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Abstract—The article considers a complex of ideas of Pierre Bayle, the famous French thinker of the XVIIth century, related to the religion, morality, and freedom. The great Voltaire, who, like all enlighteners, experienced the strongest influence of Bayle's main work "The Historical and Critical Dictionary", not without reason asserted: “Bayle has everything, you have only to be able to find.” The author believes that in the present conditions of the activation of religious institutions the actuality of Bayle's humanistic skepticism will increase.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The modern stage of human development is characterized by a widespread exacerbation of problems related to religion, both ideological, and institutional [1, 2]. As yet secular — in full accordance with the Constitution of the Russian Federation - the educational system in our country allows students to form their own position on this matter, introducing them to the historical experience of free-thinking. Unfortunately, this is mainly not Russian, but European experience. However, in view of the fact that Russia is primarily the European civilization heir, the history of non-religious and non-clerical European thought formation can be very instructive for the younger generation. In this regard, Pierre Bayle (1647–1706), the "second echelon" French philosopher, who is not usually included in the New European philosophers’ brilliant assemblage, however, is the figure of great interest. This scientist managed not to be lost in the XVIIth century rich in great minds but, on the contrary, left a very significant mark in the history of European Enlightenment.

II. PIERRE BAYLE LIFE AND DESTINY

Pierre Bayle was born in the south of France — in Languedoc, where the Jewish and Arab traditions were historically strong. The Southern France had always been characterized by non-orthodoxy (it is enough to recall the Cathars, Albigensian and other heretical movements that took place here in the Middle Ages). However, Bayle's father was a Protestant pastor (Huguenot, or rather, a Calvinist), and this fact was supposed to ensure strict religious upbringing. But, there was a huge library in the house, the religion problems were discussed with the educated family friends, and the father, noticing his son outstanding abilities and excellent memory, tried to give him the best education. Excessive and unsystematic reading even brought the young Bayle to illness! After studying at the Protestant seminary, he was sent to study philosophy in the University of Toulouse, where Jesuits taught. Under his teachers influence (the members of this famous Catholic Order had a rare gift of persuasion) Bayle was converted to Catholicism for a whole year! He even tried to convert to Catholicism his elder brother, who had already served as pastor, but, of course, he did not find understanding in the family. As a result, having found no special moral and dogmatic advantages in the Catholic faith, Bayle was converted to Protestantism again. We should mention that, although religious wars between Catholics and Huguenots had ended about a century before (thanks to the Edict of Nantes on religious toleration of King Henry IV), the memory about it was still fresh, and self-identification on religious grounds retained its defining significance [3].

Among the philosophers who influenced Bayle in the most powerful way, we must primarily name the "skeptical humanist" M. Montaigne (1533–1592), whose philosophy Bayle was addicted to (while still a teenager), and the great R. Descartes (1596–1650), whose "doubt" as the Cartesian metaphysics initial principle proved to be particularly significant for Bayle. The XVIIth century discoveries in natural sciences also played their part, and sometimes met faithful clerics’ fierce resistance. Again, it is worth recalling that, although the horrors of the Counter-Reformation that had been gone by then, a heavy struggle for the science independence from the ideological church censure continued [4]. Bayle completed his education at the University of Geneva - one of the most advanced for his time, where great attention was paid to the natural sciences. Next, he worked for a while as a home teacher, lived in Rouen and in Paris. Finally, in 1675, Bayle, thanks to one of his university friends, became a professor of philosophy at the famous Protestant Academy in Sedan. A popular teacher, he showed himself as a fighter against superstitions and prejudices: when once before an official court a nobleman was accused of having connections with the devil, Bayle published an anonymous pamphlet, where thanks to his wit and brilliance
the accusers “arguments” were blown out of the water [3]. However, the Protestant Academy of Sedan greatly annoyed the Catholic King Louis XIV — and, despite the promise to support the Protestant education given to high-ranking Protestants, he closed it in 1681. Bayle, having lost his job, was invited to École Illustre in Rotterdam to the department of philosophy: in a Huguenots persecutions new round, Bayle was one of the many French Protestants forced to immigrate to Holland. The future showed anopportuneness of this step — in fact, in 1685 Louis XIV abolished the Edict of Nantes on religious toleration, and Protestants persecution in France increased. Bayle's elder brother, Jacob, who refused to renounce his faith, died in prison; his father’s ashes were taken from his grave and scolded. Deeply grieving about this, Pierre wrote a book about the political situation in Catholic France: however, he defended not his coreligionists Protestants, but the very religious tolerance principle — it was so typical for him!

In Holland until 1693, Bayle worked as a professor of history and philosophy at Rotterdam University. Besides teaching, he wrote a lot of books, won the fame among scientists and deserved though dangerous (under the conditions offerie religious strife) reputation as an indefatigable fighter for free-thinking and religious tolerance, including in relation to atheists. The French authorities banned Bayle's books, and one of them was even publicly burned (which, however, only contributed to his writings popularity). Such people as a writer and scholar B. Fontenelle (1657–1757), E. Shaftesbury, philosopher, writer and politician (1671–1713), an English aristocrat (who believed that the best weapon against fanaticism was to ridicule it), the great G. Leibniz (1646–1716) and the other intellectual elite representatives of that time were among his friends and correspondents.

Using the press freedom, by which Holland differed from all European countries, Bayle published some books banned in France (including several works on Descartes). Being an encyclopedic educated person, he contributed to the scientific knowledge popularization, having published in 1684 the philosophical and scientific journal “News from the Republic of Letters”. In this edition, which was published only for three years (and banned in his homeland France), Bayle established the intellectual honesty highest standards and open, benevolent disputes on the newest ideas and theories in various fields of knowledge, impartial evaluation of any arguments pro et contra. In the midst of frenzied enmity and fanatic intolerance, Bayle defended the freedom of thought and conscientious constructive criticism, imbued with respect for opponents. It is worth noting that even in modern conditions, such a level of discussion sometimes seems unattainable ... The obscurantists from both the Catholic and Protestant sides unleashed a real persecution of the philosopher. Gradually, even in relatively tolerant Holland, Bayle's views began to seem too radical. He was accused of religious indifference and almost atheist for his courageous defense of religious tolerance. Finally, Bayle's journal was closed, and its independent editor lost the university professor position in 1693. He was banned from even private teaching! However, these changes had positive consequence; it was the opportunity to tackle a long-planned dream - publishing his final work Historical and Critical Dictionary (1695–1697) (Dictionnaire historique et critique) – on the cover of this work Bayle first put his own name (all previous books were printed anonymously). In this famous work, in disguised form he set out the views destructive to the universally recognized values and prejudices of his time. Dictionary has sustained nine editions over 50 years (not counting two in English) and played a significant role in the European free thought development. It became a brilliant great Encyclopedia of the XVIIIth century prototype – the intellectual and spiritual foundation of the Enlightenment.

Although under strict censorship Bayle was extremely cautious in presenting his critical ideas (hiding them behind an ingenious form, with frequent references to extensive notes and various articles, etc.), Dictionary made a strong impression on the reading public. The Dictionary neutral academic form, chosen by the philosopher, did not deceive the religious dogmatists, who found in the text (and especially in numerous notes to it) many "blasphemies" against the Christian religion. For example, the ruthless characteristic of Christianity in times of the Counter-Reformation revrel (the XVIIth century): "... it was a bloody religion, carrying death, accustomed to the massacre for five or six centuries. This religion distorted the norms of behavior and action developed over a long period, destroying everything that resisted it with weapons" [5].

Both Orthodox Catholics and Protestant fanatics cursed Bayle again and declared him the enemy of Protestant church as well as the enemy of his new homeland — Holland. These hostile attacks greatly spoilt the philosopher’s life; at that time he also had seriously fallen ill. Considering the disease fatal, Bayle, despite his friends’ advice, refused to take medicines. He treated his physical suffering and the imminent death with all the calm, without reducing his work intensity up to the last day of his life. Bayle died in Rotterdam on December 28, 1706.

III. BAYLE ABOUT RELIGION AND MORALITY

Bayle's doctrine was essentially a line of skepticism continuation (from antiquity to Bayle’s predecessor – Montaigne). Bayle's skeptical free thought involved him in the religious, political and scientific struggle of the XVIIth century. It may seem that Bayle’s mission was only to criticize and to destroy: after all, as a true skeptic, he did not create his own positive system of views. However, the significance of his theoretical work cannot be overestimated.

Devoting his live to the fight against Christian fanatics, who justified cruelty and brutality against other religious confessions representatives, Bayle came up to the idea that all confessions are equivalent and equal and called for the respect for various religious beliefs. Due to his religious indifference, he evoked Catholics and Huguenots equal hatred. Having managed to rise above the religious dogmatic quarrels of his era, Bayle sent all his skepticism to the very possibility of the rationality of the religious dogmas, denying the theology validity and even the possibility of any evidence of the existence of God. Not only the theological idea about
unbelievers." From his ideas Bayle did not draw radical anti-clerical conclusions (like the need to separate church and state and turn religion into a private matter for believers) but these conclusions were inevitably made by his followers.

V. BAYLE’S SKEPTICISM

Bayle extended his skepticism from religion to traditional philosophy, criticizing the rationalism for the assertion that metaphysics axiomatic statements are reliable. Denying the metaphysics credibility, defended by Descartes, Spinoza and Leibniz (who was in correspondence with Bayle), he considered that a complete philosophical system was impossible in principle (for this reason he did not attempt to create his own one) [8, 9]."Disclosing the contradictions in the speculative constructions of the great rationalists of the Modern Age, Bayle came to the disappointing conclusion that even these philosophers can "present only a more or less happy game of their imagination" and that no metaphysical system can claim absolute truth. Perhaps this belief was the reason why Bayle chose a dictionary form for his final work, rather than writing a theoretical treatise, where the simple alphabetical examination of diverse material contrasted with the pseudo-systematic character of metaphysics.

At the same time, Bayle himself remained a rationalist who considered the mind to be the "supreme tribunal" and the "last echelon" in solving all issues. He did not doubt the laws of logic and the truths of mathematics (as well as intuitive beliefs of moral consciousness?) As M. Kuznetsov – the author of the article on Bayle in the modern philosophical Encyclopedia – rightly points out: Bayle's skepticism "is constructive, creative in nature" appears as "a critical reflection aimed at finding the truth"[10].

VI. CONCLUSION

Evaluating Bayle’s philosophical work, such a radical thinker as Karl Marx (1818–1883) noted that he carried out a "negative refutation of theology and metaphysics of the XVIIth century" [11]. Bayle's skepticism was brilliantly continued in the works of the XVIIIth century Enlightenment philosophers: Bayle’s impartial logic and elegant wit inspired Montesquieu and Voltaire, as well as Rousseau, Diderot and other encyclopedists. Such famous philosophers as D. Hume and L. Feuerbach experienced Bayle’s tangible influence [12]. Closer to our time, one cannot fail to see a certain similarity (both in content and in ideas presentation in a dictionary form) of Bayle's philosophy with postmodernist approaches. However, Bayle may be of interest to the younger generation, educated in the XXIth century, not only as an example of a subtle intellectual game. Undoubtedly, the main achievement of the French philosopher-skeptic is his struggle - in theory and in practice - for freedom of conscience and freedom of mind, against the persecution of people for their beliefs, and this struggle is relevant at all times.
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