The Effect of Load on The Back to Physiological Response of Heaver in Pasar Legi Surakarta
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Abstract—The goal of the research knows the effect of load which is carry on the back to physiological response of heaver in Pasar Legi Surakarta. Research subjects are 15 female heavers, 20-25 years old, height around 140-160 cm, weight around 40-60 kg, and don’t have hypertension and rheumatism. The varieties of load are 50 kg, 75 kg, and 100 kg. Measurement of the load by measuring the pulse with pulse meter. The result of normality and homogeneity test of pulse differences (P0-P1) shows that samples are normal and have the same variance. The result of the analysis of average pulse variances in three loading treatments obtains a significance value of p < 0.05, which means there is a significant effect of loading treatments on physiological response. Furthermore, post hoc analysis of three different treatments obtain p < 0.05 and it can be concluded there significant difference among three different loading treatment on physiological response. Compare to load of 50 kg and 75 kg, the load of 100 kg has the potential risk to cause injury to the physiological of heavers in Pasar Legi Surakarta.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The job as a heaver who carry the load on the back is one characteristic of female heavers in Pasar Legi Surakarta. This job is one of opportunity to people who not work in formal occupation, this job has no require of particular skill and particular education.

The activity of carrying loading on the back has potential risk of injury. The effect of carry loading cause musculoskeletal pain on body segment especially on the part which is direct contact with the loading [1]. The activity of carrying loading in continued has potential risk of injury and the maximum loading that are allowed of continued carrying is 25 kg. On manual carrying of loading more than maximum loading limit cause injury on low back pain which is cramps. [2]. Manual carrying which is not carry on ergonomic way cause the injury on work [3]. The accident on work called “over exertion-lifting and carrying” is breakdown of body tissue caused by excess loading lifting [4]. The accident on work cause by continued activity, shape, and size of tool that used on work [5]. [2] find the significant pain on the back of the worker who work on job more than the maximum strength of muscle [6]. [7] shows the majority of operators on port loading and unloading worker suffer from pain on skeletal muscles which is low back pain, right and left shoulders, left upper arm, right calf and left toes.

Related to human work ergonomics studies has the goal to evaluate dan design ways of working that can be applied to increase effectiveness and efficiency, in addition the comfort and safety of human as workers. Energy which is used on working activity obtained by metabolism, respiration, and circulation [8]. [9] states the loading of lifting effect on energy expenditure for tenongan food seller. The loading of 10 kg, 15 kg, dan 20 kg with the distance of every loading release energy 4.91 kkal, 5.87 kkal dan 6.51 kkal. The energy consumption is not enough to estimate the physical working, but it determined by amount of the muscles that participate and static loading and also level of heat pressure and the place of working that can increase the pulse [10]. To estimate the loading level use heart rate recovery [10]. [11] do research on comparing lifting loading on the back on three different treatment, first one on the upper side of back, second the middle side of back, and the third is the low side of the back. From the research concludes loading on three different side (upper, middle or lower) indicates different oxygen consumption, pulse, and level of energy using. Participant on the research said that carry the loading on the upper side of the back is more difficult than the lower side.

Generally the problem on activity is the pain after carrying loading. It caused by the loading are not equal with the energy consumption. This phenomenon must be analyzed on the effect of load on the back which is carried by the heavers to their physiological response, thus level of fatigue and muscle injury can be minimized.

II. METHODS

A. Research Subject

The 15 female heaver, 20-25 years old, height around 140-160 cm, weight around 40-70kg. They don’t have hypertension and rheumatism.

B. Research Object

Three loadings are 50 kg, 75 kg, and 100 kg, loading is put on the back tied by shawl, the range is 100 m and the measuring of pulse are before and after the loading treatments.

C. Research Tool

Pulse meter to measuring the pulse and measuring scales maksimum 150 kg
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D. Measure Pulse Procedure

The procedure of measure of workload with the pulse:
1. Prepare the tools and loadings for research.
2. Deliver the foreword about the goal of the research, give the explanation of the ways of working to research subject.
3. Research subjects do the loading treatments, they bring one by one of loading which are 50 kg, 75 kg, 100 kg tied on shawl.
4. Before the subject do the loading treatment, their pulse are measure use pulse meter as basic measurement (P0).
5. After they do the loading treatments, their pulse are measured for each different loading after 30 second of loading treatment.
6. The frequency of loading treatments for research subject is one times for each loading of 50 kg, 75 kg, and 100 kg.

E. Hypothesis

Hypothesis testing the different effect of loading treatment with a significant level of α = 0.05.

1. Test for Normality and Homogeneity of Variances

Normality test
Using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) test to determine whether the sample comes from a population that has a theoretical distribution.

a. Formulations H₀ and H₁

H₀: a sample of a population that is normally distributed.
H₁: a sample of a population that is not normally distributed.

b. Decision

H₀ is accepted if p > 0.05 means a sample from a normal population.
H₀ is rejected if p <0.05 means that the sample is not from the normal population.

Homogeneity Variance Test
To find out whether the measurement of workload based on pulse has the same variance.

a. Formulations H₀ and H₁

H₀: The variance of each path is homogeneous.
H₁: The variance of each path is not homogeneous

b. Decision

H₀ is accepted if p > 0.05 means that the variance of each path is homogeneous.
H₀ is rejected if p <0.05 means that the variance of each path is not homogeneous.

2. Test of Difference in Pulse Rate

Table 1. The Measurement Result of Anova Differences Pulse (P₀ - P₁)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loading</th>
<th>P₀</th>
<th>P₁</th>
<th>P₁ - P₀</th>
<th>Sig(p)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50 kg</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>100.13</td>
<td>10.13</td>
<td>0.327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 kg</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>110.67</td>
<td>20.67</td>
<td>0.124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 kg</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>116.67</td>
<td>26.67</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Post Hoc Test is the difference between average pulse for every loading has the significant result which are: a. Formulations H₀ and H₁
H₀: μ₁ = μ₂ = μ₃ (There is no difference in the effect of the load on the back at each load of 50 kg, 75 kg, 100 kg on physiological response).
H₁: There are one or more of different μ (There are differences in the influence of the load on the back on each load of 50 kg, 75 kg, 100 kg on physiological response).

b. Decision

H₀ is accepted if p > 0.05 means that there is no significant difference between the average load on the back and the physiological response.
H₀ is rejected if p <0.05 means there is a significant difference between the average load on the back and the physiological response.

III. THE RESULT

A. Average Pulse

In a day the heavers carry the loading 10 times or more use shawl to carry the loading from truck to the store or reach the buyers. The heavers are ready on market at 04.00 a.m. before the supplier truck come. The result of pulse average measurement and the differences of the heaver’s pulse in Pasar Legi Surakarta before and after the loading treatment shows on Table 1.

Table 2. Average and Differences of The Pulse

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loading</th>
<th>P₀</th>
<th>P₁</th>
<th>P₁ - P₀</th>
<th>Sig(p)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50 kg</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>100.13</td>
<td>10.13</td>
<td>0.327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 kg</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>110.67</td>
<td>20.67</td>
<td>0.124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 kg</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>116.67</td>
<td>26.67</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Pulse Rate Workload

The results of normality testing using Kolmogorov-Smirnov from the treatment of 50 kg, 75 kg and 100 kg loads with a significant level of 0.05 obtained p value of 0.328 respectively; 0.913; 0.855 or overall p> 0.05 then H₀ is accepted so that it can be concluded that the sample is from a normal population. The test results of homogeneity of variance with a significant level of 0.05 obtained p value of 0.327 or p> 0.05 then H₀ was accepted so that it can be concluded that the data from the three loading treatment groups had the same (homogeneous) variance and H₁ accepted, the conclusion is the average pulse of loading treatments which the loading are 50 kg, 75 kg, and 100 kg has significant differences. Therefore there is effect on loading treatment on the back against physiological response of the heavers in Pasar Legi Surakarta.

rejected and H₁ accepted. Thus, the differences of average pulse is significant. The change from loading 50 kg to loading of 100 kg has the average different 19,533 more than the change from loading to 50 kg to 75 kg or 75 kg to 100 kg. Therefore the activity of carrying load on the back which the loading is 100 kg has the potential risk of injury on physiological response of heavers in Pasar Legi Surakarta.
Table 3. Post Hoc Measurement of The Differences of The Pulse (P$_0$ - P$_1$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment (I)</th>
<th>Treatment (J)</th>
<th>Differences of The Treatment (I-J)</th>
<th>Sig.(p)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50 kg</td>
<td>75 kg</td>
<td>-10,533</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 kg</td>
<td>100 kg</td>
<td>-19,533</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 kg</td>
<td>100 kg</td>
<td>-9,000</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IV. CONCLUSION

Based on the discussion we can conclude that the bigger loading effect the pulse. The loading treatment on the back give significant effect on physiological response. Activity of carrying load on the back has potential risk for heavier in Pasar Legi Surakarta, because this activity has direct contact between loading and back. Heavy loading on the muscles or skeletal system cause muscle overstain, especially muscles that has direct contact with the loading. Big and continued physiological loading will give disadvantages to the health and safety of the workers.
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