

# $H^k$ Metrics on the Diffeomorphism Group of the Circle

Adrian CONSTANTIN<sup>†</sup> and B KOLEV<sup>‡</sup>

<sup>†</sup> Department of Mathematics, Lund University, P.O. Box 118, SE-221 00 Lund, Sweden  
E-mail: adrian.constantin@math.lu.se

<sup>‡</sup> CMI, 39, rue F. Joliot-Curie, 13453 Marseille cedex 13, France  
E-mail: kolev@cmi.univ-mrs.fr

Received February 13, 2003; Accepted May 07, 2003

## Abstract

Each  $H^k$  inner product,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , endows the diffeomorphism group of the circle with a Riemannian structure. For  $k \geq 1$  the Riemannian exponential map is a smooth local diffeomorphism and the length-minimizing property of geodesics holds.

## 1 Introduction

Some equations of mathematical physics arise as geodesic equations for certain right-invariant Riemannian metrics on diffeomorphism groups [1]. These groups have an infinite dimensional Lie group structure. Since their differentiable structure is modelled on a Fréchet space, the analysis is intricate and few rigorous results are available. The aim of this work is to report on a special case in which infinite-dimensional counterparts of results from classical Riemannian geometry can be established.

The family  $\mathcal{D}$  of increasing diffeomorphisms of the unit circle  $\mathbb{S} \subset \mathbb{C}$  is an infinite-dimensional Lie group. Its Lie algebra  $T_{\text{Id}}\mathcal{D}$  is the space  $C^\infty(\mathbb{S})$  of real smooth periodic maps of period one. A right-invariant Riemannian metric on the diffeomorphism group  $\mathcal{D}$  is determined by its value on  $T_{\text{Id}}\mathcal{D} = C^\infty(\mathbb{S})$ . That is, there is a one-to-one correspondence between right-invariant Riemannian metrics on  $\mathcal{D}$  and inner products on  $C^\infty(\mathbb{S})$ . We study Riemannian structures associated with the family of  $H^k$  inner products. Here  $H^k(\mathbb{S})$  is the Hilbert space of all  $L^2(\mathbb{S})$ -functions  $f$  (square integrable periodic functions) with distributional derivatives  $\partial_x^i f$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{S})$  for  $i = 0, \dots, k$ , endowed with the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle_k = \sum_{i=0}^k \int_{\mathbb{S}} \partial_x^i f(x) \partial_x^i g(x) dx, \quad f, g \in H^k(\mathbb{S}).$$

In the next section we highlight some aspects of the diffeomorphism group  $\mathcal{D}$  while in Section 3/Section 4 we present some results about the geodesic flow of  $H^k$  right-invariant metrics. The considerations presented here are detailed and developed in [10].

## 2 The diffeomorphism group

The group  $\mathcal{D}$  is an open subset of  $C^\infty(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{S})$ , which is itself a closed subset of  $C^\infty(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C})$ . We will describe the Fréchet manifold structure of  $\mathcal{D}$ .

### The tangent space

For a  $C^1$ -path  $t \mapsto \varphi(t)$  in  $\mathcal{D}$  with  $\varphi(0) = \text{Id}$ , we have  $\varphi'(0)(x) \in T_x\mathbb{S}$  at  $x \in \mathbb{S} \subset \mathbb{C}$ . Therefore  $\varphi'(0)$  is a vector field on  $\mathbb{S}$  and we can identify  $T_{\text{Id}}\mathcal{D}$  with  $\text{Vect}(\mathbb{S})$ , the space of smooth vector fields on  $\mathbb{S}$ . If  $\xi(x)$  is a tangent vector to  $\mathbb{S}$  at  $x \in \mathbb{S} \subset \mathbb{C}$ , then  $\Re[\bar{x}\xi(x)] = 0$  and  $u(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \bar{x}\xi(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ . This allows us to identify the space of smooth vector fields on the circle with  $C^\infty(\mathbb{S})$ . The latter may be thought of as the space of real smooth periodic maps of period one and will be used as a model for the construction of local charts on  $\mathcal{D}$ . Note that  $C^\infty(\mathbb{S})$  is a Fréchet space, its topology being defined by the countable collection of  $C^n(\mathbb{S})$ -seminorms: a sequence  $u_j \rightarrow u$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$  if and only if for all  $n \geq 0$  we have  $u_j \rightarrow u$  in  $C^n(\mathbb{S})$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ .

### Local charts

To define a local chart around the point  $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{D}$ , we take the neighborhood  $U_0 = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{D} : \|\varphi - \varphi_0\|_{C^0(\mathbb{S})} < 1/2\}$  of  $\varphi_0$  and we define

$$u(x) = \Psi_0(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \log(\overline{\varphi_0(x)}\varphi(x)), \quad x \in \mathbb{S}.$$

Note that  $u(x)$  is a measure of the angle between  $\varphi_0(x)$  and  $\varphi(x)$ . We obtain the local charts  $\{U_0, \Psi_0\}$ , with the change of charts given by

$$\Psi_2 \circ \Psi_1^{-1}(u_1) = u_1 + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \log(\overline{\varphi_2} \varphi_1).$$

The previous transformation being just a translation on the vector space  $C^\infty(\mathbb{S})$ , the structure described above endows  $\mathcal{D}$  with a smooth manifold structure based on the Fréchet space  $C^\infty(\mathbb{S})$ .

### Lie group structure

A direct computation (see [12]) shows that the composition and the inverse are both smooth maps from  $\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ , respectively  $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ , so that the group  $\mathcal{D}$  is a Lie group.

The Lie bracket on the Lie algebra  $T_{\text{Id}}\mathcal{D} \equiv C^\infty(\mathbb{S})$  of  $\mathcal{D}$  is given by

$$[u, v] = -(u_x v - u v_x), \quad u, v \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}).$$

Each  $v \in T_{\text{Id}}\mathcal{D}$  gives rise to a one-parameter group of diffeomorphisms  $\{\eta(t, \cdot)\}$  obtained by solving  $\eta_t = v(\eta)$  in  $C^\infty(\mathbb{S})$  with initial data  $\eta(0) = \text{Id} \in \mathcal{D}$ . Conversely, each one-parameter subgroup  $t \mapsto \eta(t) \in \mathcal{D}$  is determined by its infinitesimal generator  $v = \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \eta(t) \right|_{t=0} \in T_{\text{Id}}\mathcal{D}$ . Evaluating the flow  $t \mapsto \eta(t, \cdot)$  at  $t = 1$  we obtain an element  $\exp_L(v)$  of  $\mathcal{D}$ . The Lie-group exponential map  $v \rightarrow \exp_L(v)$  is a smooth map of the Lie

algebra to the Lie group [18]. Although the derivative of  $\exp_L$  at  $0 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S})$  is the identity  $\text{Id}$ ,  $\exp_L$  is not locally surjective [18]. This failure, in contrast with the case of Hilbert manifolds [16], is due to the fact that the inverse function theorem does not necessarily hold in Fréchet spaces [14].

### 3 $H^k$ metrics

#### The inertia operator

For  $k \geq 0$  and  $u, v \in T_{\text{Id}}\mathcal{D} \equiv C^\infty(\mathbb{S})$ , observe that

$$\langle u, v \rangle_k = \int_{\mathbb{S}} \sum_{i=0}^k (\partial_x^i u) (\partial_x^i v) dx = \int_{\mathbb{S}} A_k(u) v dx, \quad (3.1)$$

where  $A_k : C^\infty(\mathbb{S}) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{S})$  is the linear continuous isomorphism

$$A_k = 1 - \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \cdots + (-1)^k \frac{d^{2k}}{dx^{2k}}.$$

Note that  $A_k$  is symmetric in the sense that

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} A_k(u) v dx = \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} u A_k(v) dx, \quad u, v \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}).$$

For  $\eta \in \mathcal{D}$ , let  $R_{\eta*} : T_{\text{Id}}\mathcal{D} \rightarrow T_\eta\mathcal{D}$ ,  $u \mapsto u \circ \eta$ , be the derivative of the right-translation  $R_\eta : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ ,  $\varphi \mapsto \varphi \circ \eta$ . We extend the inner product (3.1) to each tangent space  $T_\eta\mathcal{D}$ ,  $\eta \in \mathcal{D}$ , by right-translation

$$\langle V, W \rangle_k := \langle R_{\eta^{-1}*}V, R_{\eta^{-1}*}W \rangle_k, \quad V, W \in T_\eta\mathcal{D}.$$

This way we obtain a smooth right invariant metric on  $\mathcal{D}$ .

#### The connection

Since the previously defined right-invariant metric defines a weak topology on  $\mathcal{D}$ , the existence of an associated Levi–Civita connection is not certain. However, the existence of a connection is ensured [9] if there exists a bilinear operator  $B : C^\infty(\mathbb{S}) \times C^\infty(\mathbb{S}) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{S})$  with the property that

$$\langle B(u, v), w \rangle = \langle u, [v, w] \rangle, \quad u, v, w \in T_{\text{Id}}\mathcal{D} = C^\infty(\mathbb{S}).$$

In the case of the  $H^k$  right-invariant metric, the operator is given by

$$B_k(u, v) = -A_k^{-1}(2v_x A_k(u) + v A_k(u_x)), \quad u, v \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}).$$

We have the following result.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $k \geq 0$ . There exists a unique Riemannian connection  $\nabla^k$  on  $\mathcal{D}$  associated to the right-invariant metric defined on  $T_{\text{Id}}\mathcal{D}$  by (3.1).*

## 4 The geodesic flow

### The geodesic equation

The existence of the connection  $\nabla^k$  enables us to define parallel translation along a curve on  $\mathcal{D}$ . Throughout the discussion, let  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  be an open interval with  $0 \in I$ . If  $\alpha : I \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is a  $C^2$ -curve, a lift  $\gamma : I \rightarrow T\mathcal{D}$  is called  $\alpha$ -parallel if

$$v_t = \frac{1}{2}(vu_x - v_xu + B_k(u, v) + B_k(v, u)), \quad t \in I,$$

where  $u, v \in C^1(I, C^\infty(\mathbb{S}))$  are defined by  $u = \alpha_t \circ \alpha^{-1}$ , respectively  $v = \gamma \circ \alpha^{-1}$ . A  $C^2$ -curve  $\varphi : I \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  with the property that  $\varphi_t$  is  $\varphi$ -parallel is called a *geodesic*. That is, a curve  $\varphi \in C^2(I, \mathcal{D})$  with  $\varphi(0) = \text{Id}$  is a geodesic if and only if

$$u_t = B_k(u, u), \quad t \in I, \tag{4.1}$$

where  $u = \varphi_t \circ \varphi^{-1} \in T_{\text{Id}}\mathcal{D} \equiv C^\infty(\mathbb{S})$ . Equation (4.1), called the *Euler equation*, is the geodesic equation transported by right-translation to the Lie algebra  $T_{\text{Id}}\mathcal{D}$ . Problems of type (4.1) arise in fluid mechanics.

**Example 1.** For  $k = 0$ , that is, for the  $L^2$  right-invariant metric, equation (4.1) becomes the inviscid Burgers equation

$$u_t + 3uu_x = 0. \tag{4.2}$$

Equation (4.2) can be studied quite explicitly [15]. All solutions of (4.2) but the constant functions have a finite life span and (4.2) is a simplified model for the occurrence of shock waves in gas dynamics.

**Example 2.** For  $k = 1$ , that is, for the  $H^1$  right-invariant metric, equation (4.1) becomes cf. [19] the Camassa–Holm equation

$$u_t + uu_x + \partial_x(1 - \partial_{x^2})^{-1} \left( u^2 + \frac{1}{2} u_{x^2} \right) = 0. \tag{4.3}$$

Equation (4.3) is a model for the unidirectional propagation of shallow water waves [2]. It has a bi-Hamiltonian structure [13] and is completely integrable [4, 11]. Some solutions of (4.3) exist globally in time [3, 6], whereas others develop singularities in finite time [3, 7, 17]. The blowup phenomenon can be interpreted as a simplified model for wave breaking – the solution (representing the surface water wave) stays bounded while its slope becomes vertical in finite time [5].

### Conservation of momentum

As a consequence of the right-invariance of the metric by the action of the group on itself, we obtain a particularly useful form of the conservation of momentum. If  $\varphi \in C^2(I, \mathcal{D})$  with  $\varphi(0) = \text{Id}$  is a geodesic and  $u = \varphi_t \circ \varphi^{-1}$ , then

$$m_k(\varphi, t) = A_k(u) \circ \varphi \cdot \varphi_x^2, \tag{4.4}$$

satisfies  $m_k(t) = m_k(0)$  as long as  $m_k(t)$  is defined.

## Existence of geodesics

Standard local existence theorems for differential equations with smooth right-hand side, valid for Hilbert spaces [16], do not hold in  $C^\infty(\mathbb{S})$  cf. [14]. The strategy we develop to prove the existence of geodesics is the following. In a local chart the geodesic equation (4.1) can be expressed as the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_t &= v, \\ v_t &= P_k(\varphi, v),\end{aligned}\tag{4.5}$$

with  $\varphi(0) = \text{Id}$ ,  $v(0) = u(0)$ . The operator  $P_k$  in (4.5) is specified by

$$P_k(\varphi, v) = [Q_k(v \circ \varphi^{-1})] \circ \varphi,$$

where  $Q_k : C^\infty(\mathbb{S}) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{S})$  is the operator

$$Q_k(w) = B_k(w, w) + ww_x, \quad w \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}).$$

Since  $C^\infty(\mathbb{S}) = \bigcap_{k \geq n} H^k(\mathbb{S})$  for all  $n \geq 0$ , we may consider the problem (4.5) on each Hilbert space  $H^n(\mathbb{S})$ . If  $k \geq 1$  and  $n \geq 3$ , then  $P_k$  is a smooth map from  $U^n \times H^n(\mathbb{S})$  to  $H^n(\mathbb{S})$ , where  $U^n \subset H^n(\mathbb{S})$  is the open subset of all functions having a strictly positive derivative. The classical Cauchy–Lipschitz theorem in Hilbert spaces [16] yields the existence of a unique solution  $\varphi_n(t) \in U^n$  of (4.5) for all  $t \in [0, T_n)$  for some maximal  $T_n > 0$ . Relation (4.4) can be used to prove that  $T_n = T_{n+1}$  for all  $n \geq 3$ . We obtain the following result.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $k \geq 1$ . For every  $u_0 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S})$ , there exists a maximal  $T > 0$  and a unique geodesic  $\varphi \in C^\infty([0, T], \mathcal{D})$  for the right-invariant metric (3.1), starting at  $\varphi(0) = \text{Id} \in \mathcal{D}$  in the direction  $u_0 = \varphi_t(0) \in T_{\text{Id}}\mathcal{D}$ . Moreover, the solution depends smoothly on the initial data  $u_0 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S})$ .*

**Remark.** For  $k = 0$ , we have  $P_0(\varphi, v) = -2 \frac{v \cdot v_x}{\varphi_x}$ , which is not an operator from  $U^n \times H^n(\mathbb{S})$  into  $H^n(\mathbb{S})$ . Therefore the approach used for Theorem 2 is not suitable in this case. Nevertheless, the method of characteristics applied to the equation (4.2) can be used to show that even for  $k = 0$  the statement of Theorem 2 holds [9].

## The Riemannian exponential map

The previous results enable us to define the Riemannian exponential map  $\mathbf{exp}$  for the  $H^k$  right-invariant metric ( $k \geq 0$ ). In fact, there exists  $\delta > 0$  and  $T > 0$  so that for all  $u_0 \in \mathcal{D}$  with  $\|u_0\|_{2k+1} < \delta$  the geodesic  $\varphi(t; u_0)$  is defined on  $[0, T]$ . The homogeneity property  $\varphi(t; su_0) = \varphi(ts; u_0)$  of the geodesics, valid for all  $t, s \geq 0$  for which both sides of the equality are well-defined, enables us to define  $\mathbf{exp}(u_0) = \varphi(1; u_0)$  on the open set  $\{u_0 \in \mathcal{D} : \|u_0\|_{2k+1} < \frac{2\delta}{T}\}$  of  $\mathcal{D}$ . The map  $u_0 \mapsto \mathbf{exp}(u_0)$  is smooth and its Fréchet derivative at zero,  $D\mathbf{exp}_0$ , is the identity operator. However, since we work on a Fréchet manifold, these facts do not necessarily ensure that  $\mathbf{exp}$  is a  $C^1$  local diffeomorphism [14]. We proceed as follows. Working in  $H^{k+3}(\mathbb{S})$ , we deduce from the inverse function theorem in Hilbert spaces that  $\mathbf{exp}$  is a smooth diffeomorphism from an open neighborhood  $\mathcal{O}_{k+3}$  of  $0 \in H^{k+3}(\mathbb{S})$  to an open neighborhood  $\Theta_{k+3}$  of  $\text{Id} \in U^{k+3}$ . Moreover, we may choose  $\mathcal{O}_{k+3}$

such that  $D\mathbf{exp}_{u_0}$  is a bijection of  $H^{k+3}(\mathbb{S})$  for every  $u_0 \in \mathcal{O}_{k+3}$ . Given  $n \geq k+3$ , using (4.4) and the geodesic equation, we can show that there is no  $u_0 \in H^n(\mathbb{S})$ ,  $u_0 \notin H^{n+1}(\mathbb{S})$ , with  $\mathbf{exp}(u_0) \in U^{n+1}$ . Therefore for every  $n \geq k+3$ ,  $\mathbf{exp}$  is a bijection from  $\mathcal{O}_n = \mathcal{O}_{k+3} \cap H^n(\mathbb{S})$  to  $\Theta_n = \Theta_{k+3} \cap H^n(\mathbb{S})$ . Hence  $\mathbf{exp}$  is a bijection from  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_{k+3} \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{S})$  to  $\Theta = \Theta_{k+3} \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{S})$ . At this point, (4.4) and the geodesic equation can be used to prove that there is no  $u_0 \in H^n(\mathbb{S})$ ,  $u_0 \notin H^{n+1}(\mathbb{S})$ , with  $D\mathbf{exp}_{u_0}(v) \in H^{n+1}(\mathbb{S})$  for some  $u_0 \in \mathcal{O}$ . This shows that for every  $u_0 \in \mathcal{O}$  and  $n \geq k+3$ , the bounded linear operator  $D\mathbf{exp}_{u_0}$  is a bijection from  $H^n(\mathbb{S})$  to  $H^n(\mathbb{S})$ . We obtain the following result.

**Theorem 3.** *The Riemannian exponential map for the  $H^k$  right-invariant metric on  $\mathcal{D}$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , is a smooth local diffeomorphism from a neighborhood of zero on  $T_{\text{Id}}\mathcal{D}$  to a neighborhood of  $\text{Id}$  on  $\mathcal{D}$ .*

**Remark.** Note that for  $k = 0$ ,  $\mathbf{exp}$  is not a  $C^1$  local diffeomorphism from a neighborhood of  $0 \in T_{\text{Id}}\mathcal{D}$  to a neighborhood of  $\text{Id} \in \mathcal{D}$  cf. [9].

### Length-minimizing property

Let  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $\Theta$  be the open neighborhoods of  $0 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S})$ , respectively  $\text{Id} \in \mathcal{D}$ , defined above. Then the map

$$G : \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}, \quad (\eta, u) \mapsto (\eta, R_\eta \mathbf{exp}(u)),$$

is a smooth diffeomorphism onto its image. For  $\eta \in \mathcal{D}$ , let  $\Theta(\eta) = R_\eta\Theta = R_\eta\mathbf{exp}(\mathcal{O})$ . We define the *polar coordinates*  $(r, w)$  of  $\varphi \in \Theta(\eta)$  by setting  $v = rw$  with  $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $\langle w, w \rangle_k = 1$ , where  $v \in \mathcal{O}$  is uniquely determined by  $\varphi = \mathbf{exp}(v) \circ \eta$ . If  $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \Theta(\eta)$  is a piecewise  $C^1$ -curve, then

$$l(\gamma) \geq |r(b) - r(a)|,$$

where  $l(\gamma)$  is the length of the curve and  $(r(t), w(t))$  are the polar coordinates of  $\gamma(t)$ . Moreover, equality holds if and only if the function  $t \mapsto r(t)$  is monotone and the map  $t \mapsto w(t) \in \mathcal{O}$  is constant. This leads to

**Theorem 4.** *Consider  $\mathcal{D}$  endowed with the  $H^k$  right-invariant metric ( $k \geq 1$ ). If  $\eta, \varphi \in \mathcal{D}$  are close enough, more precisely, if  $\varphi \circ \eta^{-1} \in \Theta$ , then  $\eta$  and  $\varphi$  can be joined by a unique geodesic in  $\Theta(\eta)$ . Among all piecewise  $C^1$ -curves joining  $\eta$  to  $\varphi$  on  $\mathcal{D}$ , the geodesic is length minimizing.*

Specializing  $k = 1$  in Theorem 4 we obtain that for the Camassa–Holm model for shallow water waves (Example 2) the Least Action Principle holds. That is, a state of the system is transformed to another nearby state through a uniquely determined flow of (4.3) that minimizes the kinetic energy cf. [8].

## References

- [1] Arnold V and Khesin B, *Topological Methods in Hydrodynamics*, Springer Verlag, New York, 1998.
- [2] Camassa R and Holm D, An Integrable Shallow Water Equation with Peaked Solitons, *Phys. Rev. Letters* **71** (1993), 1661–1664.
- [3] Constantin A, On the Cauchy Problem for the Periodic Camassa–Holm Equation, *J. Differential Equations* **141** (1997), 218–235.
- [4] Constantin A, On the Inverse Spectral Problem for the Camassa–Holm Equation, *J. Funct. Anal.* **155** (1998), 352–363.
- [5] Constantin A and Escher J, Wave Breaking for Nonlinear Nonlocal Shallow Water Equations, *Acta Mathematica* **181** (1998), 229–243.
- [6] Constantin A and Escher J, Well-Posedness, Global Existence, and Blowup Phenomena for a Periodic Quasi-Linear Hyperbolic Equation, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **51** (1998), 475–504.
- [7] Constantin A and Escher J, On the Blow-Up Rate and the Blow-Up Set of Breaking Waves for a Shallow Water Equation, *Math. Z.* **233** (2000), 75–91.
- [8] Constantin A and Kolev B, Least Action Principle for an Integrable Shallow Water Equation, *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.* **8** (2001), 471–474.
- [9] Constantin A and Kolev B, On the Geometric Approach to the Motion of Inertial Mechanical Systems, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **35** (2002), R51–R79.
- [10] Constantin A and Kolev B, Geodesic Flow on the Diffeomorphism Group of the Circle, *Comment. Math. Helv.*, to appear.
- [11] Constantin A and McKean HP, A Shallow Water Equation on the Circle, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **52** (1999), 949–982.
- [12] Ebin D and Marsden J, Groups of Diffeomorphisms and the Motion of an Incompressible Fluid, *Ann. Math.* **92** (1970), 102–163.
- [13] Fuchssteiner B and Fokas A, Symplectic Structures, Their Bäcklund Transformation and Hereditary Symmetries, *Physica D* **4** (1981), 47–66.
- [14] Hamilton R, The Inverse Function Theorem of Nash and Moser, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **7** (1982), 65–222.
- [15] Hörmander L, *Lectures on Nonlinear Hyperbolic Differential Equations*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1997.
- [16] Lang S, *Fundamentals of Differential Geometry*, Springer Verlag, New York, 1999.
- [17] McKean HP, Breakdown of a Shallow Water Equation, *Asian J. Math.* **2** (1998), 867–874.
- [18] Milnor J, Remarks on Infinite-Dimensional Lie Groups, in *Relativity, Groups and Topology*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1983, 1009–1057.
- [19] Misiolek G, A Shallow Water Equation as a Geodesic Flow on the Bott–Virasoro Group, *J. Geom. Phys.* **24** (1998), 203–208.