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Abstract—This classroom action research aims to improve the activity and learning outcomes through the application of problem-based learning model. This research is a classroom action research using design from Kemmis Taggart covering 4 stages: (1) planning, (2) action, (3) observation, and (4) reflection. The subjects in this research were 32 elementary school students. This research was conducted in 3 cycles. The results of the study included (1) the activity of students in the first cycle reached 70, the second cycle reached 75, and the third cycle reached 87.5 (2) the learning outcomes in the cycle I completed 47, student learning mastery reaches 75, and cycle III student learning mastery reach 90.62. Therefore, it can be concluded that the implementation of problem-based learning model can improve the activity and learning outcomes of students of the primary school.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Education is one of the most important sectors that has a direct contribution to the quality of human resources [1]. The quality of human resources is aimed at achieving national excellence in national development.

In the 2014 school’s academic year, the government has set all schools both primary and secondary schools should implement the 2013 Curriculum. The 2013 curriculum is prepared to produce productive, creative, innovative, and character human resources. For those purposes, educators must be able to prepare learners with various abilities that are learning to know, learning to do, learning to live together, learning to be, and learning to Faith and Taqwa [2].

One of the subthemes that should be understood by the students of class V is the economic role in the efforts of prospering people's lives. To understand the economic role in efforts to improve the lives of people in elementary school students is not easy. Many issues are related to social problems that occur in the community. To solve the problem, it requires solution by involving the role of student activity in both physical and thought activity [3].

Previously the researcher has conducted observations at SDN Dr. Sutomo I. Learning that has been done in SDN Dr. Sutomo I found that there are some obstacles in the field, namely still many students who have not mastered the material and the low interest of students in studying the material so the effect is low value of students (below limits).

The teachers have tried to overcome the problems and obstacles. These efforts are changing the learning strategies and methods.

The learning model prioritized in the implementation of the 2013 curriculum is the learning model of project-based learning, problem-based learning, inquiry [2] it is a change of learning paradigm, which focuses on students and trained to develop communication skills, working together, and problems solving, so the learning outcomes are increasing.

Related to the description of the activity and the result of the learning condition of class V SDN. Dr. Sutomo I / 323 Surabaya which is still categorized lack, hence on the action research will use the model of problem-based learning to increase activity and student learning outcomes.

Arends [4] states that the problem-based learning model is a learning where children become the center of attention in learning to solve problems in real-world situations.

Nur [5] argues that there are three theoretical and empirical support in the problem-based learning model, such as:

a) Dewey and problem-oriented classes

Dewey's view that schools are a place for problem-solving research authentically. Dewey's pedagogical perspectives encourage educators to engage learners in problem-oriented activities. The orientation of the problem is closely related to the problem-based learning model. These problems must be solved by the learners to find a solution.

b) Piaget, Vygotsky, and constructivism.

A constructivist learning theory that requires learners to investigate their environment by building a personal knowledge of the lessons learned. Piaget's learning theory of cognitive processes integrates a stimulus that can be either perceptions, concepts, laws, principles or new experiences into the schema that already exists in a person's mind. Vygotsky's theory of learning places an important role in the social...
aspects of learning. Vygotsky believes social relationships with others drive on building new ideas and enriching intellectu"
The Implementation of learning cycle II, the teacher has implemented the problem-based learning model well, and the characteristics of problem-based learning have been implemented. In group discussion activities many students propose or respond to problems. To overcome the problems teachers should provide opportunities for students who rarely ask or respond to problems. In cycle II activity and student learning outcomes still not exceeded the indicator of success.

In cycle III the shortcomings that exist in cycle II can be solved so it can increase student activity and learning outcomes because it has exceeded the indicators of the success of learning.

C. Student Activities

Based on observations made by two observers in learning by implementing problem-based learning model, students’ activity in cycle I-III shows that in the 1st cycle the success obtained 70%, it has not increased. In 2nd cycle obtained a success 75%, and it also has not increased yet because it has not exceeded the success indicator where the indicator of the success of at least 80%, and on 3rd cycle obtained a success 87.5%. In the third cycle, the observation of student activity has improved because it exceeds the predetermined success indicator. The indicator of success that has been set at least 80% of students active in learning. It can see the results of student activity improvement as follow

Graph 1. Observation of Student Activity Cycle I-III

D. Student Learning Results

1. Cognitive Learning Outcomes

The completeness of classical learning in cycle I after applying the learning with problem-based learning model 47% obtained of learning mastery, while the average student learning outcomes of 71.93. Based on indicators of the success of learning, the 1st cycle can be said that it hasn't increased yet, because it has not reached the indicator of classical success in learning at least 80% of students reach. Learning outcomes or individual minimal pass criteria, it is considered passed when they reach 75. In the second cycle student learning outcomes in a classical gain 75%. While the average student learning outcomes are 75.31. The 2nd Cycle can be said has not increased, because it has not reached the indicator of success in learning.

In the third cycle, student learning outcomes are 90.62%. While the average student learning outcome is 77.81. By reaching of classical completeness of 90.62%, it means that in the 3rd cycle has increased that is set a minimum 80%. Student cognitive learning outcomes can be seen in the graph below.

Graph 2. Results of Cognitive Learning of Cycle Students I-III

2. Affective Learning Outcomes

Students' affective learning outcomes that include collaborative skills or group discussions, paying attention to classroom discussions or when the teacher is explained, and dare to express an opinion or answer questions. The result of affective learning from cycle I-II has not shown improvement yet, because it has not achieved success indicator of 80%. In the first cycle students who are good at learning outcomes reached 18 students or 56.25%. While the ones who are not good is 14 students or 43.75%. In the second cycle students who are good at learning outcomes reached 25 students or 78.12%. While that is not good is 7 students or 21.87%. Moreover, in the third cycle of affective learning, there are 28 good students or 87.5%, while the rest of 12.5% or 4 students are not good. This means that in cycle III, there is a minimum of 80% improvement in the learning outcomes. The result of affective learning of cycle I-III can be seen from the graph below.
3. Psychomotor Learning Outcomes

Psychomotor student learning outcomes that include the ability of the accuracy of work steps, work activities, and task report completeness. Psychomotor learning outcomes from cycle I-II have not shown improvement, because it has not achieved the success indicator of learning by 80%. In the first cycle, students who have good results in learning include 20 students or 62.5%. While the ones who are not good involve 12 students or 37.5%. In the second cycle students who are good at learning outcomes reached 27 students or 84.37% while not good is 5 students or 15.62%. And on the third cycle of psychomotor learning outcomes for good students is 29 students or 90.62%, for students who have not good is 3 students or 9.38%. This means that in cycle III, there is a minimum of 80% improvement in the learning outcomes. The result of affective learning of cycle I-III can be seen from the picture below.

Based on the results of the above analysis we can generally conclude that the application of problem-based learning model has been successful in improving the quality of learning. This is marked by students happy to follow the learning process, student learning activities are increased, student-centered learning activities and the implementation of problem-based learning has also been successful in improving cognitive, affective, and psychomotor learning outcomes. This success proves that the problem-based learning model can actually be applied in elementary school level.

Improving the quality of learning after application of problem-based learning model in class V primary school is very reasonable, because if it is studied in depth during the research process that the application of problem-based learning model are: (1) Contextual because the problem used in learning is a real problem in daily life. (2) Constructivist because it gives students the opportunity to build their own knowledge from experience in learning. (3) Cooperative because it gives students opportunities to interact and cooperate with friends to solve the problems[10].

IV. CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS

This research conclude that the application of problem-based learning model with the subject of economic activity on learning social studies in class V primary school can improve student learning activities. Moreover, the application of problem-based learning model with a subtheme of economic activity in class V primary school can improve cognitive, affective, and psychomotor learning outcomes. Some suggestions that the researchers can point out include: 1) the application of problem-based learning model can be used to improve student activity and learning outcomes especially on subtema of economic activity and 2) the application of problem-based learning model can also be used for other learning alternatives.
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