A New Probabilistic Model for Bayes Document Classification
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Abstract—In this paper, we propose a new probabilistic model of naïve Bayes method which can be used in text classification. This method not only takes account of the frequency of feature words, but also considers those important words do not appear in the test document, which overcomes the shortcoming of the Multi-variate Bernoulli event Model (MBM) and Multinomial event Model (MM). Experiments show that the method proposed in this paper has better classification result than those traditional methods.
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I. INTRODUCTION

With the tremendous growth in the volume of digital text documents, the problem of text classification has gained great importance in the area of web search, spam filtering, content based recommendation and many other fields.

The task of automatic text classification is assigning text documents to pre-specified classes/topics or themes) of documents. There have been a lot of text classification methods that have been carefully studied, such as: decision trees, rule sets, probabilistic classifiers, support vector machines, relevance feedback, linear classifiers, etc. Among these methods, the probabilistic approach of Bayes method is important and popular.

There are two kinds of typical Bayes models used in text classification: Multi-variate Bernoulli event Model (MBM) and Multinomial event Model (MM). MBM model define the feature word occurrence in the document as the document attribute, it does not care the frequency of the word appears. MM model considers the feature word frequency, but the classification result will not be influenced by those words that do not appear in the document. Obviously, MBM model and MM model all have limitations.

We propose a new probabilistic model in this paper, which combines both the merits of MBM model and MM model.

II. RELATED WORK

Using machine learning methods to solve text classification problems have been studied since 1980s. For example, Lewis tried to automatically catalog news articles[1] and electronic mail[2], Craven studied web pages category problem[3] and Pazzani learn the reading interests of users[4].

There have been a lot of methods for text classification[5][6][7][8][9][10][11][12], which can be divided into two general categories. The first category is machine learning algorithms, such as decision trees, rule sets, instance-based classifiers, probabilistic classifiers, support vector machines, etc. The other category contains specialized categorization algorithms developed in the Information Retrieval community, including relevance feedback, linear classifiers, generalized instance set classifiers, etc.

Among these methods, many research results show that the probabilistic approach is one of the most excellent algorithm in text classification with accuracy and efficiency both take into account[13][14][15][16].

Bayes method is a kind of probabilistic approaches that have strong mathematics backgrounds. It uses a collection of labeled training examples to estimate the generative model. Then the generative model is applied to judge the new examples. The Bayes method is widely used in many fields, since it is fast to train, fast to use and easy to be implemented.

Bayes models have two typical kinds[17]: Multi-variate Bernoulli event Model (MBM) and Multinomial event Model (MM). The multi-variate bernoulli event model can be looked as an object-attribute model, which species that a document is represented by a vector of binary attributes indicating which words occur and do not occur in the document. The Multinomial event Model specifies that a document is represented by the set of word occurrences from the document, which is focused on the word frequency of a document.

Many people have studied the Cones and Proes of these two Bayes models[18]. The experiments of [18] show that the MM model always has a average of 27% reduction in error than the MBM model in long document classification, while the MBM model has a better result in short document classification.

In this paper, we propose a new bayes method combined the merits of both MBM model and MM model, which is more flexible than the original methods and suited to be used in many environments.

In the following of this paper, the bayes framework used in text classification will be first introduced, and the Proes and Cones of MBM model and MM model is discussed.
Then we propose the combined model. Finally, the test corpus and experiments results are given.

III. TEXT CLASSIFICATION USING BAYES THEORY

A. Bayes Framework

Traditionally, Bayes method is a probabilistic approach that minimizes the posterior expected value of a loss function. For two events \( C \) and \( D \), Bayes method gives a way of calculating \( P(D | C) \) from a knowledge of \( P(C), P(D) \) and \( P(D | C) \). Equivalently, it maximizes the posterior expectation of a utility function.

\[
P(C \mid D) = \frac{P(D \mid C)P(C)}{P(D)} \quad (1)
\]

In real application, \( D \) always is not a single event, but a combination of many events or attribute. For example, to judge whether a patient is diabetes, many factors should be taken into account, including age, weight, appetite, goiter degree, blood test results and so on. If \( D \) is a complex event, it can be viewed as a vector composed by different factors:

\[
D = (d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n), \quad \text{where} \ n \text{ is the vector dimension.}
\]

If the dimension of event \( D \) is very high, it is almost impossible to calculate \( P(D \mid C) \) directly, while \( P(d_i \mid C) \) is easy to calculate. To calculate the \( P(D \mid C) \), we always assume that given a class, each attribute \( d_i \) is conditional independent of other attributes. Then the following can be got,

\[
P(D \mid C) = P(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n \mid C) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(d_i \mid C) \quad (2)
\]

Substitute equation (2) into (1) and we get equation (3),

\[
P(C \mid D) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} P(d_i \mid C)P(C)}{P(D)} \quad (3)
\]

By equation 3, the class has the largest value should be the class which event \( D \) should belonged to, which can be written by:

\[
\arg \max_{C} P(C \mid D) \quad (4)
\]

Because \( P(D) \) is not change with different \( C \), it need not compute in application. Equation (4) can be written by:

\[
\arg \max_{C} \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(d_i \mid C)P(C) \quad (5)
\]

If we want to calculate \( P(D) \), which can be computed by:

\[
P(D) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(d_i \mid C) + \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(d_i \mid \overline{C})
\]

where \( \overline{C} \) is the complement collection of class \( C \).

B. MBM Model and MM Model used in Text Classification

The goal of text classification is to determine whether a given document belongs to a predefined category. In supervised text classification, a set of predefined categories are predefined and two sets of documents for training and testing are given. We use training documents to determine the text classification model, and use testing documents to verify the effectiveness of the trained classification model.

Documents are composed of different words. In text classification, documents are always tackled as a space of words and selected words are used as document features. According to the different statistic model, the naïve Bayes method used in text classification can be divided to two different kinds: Multi-variate Bernoulli Model and Multinomial Model.

Given a document \( D \) and a set of predefined categories \( \left( C^1, C^2, \ldots, C^q \right) \) (\( q \) is the categories number), the two models are discussed as following.

1) Multi-variate Bernoulli Model

In Multi-variate Bernoulli Model(MBM), a document is expressed by a binary vector over a space of feature words. Given a set of feature words \( \left( f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_v \right) \) with dimention \( V \), a document \( D \) can be expressed by a vector \( \left( t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_V \right) \), where \( t_k \) means whether the word \( f_k \) appears in document \( D \) the value of \( t_k \) is 0 or 1. As we all know, this is a binary Bernoulli Model in statistic theory. Under this model, the equation 5 can be expressed by:

\[
\arg \max_{C^j} P(C^j) \prod_{k=1}^{V} \left( \frac{P(f_k \mid C^j)}{1-P(f_k \mid C^j)} \right)^{t_k} \quad (6)
\]

\( P(f_k \mid C^j) \) is the probability of feature \( f_k \) appears in training documents, which can be calculated by \( m \)-estimate method:

\[
P(f_k \mid C^j) = \left( 1 + n_k \right) / \left( q + \sum_{i=1}^{V} n_i \right)
\]

\( n_i \) is the frequency of feature \( f_i \) appears in training documents.

In MBM Model, each feature word contributes to the classification result, no matter if it appears in the test document.

2) Multinomial Model

In Multinomial Model(MM Model), a document is expressed by a sequence of word events, which is the “bag of words” representation for documents. Based on naïve assumption, the word events satisfies multinomial distribution. A document \( D \) contains a set of feature words \( \left( w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_U \right) \) with the dimension \( U \), a document \( D \) can be expressed by a vector \( \left( n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_U \right) \), where \( n_m \) is the frequency of word \( w_m \) appears in document \( D \). Using the multinomial distribution theory, the equation 5 can be expressed by,

\[
\arg \max_{C^j} \prod_{k=1}^{U} \left( \frac{P(w_k \mid C^j)}{1-P(w_k \mid C^j)} \right)^{n_k} \quad (6)
\]

\( P(w_k \mid C^j) \) is the probability of feature \( w_k \) appears in training documents, which can be calculated by \( m \)-estimate method:

\[
P(w_k \mid C^j) = \left( 1 + n_k \right) / \left( q + \sum_{i=1}^{U} n_i \right)
\]
arg\max_{C_{t}} P(C | W) = \frac{n! \prod_{m=1}^{U} P(w_{m} | C)^{n_{m}}}{n!} \quad (7)

Where \( n = \sum_{m=1}^{U} n_{m} \). Using m-estimate method, \( P(w_{m} | C) \) can be expressed by \( P(w_{m} | C) = (t_{m} + 1)/(t + U) \), where \( t_{m} \) is the number of \( w_{m} \) appears in training documents, \( t \) is the total number of feature words appears in training documents.

C. Comparison of MBM Model and MM Model

MBM model use feature words as the document attributes, all feature words contribute to the classification result no matter whether the word appears in the document or not. What’s more, MBM model only takes into account whether the word appears in the document, but does not care how many times the feature word appears.

MM model takes into account the frequency of the feature word appears in the document. For a feature word does not appears in the test document, it will not contribute to the classification result.

McCallum etc.[18] did a lot of experiments to compare the MBM model and MM model. They conclude that, if the document is short, MM model performs well; If the document is long, MM model can get better result, where 27% error is reduced than the MBM model.

IV. COMBINED MODEL USED IN TEXT CLASSIFICATION

As stated above, MBM model takes into account the contribution of all feature words while frequency is not considered; MM model considers feature words frequency but some feature words are neglected.

Since MM model neglect those feature words that does not appear in the test document, the classification result of MM may be determined by few feature words. It may be unfit in some cases, and that is the reason why MM could not get better results in short text classification cases. What is worse, if the number of feature words are limited and each word is very important, the performance of MM model will be bad even in long text classification.

To overcome these problems, we propose a new model combined both MBM and MM models, which is more flexible than the traditional methods and is fitted to be used in more cases.

A. Combined Model

In this paper, we proposed a model combined both MBM and MM model together, which not only take into account the word frequency, but also considered those important feature words that do not appear in the document. Given a set of feature words \( \{w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{U}, f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{V}\} \), word \( w_{i} \) appears in the test document \( D \), whose frequency is \( n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{U}, f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{V} \) does not contained in the document.

The document is composed by the events of \( w_{i} \) appears \( n_{i} \) times, and \( f_{i} \) does not appear.

The probability of \( P(D \mid C_{t}) \) can be expressed by,

\[
P(D \mid C_{t}) = \frac{n! \prod_{m=1}^{U} P(w_{m} \mid C)^{n_{m}} \cdot V! \prod_{k=1}^{V} (1 - P(f_{k} \mid C_{t}))}{n_{1}! \ldots n_{U}!} \]

where \( n = \sum_{m=1}^{U} n_{m} \), \( n_{m} \) is the frequency of word \( w_{m} \) appears in document \( D \). \( P(w_{m} \mid C_{t}) \) can be expressed by \( P(w_{m} \mid C_{t}) = (t_{m} + 1)/(t + U) \), where \( t_{m} \) is the number of \( w_{m} \) appears in training documents, \( t \) is the total number of feature words appears in training documents. \( P(f_{k} \mid C_{t}) \) can be calculated in the same way.

B. Classification process

In our application, two sets of words are selected. The first set of words \( E \) is selected by manual experiences, the other set of words \( W \) are selected by automatic method. The word number of \( E \) is very small, which is decided to be very important to the classification task. The set \( W \) is larger than \( E \), it was selected by an automatic algorithm[19]. Words in \( E \) has been excluded from the set \( W \).

Document \( D \) is composed by two sets of words \( W \) and \( E \). According to the assumption of naïve Bayes, \( W \) and \( E \) are conditional independent, so \( P(D \mid C_{t}) \) can be expressed by

\[
P(D \mid C_{t}) = P(D^{w} \mid C_{t}) \cdot P(D^{e} \mid C_{t}) \quad (9)
\]

Where \( D^{w} \) means document \( D \) is represented by words set \( W \), and \( D^{e} \) means the document is represented by words set \( E \).

Then, we use MM model to describe \( P(D^{w} \mid C_{t}) \), using the main part of equation 7. And we use combined model to describe \( P(D^{e} \mid C_{t}) \) with equation 8.

Substitute equation 9 into equation 5, the classification result can be get.

V. CORPUS AND EXPERIMENTS

We use a corpus from Yahoo(http://news.yahoo.com). On Yahoo, news have been categorized. Six categories news are selected, they are business, entertainment, health, politics, science and sports. The documents in each category are divided into two parts, training documents and test documents. The number news in each category is listed in table 1.
we use MM method and our method in chapter III to train the document, then test the testing documents as chapter IV. The experiments results are shown in table 2.

From our results, we can see that, the accuracy rate of testing data is significantly increased by the method described in this paper. Our corpus should be downloaded from the webpage http://blog.sciencenet.cn/?828693 soon.

VI. CONCLUSION

In traditional MBM model or MM model used in naïve Bayes method, the word frequency or some important words does not play a role in the final classification process, which result some limitation to each method.

In this paper, we proposed a new probabilistic model for text classification. This method not only takes account of the frequency of feature words, but also considers those important words which do not appear in the test document. So this method is more flexible to be used in application. Experiments show that the result of this method is more accurate than the traditional MM model in long text classification (MM model has better results than the MBM model in long text classification).

This method can be made more flexible. Some variables can be added to adjust the importance rate of word sets $W$ and $E$ in equation 9, so this method will get better result in different application environment. But how to adjust those variables in different environment is an important problem. This is our work in the future.
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