The Development of Contextual Inquiry-Based Student Worksheet to Enhance Higher Order Thinking Skills for the Topic of Water Microbiology
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Abstract—The aim of this study was to find out students’ higher order thinking skills after the implementation of a contextual inquiry-based student worksheet occurred. This study was conducted by applying a quasi-experimental method for the students of Biology Education, Universitas Negeri Medan. Samples were taken by simple random sampling technique. The experimental group was taught by a contextual inquiry-based student’s worksheet, while the control group was taught by a conventional (traditional) student worksheet. The data collection was employed by pretest-posttest, using an essay test of higher order thinking skill for the topic of water microbiology. The results of data analysis used a student t-test for the post-test score of both groups, in which the results gave a value (tobt = 0.144; p = 0.000) was 0.000 < 0.05. It showed that there was a significant difference between experimental group and control group as well.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Education is a process that has been designed and arranged systematically in order to stimulate growth, and development, to increase ability and skill, intelligence and character establishment, and also a positive value and attitude for every citizen in order to achieve the goals of education. Education enables students to get the opportunities, hopes, and knowledges in order to be living well, in which hopes and opportunities are depending on the quality of education that has been achieved. A qualified education certainly involve students to learn more active and aims to transform the values for students in passing through lives [1].

A learning process plays a vital role in yielding and creating the quality of educational graduate. The most important thing that needs more attention from stakeholder is to create a qualified education. The aspects that merely influence in creating a qualified learning instruction, namely: 1) professional and qualified lecturers in accordance with the Act of Teacher and Lecturer; 2) the use of fascinating and varied learning methods; 3) students’ positive learning attitudes; and 4) the use of appropriate learning media in the learning process [2]. Learning sources play some important roles in a more effective and efficient learning process. It is explicitly affirmed by Association for Educational Communications and Technology [3], that learning sources are everything or resources that can be used by teachers, either used by separately or in sheaf, for the importance of learning with the purpose of improving learning efficiency.

One of the factors that can support students’ self independence in learning is the availability of adequate learning sources. Textbook is one of the learning sources that can be used by students in order to seek information about the materials that is being learned. Eventhought the textbooks can be obtained easily by students, yet it is not completely able to support students’ comprehension in the learning materials [4].

The selection of a learning sources refers to the formulation that exists in a syllabus. A learning source is including a reference, environment, media, informant, instrument and material that is written in an operational way [5]. Media is a means of communication that transmits a message and stimulate students’ minds, feelings and skills so that it can encourage the more effective and efficient learning process [6]. The learning media are supporting tools that carries out the learning activities, by this a learning media is expected to be able to make students understand the learning materials, and one of the learning media that is frequently used is a student worksheet.

Microbiology is one of the obligatory courses for undergraduate students of Biology Education, Universitas Negeri Medan. One of the subject materials in microbiology is water microbiology, microbiology is also a course with practical work. An experimental activity is an instruction that involves students in a practical work of an experiment with the aid of student worksheet, so in the learning activity needs student worksheet that can activate students in learning. Student worksheet can be a learning source or media that depends on learning activity which is designed, a contextual...
inquiry refers to students’ activities where they develop knowledge and comprehension of scientific idea as well as the understanding on how scientists study a nature [7].

Based on the results of interview transcription and questionnaire filling of needs identification with a microbiology lecturer, known that students require a worksheet. The results of interview from lecturer stated that student worksheet that has been made this now is only containing materials, working procedures, and exercises, so it was not completely training high order thinking specifically. According to [8] to facilitate students in making a practical work, so the practice should be guided with the use of worksheet.

We all know that student is an individual who exists in an intellectual improvement with deeper thoughts, so the worksheet is highly required and exercises can train every student in developing their higher order thinking skill.

The study of [9], stated that the development of guided inquiry-based learning module could enhance students’ higher order thinking skills. The study of [10], stated that the tools of microbiology learning based contextual could enhance students’ higher order thinking skills as well. Inquiry refers to several ways where scientists studied a nature and proposed explanations based on evidences from their works. A contextual inquiry also refers to students’ activities where they develop knowledge and comprehension of a scientific idea as well an understanding on how scientists studied a nature. Inquiry is a part of a contextual learning, an inquiry (to inquire) is the third component of main components in a contextual learning. The steps in learning process with the use of a contextual inquiry approach, that are: to make an orientation, to formulate problems, to formulate hypotheses, to collect data, to test hypotheses and to formulate a conclusion.

A thinking skill requires a skill to recall and understand, hence a recall skill is the most important thing in developing thinking skill. In this case, someone who has not possessed skills to recall and understand, they also has not possessed skills to think rationally and logically. On the contrary, someone’s thinking skill has been absolutely intertwined by recalling and understanding skill as well [11].

Higher Order Thinking Skills (HOTS) is a thinking activity that involves a higher level of cognitive aspect from Bloom’s Taxonomy. However, the experts defined a higher-order thinking in a different way. According to Benjamin Bloom, a higher-order thinking is an abstract skill in a cognitive domain, that are analysis, synthesis, and evaluation. [12], solidified Bloom’s Taxonomy to be four main levels. Four main levels of cognition based on Newcomb and Treffz are recall, information processing, creation and evaluation. Newcomb and Trefz classified recall and information processing into lower-order thinking and higher-order thinking as well. [13] made a fundamental trannformation on Bloom’s Taxonomy by changing two last steps on Bloom’s Taxonomy, that are evaluation and creation.

Higher-order thinking is a thinking skill in a higher level rather than it is memorizing facts or saying something to someone just exactly as it is said to us. [14] suggested that higher order thinking skill is a thinking process that involves a mental activity on the efforts of exploration complex, reflective and creative experiences, that is employed conciously to achieve goal, that is to obtain knowledge comprises analytical, synthetical and evaluative thinking skills.

Thus, higher order thinking skill will occur when someone relates a new information with another information that has been kept inside memory and interrelate or rebuild as well as develop those informations to achieve a goal or to discover a solution of the unsolved situation.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Worksheet is a learning source that facilitates students in the learning activity. A worksheet consists of experimental worksheet and non experimental worksheet. An experimental worksheet includes a worksheet that accomodates a practical work direction using instruments and materials. A non experimental worksheet includes a worksheet that accomodates a text that strives for students to conduct discussion in a learning material [15].

Student worksheets includes printed media of printed technology development, that are books and visual materials [16]. Student worksheet is one of learning sources that can be developed by lecturer, who plays a role as a facilitator in the learning activity. Student worksheet can be arranged and developed in accordance with any condition and situation that will be overcome [17]. Student worksheet is also a learning media, because it can be used simultaneously with other learning sources. Student worksheet can be a learning source or media depends on the design of the learning activity.

According to [18], inquiry-based instruction is an inquiry learning that can develop a way of thinking scientifically that positions students as a learner in solving problems and obtaining an investigative knowledge, so it can understand science concepts. An inquiry-based instruction gives opportunities on students to be engaged more actively in the learning activity. An instruction by inquiry according to [19], “Scientific inquiry extends beyond the development of process skills such as observing, inferring, classifying, predicting, measuring, questioning, interpreting and analyzing data. Scientific inquiry includes the traditional science processes, but also refers to the combining of these processes with scientific knowledge, scientific reasoning and critical thinking to develop scientific knowledge”.

This inquiry is a part of contextual instruction. Inquiry (to inquire) is the third component of main components in a contextual instruction. According to [20], a contextual inquiry-based learning process comprises orientation, problem formulation, hypotheses formulation, data collection, hypotheses testing and conclusion. It can be suggested that inquiry is a part of contextual learning component, in fact the application of an inquiry learning method is varied depends on any situation and condition as well.

According to [21], a contxtual inquiry is a varied process and comprises the activities to observe, to formulate relevant questions, to evaluate books and other sources of information
critically, to design an investigation, to review what is known, to conduct experiments with the use of instruments to obtain data, to analyze and interpret data, and also to make prediction and communicate the results. An inquiry refers to several ways where scientists studied a nature and proposed the explanations based on evidence from their works. A contextual inquiry also refers to students’ activities where they develop their knowledge and comprehension of scientific idea as well as understanding on how scientists studied a nature.

According to [22], thinking skill requires a skill to recall and understand, hence the skill of recall is the most important thing in developing thinking skills. In this case, someone who has not possessed skills to recall and understand, they also has not possessed skills to think rationally and logically. On the contrary, someone’s thinking skill has been absolutely intertwined by recalling and understanding skill as well.

According to [23], in the learning activity, students are not only obtaining the loads of knowledge, and keep them stacked into their minds, if they want to learn effectively. Students need to understand what they have learned and know when, where and how to use those knowledge. The learning effectiveness is extremely determined by knowledge. A dominant mental prose, in this case is “to think”.

III. METHOD

This study was conducted in the Laboratory of Biology, Universitas Negeri Medan in Jln. William Iskandar Pasar V Medan Estate – Postal Code 20221. It was conducted from April to June 2017.

The study was a quasi-experimental method with the population of all the sixth semester students at Universitas Negeri Medan, Indonesia. The samples consisted of two classes, Class A was classified into the experimental group, while Class C was classified into the control group, taken by simple random sampling. The learning instruction for the experimental group used a contextual inquiry-based worksheet for the topic of water microbiology, meanwhile the control group used a conventional worksheet.

The instrument of higher order thinking skills was the essay test consisting of 8 items. It was validated by 3 experts, furthermore the test could be used as a research instrument.

The data testing of the students’ pretests and post-tests was applied the normality test and hypotheses test by using spss version 22.0.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Results of Instrument Testing

The instrument could be used if it was initially validated by professional experts. The content and construct validity were validated by 3 expertise lecturers. The results of validation showed that the essay test could be used as the research instrument.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Average Score of Pretest</th>
<th>Minimum Score</th>
<th>Maximum Score</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>45.72</td>
<td>32.50</td>
<td>62.50</td>
<td>7.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>44.34</td>
<td>32.50</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>7.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From Table 1, it was clearly known that experimental group had an average score of 45.72, minimum score of 32.50, maximum score of 62.50, and standard deviation of 7.87. The control group had an average score of 44.34, minimum score of 32.50, maximum score of 60.00, and standard deviation of 7.35. Subsequently, the data was processed by the normality test. The normality test could be seen in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Normality Test Statistic</th>
<th>Significant</th>
<th>Descr.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>0.118</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.108</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From Table 2, it was clearly known that the data of both groups were normally distributed on the significance level of > 0.05. Subsequently, it was tested by the student t-test on pretest score for both groups. The results of data by a student t-test could be seen in Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Average Score</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Sig</th>
<th>α</th>
<th>Descr.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>45.72</td>
<td>7.87</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>There was no a significant difference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>44.34</td>
<td>7.37</td>
<td>0.353</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>There was no a significant difference</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From Table 3, it was obviously known that both groups had a homogenous average score of pretest, in which results of the test gave a value of 0.353, it could be concluded that there were no significant differences.

2. Post-test

Post-tests were conducted after experimental group used a contextual inquiry-based student worksheet and control group used a conventional worksheet. The average score of higher order thinking skill pretests could be seen in table 4.
As a result, the data was processed by the normality test. There was a significant difference of students’ higher order thinking skills using a contextual inquiry-based worksheet for the topic of water microbiology than students who were not taught by a contextual inquiry-based worksheet (conventional) of Biology Education, Universitas Negeri Medan ($t_{value}=4.001; p = 0.000$). The average score of students’ science process skills using a contextual inquiry-based worksheet was significantly higher than taught by without the use of a contextual inquiry-based worksheet (conventional) ($44.34 \pm 7.35$).

Based on the results of hypothetical testing for students’ higher order thinking skills on post-test was clearly known that there was a significant difference of students’ higher order thinking skills taught by the use of a contextual inquiry-based worksheet and taught without the use of a contextual inquiry-based worksheet (conventional) for students of Biology Education, Universitas Negeri Medan ($t_{value}=0.932; p = 0.353$). The average score of students’ higher order thinking skills taught by the use of a contextual inquiry-based worksheet was (45.72 ± 8.87) significantly higher than taught by without the use of a contextual inquiry-based worksheet (conventional) ($44.34 \pm 7.35$).

In this case, it could be concluded that there was a significant difference of students’ higher order thinking skills who were taught by a contextual inquiry-based worksheet for the topic of water microbiology than students who were not taught by a contextual inquiry-based worksheet (conventional) of Biology Education, Universitas Negeri Medan. A higher-order thinking was very important for every student as a foundation to apply a scientific method in developing science and obtaining a new knowledge or developing their own knowledge as well.

It would be due to the contextual inquiry was closely related with the classroom activity for a certain learning method in developing science and obtaining a new knowledge or important for every student as a foundation to apply a scientific method in developing science and obtaining a new knowledge or developing their own knowledge as well.

3. Discussion

Based on the results of hypothetical testing for higher-order thinking on pretest, it was known that there was no a significant difference on students’ higher-order thinking skills which was taught by a contextual inquiry-based worksheet and taught without the use of a contextual inquiry-based worksheet (conventional) for students of Biology Education, Universitas Negeri Medan ($t_{value}=0.932; p = 0.353$). The average score of students’ higher order thinking skills taught by the use of a contextual inquiry-based worksheet was (45.72 ± 8.87) significantly higher than taught by without the use of a contextual inquiry-based worksheet (conventional) ($44.34 \pm 7.35$).

From Table 5, it was known that the data of both groups were normally distributed on the significance level of $> 0.05$. Subsequently, it was tested by the student t-test on post-test score for both groups. The results of data by a student t-test could be seen in Table 6.
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From Table 5, it was clearly known that experimental group had an average score of 72.34, minimum score of 57.50, maximum score of 85.00, and standard deviation of 7.87. The control group had an average score of 66.62, minimum score of 52.50, maximum score of 85.00, and standard deviation of 6.77. Subsequently, the data was processed by the normality test. The normality test could be seen in Table 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Average Score of Post-test</th>
<th>Minimum Score</th>
<th>Maximum Score</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>72.34</td>
<td>57.50</td>
<td>85.00</td>
<td>7.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>66.62</td>
<td>52.50</td>
<td>85.00</td>
<td>6.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From Table 5, it was clearly known that experimental group had an average score of 72.34, minimum score of 57.50, maximum score of 85.00, and standard deviation of 7.87. The control group had an average score of 66.62, minimum score of 52.50, maximum score of 85.00, and standard deviation of 6.77. Subsequently, the data was processed by the normality test. The normality test could be seen in Table 5.

From Table 6, it was known that the data was processed by the normality test. There was a significant difference. The difference of students’ higher order thinking skills using a contextual inquiry-based worksheet and without using a contextual inquiry-based worksheet (conventional) were depicted in Figure 3.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Average Score of Post-test</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Sig</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>Descrier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>72.34</td>
<td>7.67</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>There was a significant difference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>66.62</td>
<td>6.77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Normality Test</th>
<th>Statistic</th>
<th>Significant</th>
<th>Significant</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>0.117</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the results of hypothetical testing for students’ higher order thinking skills on pretest, it was known that there was no a significant difference on students’ higher-order thinking skills which was taught by a contextual inquiry-based worksheet and taught without the use of a contextual inquiry-based worksheet (conventional) for students of Biology Education, Universitas Negeri Medan ($t_{value}=0.932; p = 0.353$). The average score of students’ higher order thinking skills taught by the use of a contextual inquiry-based worksheet was (45.72 ± 8.87) significantly higher than taught by without the use of a contextual inquiry-based worksheet (conventional) ($44.34 \pm 7.35$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Average Score of Post-test</th>
<th>Minimum Score</th>
<th>Maximum Score</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>72.34</td>
<td>57.50</td>
<td>85.00</td>
<td>7.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>66.62</td>
<td>52.50</td>
<td>85.00</td>
<td>6.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Normality Test</th>
<th>Kolmogorov-Smirnov</th>
<th>Statistic</th>
<th>Significant</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>0.117</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From Table 5, it was clearly known that experimental group had an average score of 72.34, minimum score of 57.50, maximum score of 85.00, and standard deviation of 7.87. The control group had an average score of 66.62, minimum score of 52.50, maximum score of 85.00, and standard deviation of 6.77. Subsequently, the data was processed by the normality test. The normality test could be seen in Table 5.
purpose that would be achieved, involving the original data analysis, encouraging students’ communication and collaborating with constructive learning process, involving the use of materials’ skills and cooperating in the application of science concepts for the context of direct instruction.

This was in line with the study of [24], stated that the development of contextual-based microbiology learning tools could enhance students’ higher order thinking skills. [25], stated that inquiry learning model could increase students’ learning outcomes for the topic of fungi in the tenth grade of SMK Negeri 1 Rambah, Academic Year 2014/2015. The study of [26] stated that the development of guided inquiry-based learning module could improve students’ higher order thinking skill. [27] stated that through the processes of problem solving could enhance students’ higher order thinking skills, where problem solving and guided inquiry were classified into constructivist learning models. It means that the constructivist learning approaches were very effective to enhance students’ higher order thinking skills.

Higher order thinking skill was defined as the use of thinking more widely to find a new challenge. This higher order thinking skill wanted someone to apply the new information or other previous knowledge and then manipulate the information to reach the possibility of an answer in a new situation [28].

V. CONCLUSION

Based on the results of the study, it could be concluded that: there was a difference of students’ higher order thinking skills with the use of a contextual inquiry-based student worksheet and without the use of a contextual inquiry-based student worksheet (conventional) for the topic of water microbiology.

Based on the tests that have been employed and conclusion aforementioned, any suggestion were highly required, as follows: (1) Student worksheet was arranged in accordance with the contextual inquiry learning instruction. Hence, the student worksheet could be used as a guidance of additional practical work, so it was able to gives students some more positive feedbacks; (2) In order to have a more meaningful and deep insight for the perfection of this student worksheet, so the contextual inquiry-based worksheet for the topic of water microbiology with a broader scope to get more maximum results; and (3) The results of this research and developmental study was probably influenced by any uncontrollable factors, so it needs a much further research for more materials and samples and for more maximum results as well.
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