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Abstract— The aim of the research is to find out the regulation bias against the application of government regulations on human rights. This study uses a qualitative approach that analyzes local regulations that are policies at the district and provincial levels in South Sulawesi Province. From this approach, normative descriptive will be carried out to see a systematic description of the normative and factual regional regulations. Data analysis techniques used are interview, observation and documentation techniques. The results of the study show that: 1) the regional regulations that have been made tend to be biased towards the application of human rights. Likewise, regional regulations in the Pangkep, Bulukumba and Palopo Regencies regarding the application of Human Rights that do not include Law No. 39 of 1999 concerning Human Rights as the basis for implementing the regulation; 2) there are still regulations that do not involve the public either from the aspect of involvement in the formulation of regional planning regulations; 3) there is no external institution that monitors every regulation that will be planned, or at the stage of implementing regional regulations; 4) still lacks socialization of the regional regulations that have been made.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The beginning of Act Number 39 the Year 1999 on Human Rights and Act Number 26 the Year 2000 on Human Rights Court at the time of the Reformation was the legal and political commitments of the Government in the process of enforcement of human rights in Indonesia which need to get positive appreciation from all components of the Nation. Because the process of enforcement of human rights in Indonesia faced many obstacles both from Regulation aspect (legal rule) as well as non-legal aspect. On legal aspects, for example, though there have been two acts which are Act Number 39 the Year 1999 and Act Number 26 the Year 2000, in fact, these acts still have some disadvantages, especially on substance aspect. On non-legal aspect, the law enforcer behavior hasn’t fully supported the process of human rights enforcement. While the culture of law society is also not fully become a good stimulus to the process of human rights enforcement [1]–[5].

Human rights are legal rights possessed by humans on this earth [6]–[9]. The rights obtained in the form of comprehensive matters that are owned by all groups such as: rich and poor, men and women, have high and low social strata. However, these rights are sometimes violated, but will not be abolished. Human rights are legal rights, this means that the rights of a country are based on applicable law. Of course, there are rules both implied and explicit, both at the local, national and international levels. Human rights are things that are acquired from birth which is a gift from God. Human rights are actually upheld, respected and protected by a country, government, law and everyone.

The substance matter and human rights enforcement (implementation) in various regulation including Regional Regulation should not be separated with the direction of Indonesia’s legal policy. Legal policy is a direction of legal development which based on the national legal system to achieve the objectives and goals of the country, society, and nation. Law in Indonesia should refer to the ideals of the society, i.e. democratic and social justice of law enforcement. Legal development should be aimed to ended unjust social order and human right repression; therefore legal policy must be oriented on the rule of law which is based upon the principles of democracy and social justice in a unified society of Indonesia, as stated in the Preamble of Constitution 1945 [10].

Regional Regulation is a Legislation rule that is formed from the Regional People's Legislative Assembly through mutual agreement of the Regional Head, either the governor or regent / mayor) [11]–[17], the regulation in question is a Regional Regulation consisting of: Regional Regulations at the Provincial level as well as Regional Regulations at the District / City level.

Regional Regulations (Perda) as a legal product that has regulated in Act Number 12 the Year 2011 on Regulations Establishment have an urgent and strategic position in
applying the values of human rights. One of the constraints is the limited knowledge and experience of the regional legislature (DPRD Tingkat I and DPRD Tingkat II) in generating or compiling regional regulations. At this point, it takes in-depth and comprehensive analysis.

Based on the review of the literature and empirical experience, it turns out there are a few regional regulations that indicated violate human rights (bias) because the typology of the regional regulations is repressive.

Based on problems identification, the research problem formulation is (i) normative standard measurement in assessing regional regulation that is biased of human rights, (ii) the implementation of a form of regional regulations that bias of human rights.

II. RESEARCH METHODS

The location of this research is in South Sulawesi Province, with sample locations in Bulukumba Regency, Palopo Municipality, and Pangkep Regency. This a normative-descriptive research that aims to make a systematic description of the regional regulations that are normative and factual [18]-[20]. The technique to obtain data (legal material) in this research are documentation of several regional regulations (province-district/city) that has ever been issued to regional government and to analyzed on the normative and empirical basis. Data obtained through in-depth interviews with a legal expert during the process of regional regulation legal drafting also with another stakeholder. Furtherly, the legal material that have been obtained were analyzed using qualitative normative descriptive analysis [21].

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Reformation in Indonesia on one side recognized the offer of freedom so as to strengthen the bargaining position of the society (civil society) in conjunction with the state. One of the most prominent freedom in this context, it is the discretion of the society through democratic political institutions producing regional regulations which by many party rated as a form of carrying the identity of religion in public space. This theme becomes increasingly interesting, if then be correlated with the principle of Rule of Law in democratic state [8], [22], [23].

Legal policy contains two sides that are inseparable, which are referrals of the making of law or legal policy of State institutions in making laws, and tools to assess and scrutinize whether the law are properly made according to legal policy framework to achieve the State goals.

The Constitution of 1945 stated that Indonesia is a Legal State (rule of law). Social science expert, seeing that the protection of human rights is one of the element of the rule of law, in addition to fair law. We can trace the roots of the principles of the rule of law from jurisprudence of international court such as European Human Right Court, and United Nation of Human Right Committee, to find out and measure how far the state’s position against religion and belief according to the rule of law [24]-[26].


Regional regulations actually have a positive impact on the community. However, in Sulawesi Province the substance of the regional regulations produced tends to be biased towards human rights. This is evidenced by several problems faced in each region such as: 1) Substance of regional regulations produced, 2) implementation of regional regulations.

A. Substance of regional regulations

Regulations made by the government should be in accordance with the times. However, it becomes a problem in several regions in South Sulawesi Province. The problems faced are regional regulations in Bulukumba Regency, Palopo Municipality, and Pangkep Regency which relate to the implementation of human rights values in the prefix "given" not to put Law Number 39 of 1999 concerning Human Rights as the basis for implementation. Furthermore, the rules for the preparation of regional regulations, namely Government Regulation Number 1 of 2001 and Government Regulation Number 25 of 2004 as legal instruments contain less substance in the obligations of the public involved in the proposed Regional Regulation Draft. In addition, it does not have operational guidelines in implementing each regional regulation [6], [7], [27]-[29].

B. Implementation of regional regulations

Implementation of regional regulations in these areas, there are various obstacles faced such as: 1) Absence of institutions and resources that specifically implement and monitor the implementation of regional regulations, 2) occurrence of non-conformities related to understanding the contents of regional regulations between the Government and the community; 3) Lack of socialization or dissemination so that local regulations tend to be made quickly, and tend to overlook the conditions of the local community's love. This is relevant to the results of other studies that in the implementation of regulations there are various obstacles such as: incompatibility with the implementation that occurred [9], [30]-[33]. So that people as policy makers will get a bad impact. Therefore, the implementation of the policy must be in accordance with the rules that have been set.
Various regions in South Sulawesi Province have advanced in the formation of regional regulations. However, there are still many regional regulations that only become a requirement for disbursing budgets and legal documents without any policy implementation. Therefore, the regional regulations that are actually made have an impact on the welfare of the community. One of the efforts carried out in the context of realizing regional regulations that are able to prosper the people is to realize regional regulations that are formed from elements of society in need. Furthermore, efforts are needed to realize these regional regulations, namely by implementing policies that are in accordance with mature planning. In addition, it also involves various groups, such as: government, community, stakeholders. So that the rule is achieved in accordance with the expectations of the community [34] - [37].

IV. CONCLUSION

Regional regulation drafting in Palopo Municipality, Pangkep Regency, and Bulukumba Regency which the main substance are regarding to implementation and human right enforcement are not fully followed the normative instrument of regional regulation drafting that applied (principle, purpose, juridical technical) so that normatively the regional regulation are biased toward human rights.

A biased implementation of regional regulation toward human rights caused limited understanding of the apparatus of Government and the society; the absence of supervision agencies (control) as an indication of the success of failed application of regional regulation; the culture of the society that tend to be apathetic and unresponsive to changes.
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