Identifying Mobile Indicators that Reflect the Functioning of Biological Systems Depending on the Environmental Factors
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Abstract—Mobile indicators have been established (productive indicators, biological indicators and natural resistance) that show a high degree of susceptibility to influence of various external factors, including feeding ones. A large influence quantity of the feeding factors onto poultry productivity was established, including that onto meat productivity indicators. The identified mobile indicators, such as blood biochemistry levels and leukocyte count may not be used for evaluation of the degree of influence from the feed-linked factors, as the influence quantity varied significantly, depending on both the type of the feed and the indicators being evaluated.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Study of factors influencing a living organism shall be conducted with considerations for a detailed analysis of system elements and their interactions with the environment. This model does not allow for an analytical study, but its parameters have a clear physical and biological meaning, and if the components of the system are well-studied, it may produce a quality prediction of response to various environmental factors [1 - 6].

Each factor used to improve animal productivity has its influence quantity; it influences the organism and causes changes in it. A mandatory stage in evaluation of influence quantity over certain indicators is a variance analysis (ANOVA) that allows estimating what influence one or several controlled factors have over a resulting variable value of the research [7 - 10].

The objective of this research was to determine the influence quantity of environmental (feed-related) factors onto productive and biological indicators of gosling broilers and their natural resistance.

The research tasks included studying the influence quantity of the following feed-related factors (feed additives) onto productive and biological indicators of gosling broilers: bentonite, Stimul, potassium iodide, casein iodide, sodium selenite, Sel-Plex, Vetocel E forte, Avizyme 1200 (as a part of a wheat feed mix), Avizyme 1200 (as a part of a wheat-and-barley feed mix), Natufos, Liv 52 Vet, Levisel SB plus, Agrimos, Vetom, Lactobifadol.

II. METHODS

The study of influence quantity of the feeding factors (all the feed additives analyzed) was conducted on gosling broilers of Italian White breed throughout 15 experiments. Poultry raising period was 60 days in all the experiments. The raising of gosling broilers proceeded in two periods: starting (weeks 1 through 3) and finishing (weeks 4 through 9). Feeding of the goslings was based on the norms stipulated by VNITI of Poultry Raising. All the experiments were performed at the premises of OOO Makhalov Pedigree Farm, Kurgan oblast.

The goslings were weighed individually (50 birds from each group), once every 10 days before the morning feeding. At the end of each experiment (the end of raising), the birds were slaughtered, the carcasses of the goslings were anatomized to reveal the influence of feed additives onto goose meat productivity following the methodological guidelines prescribed by VASKhNIL and VNITIP.

Samples of blood were taken from anal vein in the middle of raising to study the hematological indicators and natural resistance indicators. The research was conducted in accordance with standard methodologies.

The living conditions of the experimental poultry were identical in all the experiments and complied with necessary process requirements. All the birds in the experiments were clinically healthy. Throughout the experimental period, the
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goslings were under observation of a veterinarian, a livestock specialist and several poultry maids.

Experimental raw data was processed with univariate and bivariate analysis of variance with the Student's t-test. The range of influence quantity of various factors over the indicators was: for live weight the value below 10% was considered a weak influence, from 10% to 20% was considered a moderate influence, over 20% it was considered a strong influence; for the rest of the indicators, below 20% was considered weak influence, from 20 to 50% was considered a moderate influence, and over 50% was considered a strong influence.

### III. RESEARCH RESULTS

As a result of the research, it has been established that the studied feed-related factors had ambiguous influence on productive and biological indicators of gosling broilers.

Poultry productivity is an economically-useful attribute with a high degree of variance that is determined by multiple factors including feeding. Biostimulating action of feed additives onto poultry organism is manifested in increase of its viability, growth rate and feed use efficiency [1 - 5] (Table I).

**TABLE 1—INFLUENCE OF VARIOUS FEED-RELATED FACTORS OVER LIVE WEIGHT OF GOSLING BROILERS, %**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor (Feed additive)</th>
<th>Live weight</th>
<th>10 days</th>
<th>30 days</th>
<th>60 days</th>
<th>Daily average increment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>11.88</td>
<td>9.04</td>
<td>5.22</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stimul</td>
<td>15.71**</td>
<td>21.13**</td>
<td>25.27**</td>
<td>23.34**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium iodide</td>
<td>9.64</td>
<td>19.80**</td>
<td>10.74</td>
<td>10.68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casein iodide</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>4.58</td>
<td>25.07**</td>
<td>24.58**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium selenite</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>4.46</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sel-Plex</td>
<td>7.97</td>
<td>10.10</td>
<td>11.07</td>
<td>11.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vetosel E forte</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>5.13</td>
<td>8.29</td>
<td>8.31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avizyme 1200</td>
<td>14.07</td>
<td>18.29**</td>
<td>23.21**</td>
<td>23.21**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avizyme 1200 (wheat feed mixture)</td>
<td>11.14</td>
<td>16.32**</td>
<td>19.71**</td>
<td>20.02**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avizyme 1200 (wheat-and-barley feed mixture)</td>
<td>9.45**</td>
<td>12.25**</td>
<td>14.00**</td>
<td>15.54**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liv 52 Vet</td>
<td>22.20**</td>
<td>18.92**</td>
<td>18.20**</td>
<td>18.40**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levisel SB plus</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>7.70</td>
<td>7.68</td>
<td>7.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agrimos</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>7.67</td>
<td>11.08</td>
<td>11.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vetom</td>
<td>15.82**</td>
<td>27.57**</td>
<td>31.17**</td>
<td>30.12**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactobifadol</td>
<td>21.64**</td>
<td>24.60**</td>
<td>33.54**</td>
<td>32.67**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hereinafter, *P≤0.05 **P≤0.01 ***P≤0.001

It has been established, that the largest influence onto live weight of gosling broilers was exerted by application of probiotic feed additives (Vetom and Lactobifadol) and Stimul feed additive. The influence onto live weight from feeding the goslings broilers with the Vetom probiotic was 15.82% at the age of 10 days at P≤0.01; 27.57% at the age of 30 days at P≤0.01; 31.17% at the age of 60 days at P≤0.01; the influence onto the daily average increment was 30.12%, at P≤0.01; the influence of Lactobifadol onto the live weight was 21.64% at the age of 10 days at P≤0.01; 24.69% at the age of 30 days at P≤0.01; 33.54% at the age of 60 days at P≤0.01; its influence over the daily average increment was 32.67% at P≤0.01. The influence of the Stimul feed additive was 15.71% at the age of 10 days at P≤0.05; 21.13% at the age of 30 days at P≤0.01; 25.27% at the age of 60 days at P≤0.01; its influence over the daily average increment was 23.34% at P≤0.01. On average, the influence of the Stimul feed additive onto the live weight (taking into account all the indicators in this group) amounted to 21.36%; the influence of the probiotic preparations was 26.17% (Vetom) and 28.14% (Lactobifadol), that is, the influence quantity of these feed additives was in the upper range.

In the moderate range as for the influence onto the live weight of gosling broilers (taking into account all the indicators in this group), there are the following additives: Liv 52 Vet phytobiotic (19.45%); various enzymes, such as: Avizyme 1200 on a wheat feed mixture (19.70%); Avizyme 1200 in a wheat-and-barley feed mix (16.80%) and Naturfos (15.31%), as well as feed additives that contain iodine (potassium iodide (12.72%) and casein iodide (13.91%). However, analyzing the influence quantity from application of the feed additives in the context of individual indicators, it should be noted that the difference between the minimal and maximal influence quantity was less pronounced when the Liv 52 Vet phytobiotic was used: from 18.29% of influence onto the live weight of gosling broilers at 60 days to 22.20% of influence onto the live weight at the age of 10 days.

When other feed additives were used in gosling broiler feed, where the influence quantity onto the live weight was in the middle range, the difference between the maximum and minimum of influence was higher: Avizyme 1200 enzymes on wheat feed mixture (9.14%), Avizyme 1200 in wheat-and-barley feed mix (8.88%), Naturfos (16.09%); iodine-containing additives: potassium iodide (10.16%) and casein iodide (24.07%).

Application of bentonite, sodium selenite, Sel-Plex, Vetosel E forte, Levisel SB plus and Agrimos as feed additives did not have a significant influence over the live weight of gosling broilers, the influence quantity was in the low range. The influence quantity of bentonite introduced into gosling broiler feed varied in a range from 5.18% (on daily average increment) to 11.88% (on live weight at the age of 10 days); that of sodium selenite – from 1.27% (on live weight at the age of 10 days) to 4.46% (on live weight at the age of 30 days); the influence quantity of Sel-Plex varied from 7.97% (on live weight at the age of 10 days) to 11.07 (on live weight at the age of 60 days). The effect from introduction of Vetosel E forte varied from 3.12% (on live weight at the age of 10 days) to 8.31% (on daily average increment); that from Levisel SB plus varied from 1.56% (on live weight at the age of 10 days) to 7.68% (on live weight at the age of 60 days). Introduction of Agrimos showed influence varying from 1.19% (on live weight at the age of 10 days) to 11.56% (at daily average increment).

Thus, the average influence quantity onto live weight indicators of gosling broilers was maximal for the following additives: Vetom (26.17%), Lactobifadol (28.14%), Stimul (21.36%), Avizyme 1200 enzyme in wheat feed mixes (19.70%) and Liv 52 Vet phytobiotic (19.45%). Several additives showed almost no influence: Vetosel E forte (averaged indicators of live weight amounted to 6.21%), Levisel SB plus (averaged indicators of live weight amounted
to 5.99%) and sodium selenite (averaged indicators of live weight amounted to 3,31%).

Morphological and biochemical characteristics of blood hold a special place and are important for evaluation of physiological status of an organism and timely diagnostics. Blood provides connection of metabolic processes that take place in various organs and tissues, thus performing protective, transport, regulatory, respiratory, thermotaxic and other functions [6-7]. The influence quantity of feed-related factors onto the morphological and biochemical blood levels of gosling broilers is shown in Figures 1 and 2.

When evaluating the influence of feed-related factors onto morphological blood levels, it was established, that five feed additives showed maximum influence (taking into account the influence of feed factors onto all the morphological levels): Probiotics Vetom (75.48%), Levisel SB plus (61.09%), prebiotic Agrimos (69.28%), and enzymes Natufos (62.59%) and Avizyme 1200 in a wheat feed mix (50.49%) (the influence quantity is in the high range). The difference in influence (between the maximum and the minimum) of various additives introduced in gosling broiler feed varied: for Vetom probiotic from 65.84% at P≤0.01 (on erythrocyte count) to 96.98%, at P≤0.05 (on leukocyte count) and amounted to 31.14%; for Agrimos prebiotic from 51.72% at P≤0.01 (for blood quotient) to 92.86% at P≤0.01 (on leukocyte count) and amounted to 59.60%; for Levicel SB plus probiotic from 35.92% at P≤0.01 (on erythrocyte count) to 95.52% at P≤0.001 (on hemoglobin content) and amounted to 59.60%; for Natufos enzyme from 39.20% at P≤0.001 (on hemoglobin content) to 91.89% at P≤0.05 (on leukocyte count) and amounted to 52.69%; for Avizyme 1200 enzyme in wheat feed mix from 22.01%, at P≤0.001 (on leukocyte count) to 78.40% at P≤0.01 (on hemoglobin content) and amounted to 56.39%.

When evaluating the influence of feed-related factors onto morphological blood levels, it was established, that five feed additives showed moderate influence (taking into account the influence of feed factors onto all the morphological levels): potassium iodide (41.61%); bentonite (39.73%); Stimul (37.59%); Lactobifadol probiotic (36.63%); Liv 52 Vet phytobiotic (36.53%) and Avizyme 1200 enzyme in wheat-and-barley feed mix (31.89%). When evaluating the influence of feed-related factors onto morphological blood levels, it was established, that the low level of influence was characteristic of selenium-containing additives (taking into account the influence of feed factors onto all the morphological levels): sodium selenite (14.75%); Sel-Plex (11.65%) and Vetosel E forte (13.86%).

Such an indicator as leukocyte count cannot be included in the evaluated indicators, as the influence quantity of feed additives introduced to gosling broilers significantly deviates from mean values for all the morphological blood levels. For example, when using bentonite as a feed-related factor, while the average influence quantity was 48.07%, the leukocyte count was 14.77%. The same values for Stimul were 37.59 and 19.25%; for potassium iodide they were 34.23 and 63.75%; for casein iodide they were 29.57 and 6.46%; for sodium selenite they were 11.51 and 24.45%; for Sel-Plex they were 15.22 and 0.96%; for Vetosel E forte they were 15.66 and 8.44%; for Avizyme 1200 in wheat feed mix they were 59.98 and 22.01%; for Avizyme 1200 in wheat-and-barley feed mix they were 31.89 and 1.10%; for Natufos they were 52.82 and 91.89%; for Liv 52 Vet they were 44.27 and 13.32%; for Levisel SB plus they were 61.09 and 44.57%; for Agrimos they were 69.28 and 92.86%; for Vetom they were 68.31 and 96.98%; for Lactobifadol they were - 44.09 and 14.25%, respectively.

When evaluating the influence of feed-related factors onto biochemical blood levels, it was established, that five feed additives showed maximum influence (taking into account the influence of feed factors onto all the biochemical blood levels): calcium (29.56%); crude protein (23.80%); calcium (16.67%); Hemoglobin (15.66%); and casein (10.56%). The influence quantity of feed factors stayed in the same range: The high range included phytobiotic (36.53%); Lactobifadol probiotic (36.63%); Probiotics Vetom (37.59%); Lactobifadol probiotic (36.63%); Liv 52 Vet phytobiotic (36.53%) and Avizyme 1200 enzyme in wheat-and-barley feed mix (31.89%). When evaluating the influence of feed-related factors onto biochemical blood levels, it was established, that the low level of influence was characteristic of selenium-containing additives (taking into account the influence of feed factors onto all the biochemical levels): sodium selenite (14.75%); Sel-Plex (11.65%) and Vetosel E forte (13.86%).

Such an indicator as leukocyte count cannot be included in the evaluated indicators, as the influence quantity of feed additives introduced to gosling broilers significantly deviates from mean values for all the biochemical blood levels. For example, when using bentonite as a feed-related factor, while the average influence quantity was 48.07%, the leukocyte count was 14.77%. The same values for Stimul were 37.59 and 19.25%; for potassium iodide they were 34.23 and 63.75%; for casein iodide they were 29.57 and 6.46%; for sodium selenite they were 11.51 and 24.45%; for Sel-Plex they were 15.22 and 0.96%; for Vetosel E forte they were 15.66 and 8.44%; for Avizyme 1200 in wheat feed mix they were 59.98 and 22.01%; for Avizyme 1200 in wheat-and-barley feed mix they were 31.89 and 1.10%; for Natufos they were 52.82 and 91.89%; for Liv 52 Vet they were 44.27 and 13.32%; for Levisel SB plus they were 61.09 and 44.57%; for Agrimos they were 69.28 and 92.86%; for Vetom they were 68.31 and 96.98%; for Lactobifadol they were - 44.09 and 14.25%, respectively.
between the minimum and maximum influence quantity of various feed factors onto morphological blood levels of gosling broilers was reduced significantly.

The quantity of influence that the feed factors exert over the biochemical blood levels of gosling broilers varied significantly, depending on both studied feed additives and the indicator being estimated.

For example, the alkali reserve of the gosling broilers was strongly influenced by two probiotics, Vetom (96.39% at P≤0.05) and Lactobifadol (91.24% at P≤0.05), respectively. The influence of Vetosel E forte (48.89% at P≤0.001), the Natufos enzyme (29.62%) and the Levisel SB plus probiotic (20.11%) onto the alkali reserve of gosling broilers was in the moderate range. The influence of the rest of the studied feed additives was in the lower range: from 3.06% (Avizyme 1200 in wheat feed mix) to 19.69% (potassium iodide).

A high quantity of influence over the crude protein in blood of gosling broilers was registered for potassium iodide (77.00% at P≤0.01), Vetosel E forte (72.19% at P≤0.01), the Liv 52 Vet phytobiotic (80.67% at P≤0.01), Lactobifadol probiotic (56.74% at P≤0.001) and the Levisel SB plus probiotic (72.44% at P≤0.001); the Stimul feed additive (64.29% at P≤0.01) and the Avizyme 1200 enzyme in wheat and-barley feed mix (52.31% at P≤0.001).

A moderate quantity of influence over the crude protein in blood of gosling broilers was registered for the Vetom probiotic (44.77% at P≤0.001) and the Agrimos probiotic (39.60% at P≤0.001); casein iodide feed additive (42.64% at P≤0.01); the Avizyme 1200 enzyme in wheat feed mix (28.96% at P≤0.001) and the Sel-Plex enzyme (21.69%).

A low quantity of influence over the crude protein in blood of gosling broilers was registered as a result of application of such feed additives as bentonite (9.70%); sodium selenite (0.25%); Natufos enzyme (1.87%).

Content of mineral components of gosling broiler blood (potassium and inorganic phosphorus) experienced an influence in the high range from the application of the following feed additives: casein iodide (58.46 and 83.64% at P<0.01, respectively); sodium selenite (89.47 and 81.70% at P<0.01, respectively); Sel-Plex (50.29 and 60.00% at P<0.01, respectively). Use of bentonite and Stimul in the feeding of gosling broilers also significantly influenced the calcium content of blood, with the influence quantity of 75.90% at P<0.01 and 55.53% at P<0.01, respectively. In the high range of influence of the content of inorganic phosphorus in the blood of gosling broilers, there are Levisel SB plus probiotic with 65.13 at P<0.001 and Agrimos prebiotic with 57.70 at P<0.001.

In the moderate range of influence over the calcium content in the blood of gosling broilers there are Avizyme 1200 in both wheat and wheat-and-barley feed mixes, as well as the Liv 52 Vet phytobiotic (49.12% and 41.36% at P<0.01, and 26.81%, respectively). In the moderate range of influence over the content of inorganic phosphorus in the blood of gosling broilers, there are potassium iodide (33.33% at P<0.001); Stimul (24.97%) and the Liv 52 Vet phytobiotic (24.13%).

Low-level influence over the mineral components of gosling broiler blood was registered for the use of the following feed additives: Vetosel E forte, the Natufos enzyme, the Vetom and Lactobifadol probiotics.

Thus, when evaluating the influence of feed-related factors onto the morphological blood levels of gosling broilers, it was established, that the following feed additives showed maximum influence (taking into account the influence of feed factors onto all the morphological levels): The Vetom and Levisel SB plus probiotics (75.48 and 61.09%, respectively); the Agrimos probiotic (61.09%), the Natufos enzyme (62.59%) and the Avizyme 1200 enzyme in a wheat feed mix (50.49%). When evaluating the influence of feed-related factors onto morphological blood levels, it was established, that the minimal level of influence was characteristic of selenium-containing additives (taking into account the influence of feed factors onto all the morphological levels): sodium selenite (14.75%); Sel-Plex (11.65%) and Vetosel E forte (13.86%).

Using the biochemical blood levels as a group for evaluation of the quantity of influence that the feed-related factors exert onto gosling broilers is deemed impossible, as there are significant variations, linked to both feed additives used and the indicators being evaluated. Thus, it is impossible to establish any regularity in the influence quantity. In addition, such an indicator as leukocyte count cannot be included in the evaluated indicators, as the influence quantity of feed additives introduced to gosling broilers deviates significantly from mean values for all the morphological blood levels.

Currently, more and more attention is paid to keeping the poultry healthy, and it is hard to overestimate the importance of the immune system within this context. Constant monitoring of normal cells of organs and tissues for pathogens is the most important function of the immune system [8 - 19] (Figure 3).
It has been established, that the natural resistance of gosling broilers was under a large influence (in the high range) of the following feed additives: Vetosel E forte, Levisel SB plus phytobiotic, Vetom, Lactobifadol and Levisel SB plus probiotics and Agrimos prebiotic.

The range of influence of the Vetosel E forte onto phagocytic activity was from 54.82% (phagocytic index) at P≤0.01 to 88.90% (phagocytic activity) at P≤0.05; that of Liv 52 Vet phytobiotic was from 54.92% (phagocytic capacity) at P≤0.01 to 84.34% (phagocytic number) at P≤0.01; that of Vetom probiotic was from 57.22% (phagocytic activity) at P≤0.01 to 70.13% (phagocytic index) at P≤0.01; that of Lactobifadol probiotic was from 42.03% (leukocyte count) at P≤0.01 to 69.25% (phagocytic index) at P≤0.01; that of Levisel SB plus probiotic was from 35.92% (leukocyte count) at P≤0.01 to 94.70% (phagocytic number) at P≤0.01; and that of Agrimos prebiotic was from 11.76% (leukocyte count to 91.40% (phagocytic capacity) at P≤0.01. On average, the influence quantity of the feed-related factors over the phagocytic activity in gosling broilers had the following values: for Vetosel E forte – 57.95%; for the Liv 52 Vet phytobiotic – 59.84%; for the Vetom, Lactobifadol and Levisel SB plus probiotics – 69.97; 56.62 and 60.999% Respectively; and for Agrimos prebiotic – 59.55%.

The medium range of influence onto the fagocytic activity in gosling broilers was taken up by such feed additives as bentonite, Stimul and selenium-containing additives (sodium selenite and Sel-plex). When bentonite was used in feeding the gosling broilers, the range of their indicators of physiological status was in the range from 17.70% (leukocyte count) to 50.00%, at P≤0.01 (phagocytic number); for the Stimul feed additive it was in the range from 19.25% (leukocyte count) to 51.62% at P≤0.01 (phagocytic activity). For sodium selenite the influence range was from 4.46 % (leukocyte count) to 39.70% at P≤0.01 (phagocytic number); for Sel-plex in was from 10.10% (leukocyte count) to 62.96% (phagocytic number) at P≤0.01.

On average (taking into account the influence of feed-related factors onto natural resistance indicators), the influence quantity of the feed-related factors onto the phagocytic activity of gosling broilers amounted to: 33.54% for bentonite; 32.62% for Stimul; 26.23% for sodium selenite; 40.42% for Sel-Plex.

The quantity of influence over the physiological state of the gosling broilers from such feed-related factors as iodine-containing additives is insignificant (low range of influence). On average, though all the indicators of influence over the natural resistance of gosling broilers, the influence quantity for potassium iodide and casein iodide were 15.50% and 11.09%, respectively; for Avizyme 1200 enzyme in a wheat feed mix, Avizyme 1200 enzyme in a wheat-and-barley feed mix and Natufos enzyme the values were 13.41%; 3.59% and 24.45%, respectively.

Leukocyte count (just like for the morphological blood levels) may not be included with the evaluated indicators, as the influence quantity onto the leukocyte count deviates significantly from the average influence quantities showed by various feed-related factors over the natural resistance. For example, when using bentonite as a feed-related factor, while the average influence quantity was 33.54%, the leukocyte count was 14.70%. The same values for Stimul were 32.62 and 19.25%; for potassium iodide they were 15.50 and 19.80%; for casein iodide they were 11.09 and 4.58%; for sodium selenite they were 26.23 and 4.46%; for Sel-Plex they were 40.46 and 10.10%; for Vetosel E forte they were 57.95 and 8.44%; for Avizyme 1200 in wheat feed mix they were 13.41 and 22.01%; for Avizyme 1200 in wheat-and-barley feed mix they were 3.59 and 1.10%; for Natufos they were 24.45 and 91.89%; for Liv 52 Vet they were 59.84 and 13.32%; for Levisel SB plus they were 60.99 and 35.92%; for Agrimos they were 59.55 and 11.76%; for Vetom they were 69.97 and 96.98%; for Lactobifadol they were - 56.62 and 42.03%, respectively.

Thus, maximum influence over the natural resistance of gosling broilers was exerted by application of the following factors: Vetosel E forte, Liv 52 Vet, Vetom, Levisel SB plus, Agrimos and Lactobifadol.

Meat productivity is determined by bird's capability to form a powerful muscular system at an early age. This capability is related to peculiarities of build, early meat maturation, efficiency of feed utilization. The influence of various feed-related factors over the meat productivity of the gosling broilers is reflected in Figure 4.

![Fig. 4 – Influence of various feed-related factors over the meat productivity of gosling broilers, %](image-url)
minimum and maximum influence over the meat productivity they showed smaller difference: 11.38% for potassium iodide and 10.38% for casein iodide.

In the high range (70 - 80% of the average quantity of influence exerted by the feed-related factors over all the meat productivity indicators of gosling broilers) there were Sel-Plex feed additive (77.80%) with a difference between the maximum and the minimum influence quantity in 14.69% and Agrimos probiotic (73.35%) with the difference of 18.07%. The range from 50 to 70% as averaged over all the indicators of meat productivity of the gosling broilers includes almost all the other feed additives: bentonite 58.91%; Stimul – 62.27%; Natufos – 61.94%; Avizyme 1200 in a wheat feed mix – 66.43%; sodium selenite – 66.48%; Vetosel E forte – 68.69% and Lactobifadol – 69.84%. The moderate influence range includes only one feed additive, Avizyme 1200 enzyme in a wheat-and-barley feed mix, its influence averaged over all the indicators of the gosling broiler meat productivity was 38.42%.

Thus, use of all the feed additives had significant influence over the meat productivity of gosling broilers.

IV. CONCLUSION

The research has shown that determining the influence quantity that feed-related factors exert onto productive and biological indicators of gosling broilers helped identify the feed additives that have the maximum and minimum effect onto the studied indicators. A high degree of influence over live weight, morphological blood levels and natural resistance was registered from using the Vetom probiotic in feeding the gosling broilers. A low degree of influence over the live weight and morphological blood levels of gosling broilers was shown by selenium-containing feed additives showed, namely: sodium selenite, Sel-Plex and Vetosel E forte. All the feed-related factors had strong influence onto the meat productivity of gosling broilers.

Using the biochemical blood levels as a group for evaluation of the quantity of influence that the feed-related factors exert onto gosling broilers is deemed impossible, as there are significant variations, linked to both feed additives used and the indicators being evaluated. In addition, such an indicator as leucocyte count may not be included with the morphological blood levels and natural resistance indicators, as the influence quantity of feed additives introduced to the gosling broilers deviates significantly from mean values for all the morphological blood levels.
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