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Abstract—In order to reduce the delays of train groups when suffering from railroad emergencies, we propose a rescheduling algorithm of train groups to reschedule trains, instead of previous just waiting. A heuristic algorithm is designed to search the proper path for the trains involved in the railroad emergencies. An evaluation criterion based on the extent of the disturbance towards the original timetable and limited time is designed to select the optimal path for each train. And a conflicts resolution strategy is designed to deal with the path and time conflicts among trains when rescheduling. In the end, due to the real-time needs of the railroad scheduling, we implement the parallel processing for the train-group rescheduling referring to the allocation of loads. Experimental results show that the rescheduling algorithm is efficient to reduce the delays of train groups and the parallel processing meets the real-time response of the train-group rescheduling well.
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I. INTRODUCTION

With the rapid development of rail transportation, the speed of trains gets further enhanced and the scale of rail net get further expanded, the challenges of safety issues of train operation and scheduling are growing. Take dealing with emergencies on rail net for example, if there is a fault in somewhere of the infrastructure, or suffering from snowstorms, landslides, mudslides or other emergencies that can’t be solved in a short term, trains will be delayed, which will drop the efficiency of railway transportation and result into the waste of resources and great economic losses. Although, to some extent, the artificial rechanneling can reduce the detaining and delay of trains, the efficiency is relatively low, and the rechanneling may result into a consecutive influence on the operation of trains on other railroads. So it is essential to study on safety operation and rescheduling of train groups.

Designing a reasonable rescheduling strategy can effectively figure out the above-mentioned issues. When there is a railroad emergency, the trains that are involved do not necessarily have to wait until handling of the railroad emergencies is done, just like before, they can choose another proper path to the destination with the help of the rescheduling algorithm. And there may be several proper paths for the trains to steer clear of the delays, so it is necessary to design evaluation criteria to obtain the optimal path for each train. Taking into account greater data processing caused by larger scale of rail net and greater number of trains, and effectiveness required by trains’ management, we decide to use a parallel method to improve the response speed.

The rest paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we introduce the related work on safety operation and rescheduling of train groups. Section 3 presents how to design the train-group rescheduling algorithm to avoid the delays caused by railroad emergencies, including the rescheduling strategy, evaluation criteria and the conflicts resolution strategy. Section 4 presents the parallel processing of the rescheduling algorithm. Section 5 demonstrates effectiveness of the algorithm to solve the trains’ delays, and efficiency to meet the real-time response.

II. RELATED WORK

Rescheduling is a time reallocation of resources for task completion when the initial scheduling cannot meet demands in completing a task for deterministic or stochastic reasons, for example, the railroad emergencies. Rescheduling is directed towards achieving specific objectives while maintaining feasibility of safety and preferential constraints. Some common scheduling objectives are cost minimization and maximization of customer satisfaction [1]. The most common rescheduling objective is to recover the target initial schedule [1].

C.K. Chui [2] defines several optimality criteria that correspond to minimizing passenger delay, mainly about how to reduce the delay time. Tomii Norio [11] proposes an algorithm for automatically train rescheduling, and uses passengers’ dissatisfaction as a criterion of rescheduling plans and focus on how to minimize passengers’ dissatisfaction. Keisuke Sato [10] uses one simple speeding-up technique named set-covering relaxation to the rescheduling problem, in order to implement the real-time freight locomotive rescheduling.

Although there are many rescheduling strategies on security operation and rescheduling of train groups, most of them aim at some special situation, for example, dense urban environment [9] or congestion control [10] and so on. We take the specific situation of Chinese rail net into account, and refer to the rescheduling of different types of train groups running on the railway, not only including the freight trains, but Express trains and so on. Additionally, we implement real-time train-group rescheduling for real-time control of train groups.

III. THE RESCHEDULING ALGORITHM OF TRAIN GROUPS

A. Rescheduling Strategy

The rescheduling strategy we design is a kind of heuristic algorithm referring to heuristic search. When there is an emergency on the railway, the involved trains cannot operate successfully on the railway corresponding to the initial timetable, so another proper path have to be available for each involved train, or they have to wait and delay. So how to get the “another proper path” is the biggest difference between heuristic algorithms and traditional search, for example, the DFS [4] and BFS [5] algorithms. Heuristic search tends to choose the next search node from the current node according to a heuristic function, which can get the proper result in a shorter time, instead of blindness search of DFS [4] and BFS [5].

A-Star algorithm [3] has been demonstrated to be one of the most efficient heuristic algorithms to get the shortest path in static road network, which has been widely used on solving shortest path and strategy-designing problems. We tag s as the start node, t as the destination node, n as current node between s and t. The core part of A-Star algorithm is the following formula:

$$f(n) = g(n) + h(n)$$  \(1)$$

\(f(n)\) is the estimated cost from \(s\) to \(n\) passing \(n\), \(g(n)\) is the actual cost from \(s\) to \(n\), \(h(n)\) is the estimated cost from \(n\) to \(t\). So how to design the \(h(n)\) determines whether the heuristic algorithm is the A-Star algorithm. And the sufficient conditions[3] are as follows:

1) The optimal path from \(s\) to \(t\) in the search tree.
2) Problem domain is finite.
3) The search costs of all the nodes’ child nodes are above zero.
4) \(h(n) \leq h^*(n)\) \((h^*(n))\) is the actual cost from \(n\) to \(t\).

The efficiency to search for the optimal shortest path is mostly determined by the function \(h(n)\). When the \(g(n)\) is definite, the less the \(h(n)\) is, the less the \(f(n)\) will be, which can guarantee the search direction towards the destination node as fast as possible. A good evaluation [3] of \(h(n)\) is that \(h(n)\) is below the lower bound of \(h^*(n)\), and be close to \(h^*(n)\) as much as possible.

Take the actual trains’ operation on the railroad into account; the shortest path between nodes generally cannot meet the actual need to be an optimal “another path” for involved trains. So we have to choose the \(k\)th shortest path (we tag \(k=1, 2, 3 ...k\) instead).

We set the \(h(n)\) as the length of shortest path from \(s\) to \(n\). Then the heuristic function can be embodied to the following formula:

$$g(x) = x.len; \quad h(x) = lsp(x.n);$$
$$f(x) = g(x) + h(x) = x.len + lsp(x.n),$$  \(2)$$

\(x\) represents the path from \(s\) to some node, which we tag \(x.n\). \(lsp(x.n)\) represents the length of shortest path from the node \(n\) to \(t\) and the length of the path notes \(x.len\).

We can demonstrate the formula \(2\) is a kind of A-Star algorithms. According to the above-mentioned sufficient condition of A-Star algorithms, the demonstration is as follows:

1) The optimal path for each train exists in the actual rail net;
2) The rail net is surely finite;
3) The actual cost for each search path is surely above zero since the weight of line is above zero;
4) \(h(x)\) is one of \(h^*(x)\) in itself, so of course \(h(x) \leq h^*(x)\).

Considering the complexity of implementing and coding, we choose the Dijkstra algorithm and priority queue to obtain the \(lsp(n)\). And the pseudo code is as follows in Fig. 1.

**Figure 1.** the rescheduling algorithm of train group based on heuristic algorithm.
The initial state, \( x.n = s \); \( x.len = 0 \); every time, we pop the \( x \) whose has the minimal \( f.s \) out of the priority queue, and then get the next state according to the edges whose start node is from \( x.n \), push those edges into the queue; then when we meet the \( x.n = t \) for the first time, the shortest path from \( s \) to \( t \) arises, whose length is \( f.s \); similarly, when it is the \( k \)th time to meet \( x.n = t \), we get the \( k \)th shortest path from \( s \) to \( t \).

### B. Evaluation Criteria

When we are searching the proper paths for the trains that are involved in the railroad emergencies, there generally are several proper paths for the involved trains to choose. So how to select the optimal path for each involved train is mostly determined by what the evaluation criterion of optimal path is. It is generally known that some common scheduling objectives are cost minimization and maximization of customer satisfaction [1], and that the most common rescheduling objective is to recover the target initial schedule [1]. So the evaluation criteria of optimal paths we design are as follows:

1. The more matching with the original timetable. The matching factor is tagged as \( Q \).
2. The less time cost of the \( k \)th shortest path for each train.

The general time cost is tagged as \( T \).

When we reschedule the involved trains, there may be the conflicts of trying to meet the two above-mentioned criterions. To avoid the situation that the changeable timetable affects too much other trains’ operation, we take the first criterion into account prior to the second one.

The time cost of rescheduling \( T \) mainly is the time spent on the rescheduled railways for the train. It refers to two problems:

1. Get the \( k \)th shortest path.
2. The time cost for the competition of trains on the same track.

The \( k \)th shortest path problem can be realized using above-mentioned heuristic algorithm; as to the second problem, we can compute it according to the following conflicts resolution strategy.

We tag \( T \) as the total time cost of rescheduling for each train, and \( ET \) as the time cost that the handling of the railroad emergencies spend, \( Q \) as the factor of matching with the original timetable, we can simply set the \( Q \) as the number of conflicted trains pass, which does not waste too much time.

For the first part, we check the rescheduled timetable; first one is how to check the conflict, second one is how to tackle them. For the first part, we check the rescheduled timetable; for the second part, the simple but efficient policy is to let some trains wait according to their priorities until other conflicted trains pass by, no matter whether these trains are rescheduled or not. So the conflicts resolution strategy is needed to deal with the above-mentioned issues.

The conflicts resolution strategy includes two parts, first is how to check the conflict, second one is how to tackle them. For the first part, we check the rescheduled timetable; for the second part, the simple but efficient policy is to let some trains wait according to their priorities until other conflicted trains pass by, which does not waste too much time.

The experiments have demonstrated that the processing caused by the above-mentioned problems, and in the last papers [6], the experiments have demonstrated that the serial processing is too slow to meet the real-time needs of train groups flow simulation; we decide to use a parallel method to improve the response speed.
In order to choose the better method to implement the parallel processing of rescheduling algorithm of train groups, in Data-Level Parallelism or in Task-Level Parallelism. We take an experiment to analyze the characteristic of the rescheduling algorithm for train groups. The experiment mainly analyze the range of data set involved in the course of rescheduling train groups to check the necessity of dividing the rail net to speed up the computation of rescheduling train groups parallely. The experimental result is shown in the Fig. 4. We choose the rail net in the Fig. 6 as the graph of rescheduling algorithm of train groups. The horizontal axis represents the number of rescheduling train groups. The vertical axis represents the vertical distance between the furthest node involved in the rescheduling and the original route of the train. Here, the vertical distance will be increase by one unit when involved in another node in the vertical direction.

![Figure 4. The furthest vertical distance to the original lines of trains every time rescheduling the involved train](image)

According to the above experiment, we can conclude that searching the optimal routes for the trains commonly is involved in a very short range near the original lines of the trains; the furthest vertical distance is not beyond two. In other words, to some extent, it is meaningless to divide the rail net to speed up the searching the optimal routes for the involved trains.

Therefore, the parallel algorithm is mainly related to the task parallelism. The load balancing strategy is as the following processing: when a thread just completes the current computing task, and it will apply for a new task; if there is an unallocated task, the management node will allocate it to the thread. The pseudo code is as follows in Fig.4.

![Figure 5. the parallel processing for rescheduling of train group. Mainly about how to allocate the load using MPI.](image)

V. THE IMPLEMENTATION OF RESCHEDULING ALGORITHM OF TRAIN GROUPS AND ITS PARALLELIZATION

In the experiment, in order to show the process of rescheduling clearly, we choose the part of Beijing-Guangzhou lines as the experimental rail net. The rail net is shown in the following Fig.6. And the part rail net comes from the Wikipedia.org [13].

![Figure 6. the experimental rail net [13]. Part of Beijing-Guangzhou lines.](image)

And the part of timetable of all the trains is shown in the left side of Fig. 7. The horizontal axis is the time axis; the vertical axis represents the locations of all the stations.

![Figure 7. the timetable before and after the rescheduling. The left side is the previous timetable; the right side is the present timetable; the involved trains includes 5204/5206-5608](image)

When there is a railroad emergency, whose time cost $ET$ is two days, between Xinxiang and Handan, the trains that are involved in the line from Xinxiang to Handan either to wait or to choose another optimal path. We can find that there is a right path from Xinxiang to Handan passing the Changzhi and Yueshan, and the timetable have to be updated. The updated timetable is shown as follows in the right side of Fig. 7.

In order to show the operation of train groups clear and convenient, we simplify the timetable of the trains. Every line represents the operation of each train, and every color represents one type of train, which can help us recognize every type of train conveniently, we define 17 different types of trains according to the actual rail environment, different types of trains have different speed, which is necessary to be used in the rescheduling of train group. The types of trains are shown in the following Fig. 8.
The efficiency of rescheduling of train group gets lower and lower as the rail net becomes larger and the number of involved trains gets more, so we take the parallel computation into the rescheduling of train group. In the experiment, we get three computers to constitute our hardware environment, every computer has one processor. We use the MPICH2-1.2 to support our software environment. The experimental result is shown in the following Fig. 9. The horizontal axis is the scale of the rail net; and the vertical axis represents the time cost of computation.

In the experiment, we collect seven different groups of data on the different scales of rail net (the number of nodes/the number of edges): 6/8, 15/40, 51/100, 51/500, 51/1000, 80/2000, 80/5000, they have the same number of tasks among different scales. And we compare the time cost of serial computation to that of parallel computation. We choose seven different groups of data to analyze the efficiency of rescheduling algorithm. The time cost gets more and more as the scale of rail net becomes larger and larger in the figure; because of the great time cost of data transmission of MPI, it hardly change the time cost when the scale of the rail net is small; when the scale of rail net is beyond 51/500, the time cost begins to get lower as the increase of the number of hosts. Its speed-up ratio is about 3 comparing the time cost of three hosts to one host when the scale of rail net is beyond 80/2000, which is consistent with the theoretic speed-up ratio in general.

VI. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

We proposed a new rescheduling algorithm of train group based on heuristic algorithm to deal with the time loss due to the rail road emergencies. The rescheduling strategy is based on the A-Star heuristic algorithm choose proper paths for the involved trains. An evaluation criterion based on the extent of the disturbance towards the original timetable and limited time is designed to select the optimal path for each train. And a conflict resolution strategy is designed to deal with the path and time conflicts among trains when rescheduling. In the end, Due to the real-time needs of the railroad scheduling, we implement the parallelization for the train-group rescheduling referring to the division of rail net. The rescheduling strategy can be optimized to improve the efficiency of the rescheduling algorithm and in the process of rescheduling; we ignore some uncontrollable factors that may impact the time cost of rescheduling. In our future work, we will continue to optimize the rescheduling algorithm to get a better efficiency on the process of search proper paths. And it is also necessary to design a new model to take into the above-mentioned uncontrollable factors to improve the accuracy of rescheduling of train groups. We will focus a lot on them in the future.
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