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Abstract—The purpose of this research project is to identify best practices for a cross-institutional social media framework for the Humber College Institute of Technology and Advanced Learning in Toronto, Canada. Many individual schools, divisions and departments within the college actively deploy social media, but do so in a manner that that is not formally coordinated with the institution’s central marketing department, and furthermore, departmental use of tools such as Facebook, Twitter, Instagram and LinkedIn are not always tightly aligned with the institution’s digital communications strategy. A descriptive survey consisting of 20 closed-ended questions and one open-ended question was designed to capture current practices in social media governance and strategy within the higher education sector. This web-based survey was completed by social media managers at 336 higher education institutions located in six different countries: Canada, the United States, Australia, New Zealand, Ireland, and the United Kingdom. The resulting data was synthesized with a literature review in order to establish recommendations for a social media governance framework for Humber that will allow the polytechnic to maximize the impact of social media platforms in the service of its student recruitment and broader promotional and community engagement efforts.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The Humber College Institute of Technology and Advanced Learning is a publicly funded post-secondary institution located in Toronto, Canada. It was established by the Province of Ontario in 1967 as a College of Applied Arts and Technology. In 2013, the Board of Governors adopted a new strategic plan that solidified Humber’s differentiation from traditional community colleges, by fully positioning the institution as a polytechnic. Humber’s polytechnic identity is reflected in the range of credentials it offers, including bachelor’s degrees, one- and two-year graduate certificates, two- and three-year diplomas, and a variety of apprenticeship programs [1]. This differentiated approach has allowed Humber to grow both domestic and international enrolments relative to other colleges in Ontario. The polytechnic enrolled about 27,500 students during the 2016-17 academic year, of which 16% were international [2].

Like most higher education institutions, Humber maintains a variety of social media channels to engage with staff, faculty, students, employers and the broader community. Since 2014, Humber has maintained a directory of college sanctioned social media accounts. In November 2014, that directory listed six college-wide social media accounts, along with 14 additional accounts maintained by six of Humber’s eight academic divisions. Additionally, the directory showed that four student support units maintained another 18 social media accounts [3]. Taken together, Humber had 38 officially sanctioned social media channels in late 2014. As of September 2018, that number had grown to over 120 accounts.

Although Humber was an early adopter of social media, establishing a Twitter presence in January 2009 followed by a Facebook presence the next month, the college has never adopted a formal, systematized framework for the coordinated use of social media across the institution [4] [5]. The lack of such a framework creates two problems for Humber. First, the lack of coordination runs contrary to the institution’s Strategic Plan, which calls for Humber to move in the direction of greater coordination between operating units [1]. While Humber has become less siloed over time — most visibly in the way academic operations and student support teams have come together to work more seamlessly — the college’s use of social media remains siloed and uncoordinated. Second, the lack of a formalized strategic social media framework is preventing the college from maximizing the impact of digital media tools in the service of its recruitment, promotional, and community engagement efforts. Both domestically and globally, social media is the most meaningful vehicle available for communicating program availability and student success stories which are key to student recruitment. Together, the need to solve these two problems led to this research project being undertaken to answer the question, “What are the best practices for a cross-institutional social media framework for the Humber College Institute of Technology and Advanced Learning?”

A. Literature Review

Social media platforms are a recent addition to the bundle of tools available to higher education communications specialists. LinkedIn is among the oldest social media websites still in use, having launched in May 2003 [6]. Facebook also has more history behind it relative to other social media platforms, having launched in February 2004
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Constantinides & Stagno observe that social media
platforms have been shown to be cost-effective tools in
extending a university’s global recruitment reach [16].
Dennis et al. found that institutions that can foster a positive
reputation among stakeholders hold a significant competitive
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Tannou and Westerman found that both for-profit and
not-for-profit organizations tend to use steering committees
as their main governance vehicle for bridging silos and
aligning social media activities at an organization-wide level
[20]. The researchers also found that steering committees
allow organizations to address three key issues: (a) How the
organization prioritizes and funds digital initiatives in cases
where the business case is unclear; (b) Crafting of internal
rules that will establish a consistent customer experience
online; and, (c) Identifying which social media functions
should be managed centrally versus those that should be
handled locally. Kane argues that social media success at an
institution-wide level is less about employing specific
platforms and tools, and more about cultivating an
appropriate organizational climate [21]. Ansaldo found that
developing a collection of social media policies, procedures
and educational resources supports a governance framework
that allows organizations to avoid policing their employees
and instead empowers individuals to self-manage their
efforts [22]. Memnie and Smith argue that developing a social
media governance strategy is the best way to ensure that the
negative fallout of any social media blunder will be
minimized [23].

In their study of organizations that have achieved
maturity in their approach to social media deployment, Li
and Bernoff identified several common characteristics in
how such organizations approach the adoption of a new
social platform [24]. First, the messaging conveyed via the
new channel has tight alignment and can be measured in
relation to the organization’s strategic objectives. Second, the
channel is directed centrally but staffed by existing staff from
a relevant department. Third, the channel’s activities align
with and amplify the organization’s other social media
efforts. Organizations with mature digital strategies were
found to be almost twice as likely as non-mature entities to
have assigned a single manager or functional group to lead
digital efforts, according to research conducted by Kane
et al [25]. In this same research study, workers in digitally
mature companies reported being very confident in the social
media fluency of their leaders. In this context, fluency refers
not to a mastery of technology, but rather to the capacity to
articulate the importance of social platforms for the
organization’s future success.

Barrows observes that the pattern of adoption for social
media within the higher education sector has been shaped in
part by the decentralized nature of most colleges and
universities [26]. This is to say that individual departments
can easily adopt social media platforms without reference to
the university’s central communications team. He notes that
New York University (NYU) housed over 1200 Twitter and
Facebook accounts across campus in 2014 and it is simply
not realistic to centrally manage such a large set of accounts.
Instead, Barrows advocates a “hybrid social media strategy”
for decentralized organizations like NYU, wherein the
central communications team supports, collaborates with,
and offers guidance to individual departments to support their social media efforts while also allowing them a high degree of independence in the way they use Facebook, Twitter and other social media platforms.

Piskorski proposes that organizations can leverage social media platforms using one or both of two distinct strategies: (a) Digital strategies and/or (b) Social strategies [27]. He describes digital strategies as porting the organization’s existing marketing and/or customer acquisition processes to a given social platform and executing those processes in fundamentally the same manner as it has been doing on traditional media platforms. By contrast, in employing social strategies, the organization builds a social solution that results in either cost reductions, or an increased willingness by users to undertake tasks that benefit the organization for free. Piskorski argues that social strategies are more difficult to execute than digital strategies because they require the organization to first understand both the unmet economic and social needs of customers, and then to create solutions that meet both needs at the same time. A further challenge, he notes, is that social strategies oblige the organization to modify one or more elements of its value chain and relinquish control over that part of its operations to either customers or suppliers. Thus, Piskorski concludes, social strategies present a far greater risk to an organization than more traditional digital strategies, and increase the importance of maintaining robust digital and social media governance structures.

II. RESEARCH METHODS

The methodology for this research project took the form of a web-based survey that was completed by 336 social media managers across six countries: Canada, the United States, Australia, New Zealand, Ireland, and the United Kingdom. The survey was created in August 2014, based on insights gleaned from available literature, and refined through an ethics review board process in September of that year. Respondents completed the survey between October 2014 and January 2015. The survey consisted of 20 closed-ended questions and one open-ended question and was designed to capture current practices in social media governance and strategy within the higher education sector. The survey questions that are specifically referenced in this paper are listed in Table I.

TABLE I. SURVEY QUESTIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q4</th>
<th>Thinking about your institution’s main Facebook page, do you routinely share posts that originated on other Facebook pages?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q6</td>
<td>Which of the following audiences do you actively seek to reach via your institution’s primary Facebook page?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q10</td>
<td>Thinking about your institution’s main Twitter account, do you routinely “re-tweet” posts that originated on other Twitter accounts?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q12</td>
<td>Which of the following audiences do you actively seek to reach via your institution’s primary Twitter account?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q13</td>
<td>In addition to Facebook and Twitter, which of the following social media platforms does your</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Survey invitations were sent to 1,992 post-secondary institutions located in the six above-mentioned countries. The list of institutions to which initial invitations were sent was generated using directories of accredited universities, polytechnics and colleges that were specific to each country included in this research effort. In total, social media managers at 501 institutions responded to the initial invitation and agreed to participate in this research effort. This represents a 25% response rate relative to the total number of initial invitations sent. Social media managers at 336 institutions completed the survey, which represents a 67% completion rate relative to the 501 institutions that agreed to participate in this research study. A regional breakdown of survey participation is shown in Table II.

TABLE II. SURVEY PARTICIPATION BY COUNTRY/REGION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country/Region</th>
<th>Number of invitations sent</th>
<th>Institutions that expressed willingness to complete survey</th>
<th>Institutions that completed survey</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Australia and New Zealand</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom and Ireland</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Two Year Colleges</td>
<td>764</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Four Year Colleges</td>
<td>711</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>336</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A web-survey was an appropriate methodology to assess how communications specialists in the higher education sector employ and manage social media. The questionnaire was designed as a descriptive survey and sought to identify parameters of an appropriate social media framework for an institution like Humber, which recruits students in competition against institutions that are primarily located in the six countries previously identified. As such, Humber’s social media strategy is by definition global, and to that end the social media tactics and governance approaches of higher
education institutions in multiple countries must be considered as the polytechnic develops its social media strategy and governance framework. Employing a web-based descriptive survey was the only practical way to query social media managers located in six countries across three continents.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Governance Structure

Three survey questions addressed institutional approaches to social media governance. The exact wording of Q15, Q16 and Q18 are included in Table I. Question 15 asked institutions if they permitted individual departments to maintain their own social media channels. Among the 332 survey respondents who answered this question, 93% allowed departments to maintain their own social media presence, while 7% did not. Question 16 asked social media managers if their institution published a “social media policy” or some form of “social media best practices” document for individuals who managed institutionally sanctioned social media accounts. Of the institutions surveyed, 78% reported that they published this kind of document, while 22% of institutions did not. Question 18 asked institutions if they had some form of “social media steering committee” or a discussion group for social media managers across campus. Just under 39% of institutions reported that they maintained some sort of vehicle for facilitating cross-campus dialog between social media managers, while about 61% of respondents did not.

B. Platform Strategy

While Facebook and Twitter remain among the most popular social media platforms world-wide, academic institutions have embraced a variety of additional social tools at both the institutional and departmental-level. Two questions asked respondents to review a list of ten additional social media platforms prevalent in 2014 and to identify which, if any, they employed at the institutional or departmental-level respectively. The exact wording of Q13 and Q17 are included in Table I. The ten platforms listed as options for each of these questions were: (a) LinkedIn; (b) Google Plus; (c) Tumblr; (d) Instagram; (e) Four Square; (f) Flickr; (g) Pinterest; (h) YouTube; (i) Vimeo; and/or, (j) Snapchat. Among the listed platforms, YouTube was the most commonly employed social media tool besides Facebook and Twitter during the time frame of the survey, with 90% of institutions using it at college-wide level and 62% using it at the departmental-level. Nearly 80% of respondents reported maintaining an institution-wide LinkedIn account, while 50% reported that one or more individual departments on campus used the networking platform. Instagram was less prevalent at the institutional-level in late 2014, with 71% of respondents maintaining a college-wide account and 59% reporting its use at the departmental-level. Among the six other platforms included as options for Q13 and Q17, none were used by more than 40% of surveyed institutions at either the college-wide or departmental-level.

Survey participants were also presented with a pair of questions asking if their institution routinely shared Facebook or Twitter content that originated on other accounts with followers of their college-wide accounts. The exact wording of Q4 and Q10 are included in Table I. Fifty-six percent of respondents stated that they routinely shared Facebook posts from other entities, while 83% said they routinely retweeted content from other Twitter accounts.

C. Audience Targeting

Two survey questions focused on the audience types that surveyed institutions sought to reach via their main Facebook and Twitter accounts. The exact wording of Q6 and Q12 are included in Table I. Both questions asked respondents to select from a list of nine possible audiences: (a) Prospective students; (b) Current students; (c) Faculty and staff; (d) Alumni; (e) Donors; (f) Parents; (g) Guidance counselors; (h) Business and industry; and/or, (i) Local community. Nearly all surveyed institutions reported that they sought to reach current students via both their Facebook and Twitter accounts. A similar number of respondents also identified prospective students as a key audience for their main institutional Facebook and Twitter accounts. The other audience type that a large majority of institutions sought to communicate with were alumni, with 83% of respondents seeking to connect with graduates via Facebook and almost 80% seeking to connect via Twitter. A majority of institutions also reported using both Twitter and Facebook to connect with faculty and staff. Surveyed institutions were more likely to employ Facebook to connect with parents (63%) as compared to Twitter (48%). Conversely, surveyed institutions were nearly twice as likely to employ Twitter (56%) to reach business and industry as compared to via Facebook (29%). Twitter was also substantially more popular among respondents as a tool for communicating with guidance counsellors, with 20% of surveyed institutions seeking to connect via Twitter, versus 14% seeking to connect via Facebook.

IV. CONCLUSION

The aim of this research project was to answer the question, “What are the best practices for a cross-institutional social media framework for the Humber College Institute of Technology and Advanced Learning?” In regards to its platform strategy, Humber’s current bundle of institution-wide social media accounts aligns with the platforms most commonly used by competing higher education institutions in Canada, the United States, Australia, New Zealand, Ireland, and the United Kingdom. In addition to Facebook and Twitter, a significant majority of colleges and universities maintain an institutional-level presence on three other social media platforms: YouTube; Instagram; and LinkedIn. Humber is active on all of these aforementioned social media platforms at both the college-wide and departmental-level and thus the polytechnic has a basis for competitive parity when engaging with potential students and other stakeholders via social media. Moving forward, Humber’s central marketing team will not need to adopt any new social media platforms as the college moves to establish a formalized social media governance framework and cross-institutional strategy for the use of digital media platforms.

This research project has revealed, however, that Humber lags behind a significant number of higher education institutions across the globe with respect to the maturity of its social media governance structure. A large number of
Humber’s competitors have established a comprehensive social media governance framework that allows those universities, colleges, and polytechnics to align all of their social media activities across campus with their institutional communications strategy. A large majority of Humber’s competitors have already developed a “social media policy” or some form of “social media best practices” document for individuals who manage institutionally sanctioned social media accounts. Furthermore, a substantial number of Humber’s competitors already maintain some sort of functional group for facilitating cross-campus dialog between social media managers, which in turn allows those competing institutions to more optimally target the sorts of audiences that can be reached via platforms such as Facebook, Twitter, Instagram, YouTube and LinkedIn.

In order to maintain operational parity with its competitors across the globe, it is recommended that Humber form a social media steering committee that includes appropriate representation from all divisions, schools and departments that are actively engaging with college stakeholders via social media. It is further recommended that this functional group be tasked with advising Humber’s senior administration on three key issues: (a) How the college allocates social media related resources on a long term basis; (b) Identifying protocols, supporting documentation, and training resources that will support social media managers in shaping a consistent user experience across all Humber-sanctioned social media channels; and, (c) Establishing consensus on which social media functions should be managed centrally versus those that should be handled at the departmental-level. Taken together, these recommendations will help Humber cultivate an organizational climate vis-à-vis social media that will lead the institution to maximize the impact of social media tools in the furtherance of the polytechnic’s larger strategic goals.
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