection error P_{er} as a function of noise intensity σ . Figs.2-8 confirm the agreement of the nonlinear multiple signal detection both in theory and the computer simulation. When the detector threshold level increases, the signal always transits from supra-threshold ($u < 1$) to sub-threshold ($u \geq 1$), when $m = 0.5, 0.8, 1$, the Gaussian mixture noise PDFs are unimodal, when $m = 3, 5$, the Gaussian mixture noise PDFs are bimodal, Fig.2 and Fig.4 show the evolution of SR of noise-improved signal detection grows out of nothing, however, Fig.3, Fig.5 and Fig.6 also show the evolution of SR: from appearance to disappearance

and return to appearance. For a definite noise parameter m , Figs.2-6 also show that the occurrence of SR is a gradual process, which isn't affected completely by whether the signal is supra-threshold or sub-threshold, and these results are different from the previous ones about SR in multiple signal detection for four representative noises [49], where SR always exists when the signal is sub-threshold and SR doesn't exist when the input signal is supra-threshold.

As the parameter m increases from zero to infinity, the Gaussian mixture noise PDF changes from unimodal to bimodal, when the signal is supra-threshold ($u = 0.5$), Fig.7 shows SR has an evolution: from disappearance to appearance and then return to disappearance but then appearance. However, when the signal is sub-threshold ($u = 2$), Fig.8 shows another SR evolution: from appearance to disappearance, and then return to appearance. Fig.7 and Fig.8 also show the evolution of SR is a gradual process, which isn't affected completely by whether the noise is unimodal or bimodal. Figs.2-8 all show that P_{er} tends to consistency when the noise intensity becomes stronger. This is because stronger noises usually induce linearization [16].

For Gaussian mixture noise with different parameters and the nonlinear detector with different threshold levels, Figs.9-14 give the Monte Carlo computer simulation of P_{er} as a function of the noise intensity for different sample numbers. For the Gaussian mixture noise with parameter $m = 0.5$, Fig.9 and Fig.10 show that SR don't occur no matter the input signal is supra-threshold ($u = 0.5 < 1$) or sub-threshold ($u = 1.2 > 1$) and whatever the sample number N is. For the Gaussian mixture noise with $m = 0.8$ and $m = 3$, Figs.11-14 show that SR always occurs and the minimum of P_{er} even can approach zero rapidly as N increases, regardless of the existence of SR when $N = 1$. In Fig.11 and Fig.13, SR doesn't exist when $N = 1$, but as N increases, SR appears. The phenomenon is a bit like SSR [16]. Although the input is a discrete random signal and differs from the one in [16], where the input is a continuously valued random signal, the mechanism of SR may be in accordance with the mechanism of SSR proposed by N.Stocks et al. . Figs.9-14 also confirm that no matter whether SR appears or not, the detection performance becomes better and better as the sample number N increases.

Fig.9 The probability of error P_{er} , as a function of the Gaussian mixture noise intensity σ , for $m = 0.5, u = 0.5$ and different sample numbers.

Fig.10 The probability of error P_{er} , as a function of the Gaussian mixture noise intensity σ , for $m = 0.5, u = 1.2$ and different sample numbers.

Fig.11 The probability of error P_{er} , as a function of the Gaussian mixture noise intensity σ , for $m = 0.8, u = 0.9$ and different sample numbers.

Fig.12 The probability of error P_{er} , as a function of the Gaussian mixture noise intensity σ , for $m=0.8, u=0.7$ and different sample numbers.

Fig.13 The probability of error P_{er} , as a function of the Gaussian mixture noise intensity σ , for $m=3, u=3.5$ and different sample numbers.

Fig.14 The probability of error P_{er} , as a function of the Gaussian mixture noise intensity σ , for $m=3, u=4.5$ and different sample numbers.

4. Conclusions

In this paper, we have discussed in detail SR phenomenon of noise-improved nonlinear multiple signal detection under the background of Gaussian mixture noise. Based on detection theory and computer simulation, we have discussed that the parameter in Gaussian mixture noise PDF, the threshold level of the nonlinear multiple signal detector and the sample number all have effects on the existence of SR and the detection performance. These results indicate that SR relies intensely on noise, detector and the sample number and is the result of their complex synergy in nonlinear multiple signal detection.

References

- [1] R. Benzi, A. Sutera and A. Vulpiani, "The mechanism of stochastic resonance," *Journal of Physics A*, vol. 14, no.11, pp. L453-L457, 1981.
- [2] B. McNamara and K. Wiesenfeld, "Theory of stochastic resonance," *Phys. Rev. A*, vol. 39, pp. 4854-4869, 1989.
- [3] G.P. Harmer and B.R. Davis, "A review of stochastic resonance: circuits and measurement," *IEEE Transaction On Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 51, no. 2, pp. 299-309, 2002.
- [4] L. Gammaitoni, P. Hanggi, P. Jung and F. Marchesoni, "Stochastic resonance," *Rev. Mod.Phys.* vol. 70, pp. 223-287, 1998.
- [5] S. Maitam and B. Kosko, "Adaptive stochastic resonance," *Proceedings of the IEEE: Special issue on intelligent signal processing*, vol. 86, no. 11, pp. 2152-2183, 1998.
- [6] H. Chen, P.K. Varshney, S. Kay and J.H. Michels, "Theory of the stochastic resonance effect in signal detection: part I-Fixed detector," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 55, no. 7, pp. 3172-3183, 2007.
- [7] F. Chapeau-Blondeau and X. Godivier, "Theory of stochastic resonance in signal transmission by static nonlinear systems," *Phys. Rev. E*, vol. 55, pp. 1478-1495, 1997.
- [8] J. J. Collins, C.C. Chow, A.C. Capela and T. T. Imhoff, "Aperiodic stochastic resonance," *Physical Review E*, vol. 54, pp. 5575-5584, 1996.
- [9] J. J. Collins, C. C. Chow and T. T. Imhoff, "Stochastic resonance without tuning," *Nature*, vol. 376, pp. 236-238, 1995.
- [10] X. Pei, L. Wilkens and F. Moss, "Noise-mediated spike timing precision from aperiodic stimuli in an array of Hodgkin-Huxley-Type neurons," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* vol. 77, no. 22, pp. 4679-4682, 1996.
- [11] D. R. Chialvo, A. Longtin and J. Muller-Gerking, "Stochastic resonance in models of neuronal ensembles," *Phys. Rev. E*, vol. 55, no. 2, pp. 1798-1808, 1997.
- [12] S. Kay, "Can detectability be improved by adding noise?" *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp.8-10, 2000.
- [13] Y.G. Wang, and L.N. Wu, "Stochastic resonance in nonlinear signal detection," *Int. Journal Signal Processing*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 108-113, 2006.
- [14] B. Kosko and S. Maitam, "Stochastic resonance in noisy threshold neuron," *Neural Networks*, vol. 16, pp. 755-761, 2003.
- [15] Y. G. Wang and L. N. Wu, "Noise-improved signal correlation in an array of autoregressive models of order one," *Fluctuation and Noise Letters*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. L449-L459, 2007.
- [16] N.G. Stocks, "Supra-threshold stochastic resonance in multilevel threshold systems," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 84, no. 11, pp. 2310-2313, 2000.
- [17] N.G. Stocks, "Information transmission in parallel threshold arrays: supra-threshold stochastic resonance," *Physical Review E*, vol. 63, no. 041114, pp. 1-9, 2001.
- [18] D. Rousseau, F. Duan, and F. Chapeau-Blondeau, "Supra-threshold stochastic resonance and noise-enhanced Fisher information in an array of threshold devices," *Physical Review E*, vol. 68, no. 031107, 2003.
- [19] M. D. McDonnell and D. Abbott, "A characterization of supra-threshold stochastic resonance in an array of comparators by correlation coefficient," *Fluctuation and Noise Letters*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. L213-L228, 2002.

- [20] N. G. Stocks and R. Mannella, "Generic noise enhanced coding in neuronal arrays," *Phys. Rev. E*, vol. 64, no. 030902, 2001.
- [21] T. Hoch, G. Wenning and K. Obermayer, "Optimal noise-aided signal transmission through populations of neurons," *Phys. Rev. E*, vol. 68, no. 011911, 2003.
- [22] M.D.Mcdonnell, N.G. Stocks, C. E. M. Pearce, and D. Abbott, "Quantization in the presence of large amplitude threshold noise," *Fluctuation and Noise Letters*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. L457-L468, 2005.
- [23] M. D. McDonnell, N. G. Stocks, C. E. M. Pearce and D. Abbott, "Optimal information transmission in nonlinear arrays through suprathreshold stochastic resonance," *Physics Letter A*, vol. 352, pp. 183-189, 2006.
- [24] N. G. Stocks, D. Allingham and R. P. Morse, "The application of suprathreshold stochastic resonance to cochlear implant coding," *Fluctuation and Noise Letters*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. L169-L181, 2002.
- [25] D. Rousseau, and F. Chapeau-Blondeau, "Construction role of noise in signal detection from parallel arrays of quantizer," *Signal Processing*, vol. 85, pp. 571-580, 2005.
- [26] M. D. McDonnell, N. G. Stocks and D. Abbott, "Optimal stimulus and noise distributions for information transmission via suprathreshold stochastic resonance," *Phys. Rev. E*, vol. 75, no. 061105, 2007.
- [27] A. Das, N. G. Stocks and E. L. Hines, "Enhanced coding for exponentially distributed signals using suprathreshold stochastic resonance," *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, vol. 14, pp. 223-232, 2009.
- [28] V.N. Hari, G.V. Anand, A.B. Premkumar and A.S. Madhukumar, "Design and performance analysis of a signal detector based on suprathreshold stochastic resonance," *Signal Processing*, vol. 92, pp. 1745-1757, 2012.
- [29] J. R. Kumar, B. P. Kumar, G. Bhupendra and D. Mishra, "Suprathreshold stochastic resonance and maximizing network for watermark detection," *Journal of Electronic Imaging*, vol. 21, no. 013001, 2012.
- [30] S. Zozor and P.O. Amblard, "On the use of stochastic in sine detection," *Signal Processing*, vol. 82, no. 3, pp. 353-367, 2002.
- [31] F. Chapeau-Blondeau, "Stochastic resonance for an optimal detector with phase noise," *Signal processing*, vol. 83, pp. 665-670, 2003.
- [32] A. A. Sahaa and V.G. Guha, "Detectors based on stochastic resonance, Part 2: Convergence analysis and perturbative corrections," *Signal Processing*, vol. 87, pp. 134-147, 2007.
- [33] Y.G Wang and L.N. Wu, "Nonlinear signal detection from an array of threshold devices for non-Gaussian noise," *Digital Signal Processing*, vol. 17, pp. 76-89, 2007.
- [34] S. Kay, "Noise Enhanced Detection as a Special Case of Randomization," *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, vol. 15, pp. 709-712, 2008.
- [35] H. Chen and P. K. Varshney, "Theory of the Stochastic Resonance Effect in Signal Detection—Part II: Variable Detectors," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 56, pp. 5031-5041, 2008.
- [36] H. Chen, P. K. Varshney and J. H. Michels, "Improving Sequential Detection Performance Via Stochastic Resonance," *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, vol. 15, pp. 685-688, 2008.
- [37] H. Chen, P. K. Varshney, S. Kay and J. H. Michels, "Noise Enhanced Nonparametric Detection," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 55, no. 2, pp. 499-506, 2009.
- [38] M. Guerriero, S. Marano, V. Matta and P. Willett, "Stochastic Resonance in Sequential Detectors," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 57, no. 1, pp. 1-15, 2009.
- [39] A. Patel and B. Kosko, "Optimal noise benefits in Neyman-Pearson and inequality-constrained statistical signal detection," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 57, no. 5, pp. 1655-1669, 2009.
- [40] B. Saut and G. Sinan, "On the improbability and nonimprovability of detection via additional independent noise," *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, vol. 16, pp. 1001-1004, 2009.
- [41] B. Saut and G. Sinan, "Noise enhanced hypothesis-testing in restricted Bayesian framework," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 58, pp. 3972-3989, 2010.
- [42] H.Q. Zhang, B.H. Xu, J.L. Li and Z.P. Jiang, "Evaluation of bistable systems for binary signal detection in symmetric non-Gaussian noise," *Probabilistic Engineering Mechanics*, vol. 25, pp. 119-126, 2010.
- [43] A. Patel and B. Kosko, "Noise Benefits in Quantizer-Array Correlation Detection and Watermark Decoding," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 59, pp. 488-505, 2011.
- [44] B. Saut and G. Sinan, "Noise Enhanced M - ary Composite Hypothesis-Testing in Presence Prior Information," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 59, pp. 1292-1297, 2011.
- [45] F. Duan and F. Chapeau-Blondeau, D. Abbott, "Fisher-information condition for enhanced signal detection via stochastic resonance," *Phys. Rev. E*, vol. 84, no. 051107, 2011.
- [46] G.C. Guo and M. Mandal, "On optimal threshold and structure in threshold system based detector," *Signal Processing*, vol. 92, pp. 170-178, 2012.
- [47] S. Bayram and S. Gezici, "Stochastic resonance in binary composite hypothesis-testing problems in the Neyman-Pearson framework," *Digital Signal Processing*, vol. 22, pp. 391-406, 2012.
- [48] G.C. Guo, M. Mandal and Y.D. Jing, "A robust detector of known signal in non-Gaussian noise using threshold systems," *Signal Processing*, vol. 92, pp. 2676-2688, 2012.
- [49] Y.G. Wang and Q.Y. Hu, "Stochastic resonance in nonlinear multiple signal detection for four representative noises," *Lecture Notes in Electrical Engineering*, vol. 121, pp. 607-616, 2011.