Extension of Water Flowing Fractured Zone during Coal Seams Mining in No.1 Mine of Tashendian Area

Qinghai Li  
College of Mining and Safety Engineering  
Shandong University of Science and Technology  
Qingdao, China  
liqinghai@sdust.edu.cn

Tongbin Zhao  
College of Mining and Safety Engineering  
Shandong University of Science and Technology  
Qingdao, China  
ztbwh2001@163.com

Zhen Li  
College of Mining and Safety Engineering  
Shandong University of Science and Technology  
Qingdao, China  
767312022@qq.com

Jingkai Li  
College of Mining and Safety Engineering  
Shandong University of Science and Technology  
Qingdao, China  
LJK_0801@163.com

Abstract—In coal seams mining in No. 1 mine of Tashendian area, three methods of theoretical analysis, UDEC numerical simulation, and physical simulation test were used in this research to analyze the extension height of water flowing fractured zone and the height of waterproof coal (rock) pillar. By calculation, it was obtained that, after each coal seam mined out, the height of water flowing fractured zone is about 33.7 m above 8-1 # coal seam, and the size of waterproof coal (rock) pillar greater than 44.06 m was reasonable; after upper and lower groups coal seam mined out, the height of water flowing fractured zone is about 81 m above 8-1# coal seam, and the size of waterproof coal (rock) pillar greater than 91.36 m was reasonable; after all coal seams mined out simultaneously, the height of water flowing fractured zone is about 95.1 m above 8-1 # coal seam, and the size of waterproof coal (rock) pillar greater than 105.46 m was reasonable. By numerical simulation, it was obtained that, after upper and lower groups coal seam mined out, the height of water flowing fractured zone is about 46.0 m above 8-1 # coal seam, and the size of waterproof coal (rock) pillar greater than 56.36 m was reasonable; after all coal seams mined out simultaneously, the height of water flowing fractured zone is about 76.0 m above 8-1# coal seam, and the size of waterproof coal (rock) pillar greater than 86.36 m was reasonable; By physical simulation, it was obtained that, after upper and lower groups coal seam mined out, the height of water flowing fractured zone is about 40.0 m above 8-1 # coal seam. And the waterproof coal (rock) pillar was about 70.0 m between and the aquifer. In situ production, each coal seam was mined out orderly.
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I. INTRODUCTION

As the main coal-producing area in China, there was relatively weak ecological environment in the western region of China. If fissures induced by coal mining extend to the aquifer, it will not only threaten the producing of coal mines, but will also lead to a significant declining of underground water levels, which will lead to plants dead, crops dry, and areas desertification. The effects will be even greater in Xinjiang, where the ecological environment is more fragile.

The No.1 mine of Tashendian area is located in the city of Korla, Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region and has a designed production capacity of 1.2 Mt/a. There are 7 coal seams in this area, most of which are partially recoverable. 7 coal seams are numbered as 13 #, 12 #, 10 #, 9 #, 8-3 #, 8-2 #, and 8-1 # from bottom to top, with a total thickness of 21.92 m. The angle of each coal seam is between 4º - 24º, which belongs to nearly horizontal - inclined range. There is an aquifer 97.6 m above 8-1 # coal seam in Tertiary Oligocene Miocene Taoshuyuan formation. The thickness of aquifer reaches 64.91 - 158.00m (116.48m in average). And the water pressure of aquifer reaches 0.44 MPa. The permeability coefficient of aquifer is 0.0469-0.2288 m/d. Thus, the acquirer belongs to a medium-rich water source and is the main water filling source during coal mining.

Peng [1], Meng [2], Wang [3], Liu [4] et al. adopted the theoretical analysis method to obtain different calculation formulas of waterproof protection coal pillar. Li [5], Liu [6], Yin [7], Chen [8], He [9], Yang [10] used numerical software UDEC 2D, FLAC 3D and RFPA 2D to simulate and analyze the propagation of water flowing fractured zone (short as WFFZ) and the size of waterproof coal pillars under different geological conditions. Jia [11], Xu et al. [12] have studied the propagation of WFFZ by means of physical simulation experiments. At the same time, Zhu et al. [13] put forward the process of rock failure under the coupling of fluid and solid. Based on properties analysis
on engineering strata, Tu et al. [14] has studied the maximum height of the WFFZ under different mining ratios. Ma et al. [15] analyzed the hydraulic conductivity mechanism in fractured zone. Xu [16] put forward the concept of water insulation mechanism. Based on the theory of four zones in roof, Shi et al. [17] deduced the calculation formula of WFFZ, which included factors of mining thickness, mining depth, working face span, rock mechanical properties, strata characteristics and water pressure in aquifer. Li et al. [18] concluded that mining depth, coal seam's dip angle, thickness, hardness, structure were the main factors affecting the height of WFFZ. Based on energy conservation, Zhang et al. [19] established the approximate relationship among the height of fractured zone, mining parameters and the characteristics of overlying strata. By fuzzy clustering analysis method, Yang et al. [20] analyzed the height of fractured zone in working face. Li et al. [21] studied the adaptability of underground reservoir based on the height of WFFZ. With method of vector machine, Zhang et al. [22] set up a height prediction model for WFFZ. Based on key strata analyzing, Xu et al. [23] proposed a new method for predicting the height of WFFZ. Gao et al. [24] analyzed the height of the fractured zone using traditional empirical formula. Sun et al. [25] obtained the spatial position of WFFZ using ESG microseismic monitoring system.

The WFFZ is located above the caving zone. It is significant to study the extension height of the WFFZ to prevent inrush during mining. In view of the existence of aquifer in Tertiary Oligocene Miocene Taoshuyuan formation, in order to prevent the influence of aquifer during 7 coal seams mining, theoretical analysis, UDEC3D numerical simulation and physical simulation were used to analyze the extension of WFFZ. Based on this, for 8-1 #, 8-2 #, 8-3 #, and 9 # coal seams, reasonable coal (rock) pillar sizes are designed.

II. THEORETICAL ANALYSIS ON EXTENSION HEIGHT OF WFFZ

A. Strata in Tashendian area

From the preliminary design report of No. 1 Mine of Tashendian Area, strata parameters are decided and shown in Table 1. According to Table 1, it was determined that the unidirectional compressive strength of most strata is in the range of 10-30 MPa. The engineering geological conditions belong to II medium category.

B. Calculation for the height of WFFZ

a) WFFZ Formula for the height of WFFZ. According to the theory of three overlying zones, the WFFZ refers to the sum of the caving zone and fractured zone of the overburden strata in the mined area. When strength of overburden strata are hard, medium hard, weak and very weak, the maximum height of the WFFZ can be calculated according to two empirical formulas in Table 2 [26].

According to the mechanics parameters of coal seams' roof and floor, it can be seen that for saturated uniaxial compressive strength, only siltstone in 9 # coal seam floor and fine sandstone in 13 # coal seam roof exceeds 40 MPa, and other strata are less than 40 MPa. It is decided that the strata in this mining field belongs to the range of medium-hard. Therefore, the WFFZ can be calculated according to formulas of medium hard strata.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strata</th>
<th>Thickness /m</th>
<th>Bulk density /g·cm⁻³</th>
<th>Water content (%)</th>
<th>Uniaxial compressive strength/MPa</th>
<th>Tensile strength/MPa</th>
<th>Shear strength/MPa</th>
<th>Softening coefficient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aquifer</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18.13</td>
<td>40.53</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>5.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mudstone</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>58.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siltstone</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>21.13</td>
<td>43.53</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>6.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine sandstone</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>19.68</td>
<td>52.85</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-1# Coal</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine sandstone</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>58.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-2# Coal</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siltstone</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>21.13</td>
<td>43.53</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>6.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-3 Coal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siltstone</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>27.01</td>
<td>53.84</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>6.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9# Coal</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravel rock</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>33.6</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>5.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10# Coal</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravel rock</td>
<td>124.6</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>23.12</td>
<td>55.65</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>7.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12# Coal</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravel rock</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>64.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13# Coal</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coarse sandstone</td>
<td>73.8</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>48.8</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>8.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The total thickness of the lower group coal seam is 5.6 m and the cumulative mining thickness is less than 15 m.

According to mining regulation rules [26], during closer coal seams mining, when the vertical distance \( h \) between the upper and lower seams is greater than the height of the caving zone produced by the lower seam mining (Fig. 1), there is little effect of lower seam caving zone on upper seam. The height of the WFFZ and the height of the caving zone can be calculated according to the thicknesses of coal seams respectively. The highest value is regarded as the WFFZ of two seams. Referring to the production experience of nearby coal mines, coal seams mining in No.1 mine of Tashendian area can be calculated according to this situation.

According to mining regulation rules [26], during closer coal seams mining, when the vertical distance \( h \) between the upper and lower seams is greater than the height of the caving zone produced by the lower seam mining (Fig. 1), there is little effect of lower seam caving zone on upper seam. The height of the WFFZ and the height of the caving zone can be calculated according to the thicknesses of coal seams respectively. The highest value is regarded as the WFFZ of two seams. Referring to the production experience of nearby coal mines, coal seams mining in No.1 mine of Tashendian area can be calculated according to this situation.

According to mining regulation rules [26], during closer coal seams mining, when the vertical distance \( h \) between the upper and lower seams is greater than the height of the caving zone produced by the lower seam mining (Fig. 1), there is little effect of lower seam caving zone on upper seam. The height of the WFFZ and the height of the caving zone can be calculated according to the thicknesses of coal seams respectively. The highest value is regarded as the WFFZ of two seams. Referring to the production experience of nearby coal mines, coal seams mining in No.1 mine of Tashendian area can be calculated according to this situation.

**Table 2. Formula for the height of WFFZ (m)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lithology of overburden strata (Uniaxial compressive strength /MPa)</th>
<th>Formula One</th>
<th>Formula Two</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hard (40–80)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium hard (20–40)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weak (10–20)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very weak (&lt;10)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note 1: The applicable range of the empirical formula in above table is that the single-layer mining thickness is 1–3 m, and the cumulative mining thickness is less than 15 m.

**Table 3. Calculated results of WFFZ height after each coal seam mined out**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coal seams</th>
<th>Height of WFFZ/m</th>
<th>Formula One</th>
<th>Formula Two</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8-1</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>33.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-2</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>46.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-3</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>47.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>52.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>45.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 2. Sketch of WFFZ height after each coal seam mined out**

**Fig. 3. Sketch of WFFZ height after upper and lower groups coal seam mined out**

**Table 4. Calculated results of WFFZ height after upper and lower groups coal seam mined out**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coal Seams</th>
<th>Height of WFFZ/m</th>
<th>Formula One</th>
<th>Formula Two</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lower group</td>
<td></td>
<td>50.2</td>
<td>57.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper group</td>
<td></td>
<td>58.6</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4. Calculated results of WFFZ height after upper and lower groups coal seam mined out**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coal Seams</th>
<th>Height of WFFZ/m</th>
<th>Formula One</th>
<th>Formula Two</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lower group</td>
<td></td>
<td>50.2</td>
<td>57.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper group</td>
<td></td>
<td>58.6</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
c) Extension height of WFFZ after all coal seams mined out simultaneously. According to formula one, the height of WFFZ is calculated as 61.2 m. According to formula two, the height of WFFZ is calculated as 95.1 m. It is determined that the height of the WFFZ is 95.1 m when coal seams mined out at the same time. The sketch of WFFZ is shown in Fig. 4.

\[ H_f = H_L + H_b \]  
\[ H_f = 95.1 + 10.36 = 105.46 \text{ m}. \]

b) WCRP determination after upper and lower groups coal seam mined out. After the upper and lower group coal seams mined out, the height of the WFFZ reached 81.0 m above the upper group coal seam. According to formula (1), WCRP can be determined as:

\[ H_f = H_L + H_b = 81.0 + 10.36 = 91.36 \text{ m}. \]

c) WCRP determination after all coal seams mined out simultaneously. After the upper and lower group coal seams mined out, the height of the WFFZ reached 95.1 m above the upper group coal seam. According to formula (1), WCRP can be determined as:

\[ H_f = H_L + H_b = 95.1 + 10.36 = 105.46 \text{ m}. \]

### III. UDEC Simulation Analysis on the Extension Height of WFFZ

#### A. Simulation program

Due to large thickness of the whole model and less thickness of each coal seam, when all coal seams built in the same model, the WFFZ cannot be shown clearly in simulation results. Therefore, in order to highlight the WFFZ, two programs were used in this simulation.

a) Model of program 1. According to coal seams’ occurrence, all coal seams can be divided into two groups: the upper group, which included 8-1 #, 8-2 #, 8-3 #, 9 #, 10 # coal seams and the lower group, which included 12 # and 13 # coal seams. The upper and lower groups of coal seam are separated to establish two models (Fig. 6 and Fig. 7).

![Fig. 6. Lower group coal seam model in program 1](image)

![Fig. 7. Upper group coal seam model in program 1](image)
vertical stress. The average volumetric weight density of the overlying strata is 23 kN/m³. The applied vertical stress was about 10.5 MPa. The strike length of the model was 400m. The thickness of lower group is 5.6m. The model includes 27m coarse sandstone, 100m glutenite. About 671m strata have not been established in the model. The 671 m strata were also applied to the upper surface of the model in the form of vertical stress. The average volumetric weight density of the overlying strata is 23 kN/m³. The applied vertical stress was about 15.4 MPa. The strike length of the model was 400m.

b) Model of program 2. All coal seams were considered as one seam and were mined out at the seam time. In the model (Fig. 8), the total thickness of the coal seam is 18.1m. The model includes 15 m, 40 m fine sandstone, 50 m siltstone, 8 m mudstone and the aquifer. About 457 m strata above the aquifer have not been established in the model. The 457 m strata were applied to the upper surface of the model in the form of vertical stress. The average volumetric weight density of the overlying strata is 23 kN/m³. The applied vertical stress was about 10.5 MPa. The strike length of the model was 400m.

![Fig.8. Whole coal seam model in program 2](image)

In simulation, 50 m boundary pillars were left on the left and right sides of the model. Namely, in the model, along the strike direction, the advancing length was 300 m. In program 1, after lower group coal seam mined out, the height of WFFZ was analyzed to evaluate if it could extend to the upper group coal seam or not. If there was no effect, the upper group coal seam could be mined out. Then the height of the WFFZ during upper group coal seam mining is taken as the height of the WFFZ of program 1.

B. Analysis on numerical simulation results

a) Results of program 1. After lower group coal seam mined out, the displacement of the overburden strata was shown in Fig. 9. The sketch of the 0-displacement boundary line was shown in Fig. 10. It can be seen that after lower group coal seam mined out, the 0-displacement boundary extended to 21.2 m above the coal seam. There is no motion in the strata above the 0 displacement boundary. The mined-out area could be considered as the height of the caving zone and the height of the WFFZ. Therefore, when the lower group coal seam was mined out, the WFFZ extended to 21.2 m above the lower group coal seam and could not reach the upper group coal seam.

![Fig.9. Displacement of overburden strata after lower group coal seam mined out](image)

![Fig.10. Sketch of 0-displacement boundary line after lower group coal seam mined out](image)

After upper group coal seam mined out, the displacement of the overburden strata was shown in Fig. 11. The sketch of the 0-displacement boundary line was shown in Fig. 12. It can be seen that after upper group coal seam mined out, the 0-displacement boundary extended to 46.0 m above the coal seam. Namely, in program 1, the WFFZ extended to 46.0 m above the upper group coal seam.

![Fig.11. Displacement of overburden strata after upper group coal seam mined out](image)

![Fig.12. Sketch of 0-displacement boundary line after upper group coal seam mined out](image)

b) Results of program 2. After all coal seams mined out, the displacement of the overburden strata was shown in Fig. 13. The sketch of the 0-displacement boundary line was shown in Fig. 14. It can be seen that after all coal seams mined out, the 0-displacement boundary extended to 76.0 m above 8-1 # coal seam. Namely, in program 2, the WFFZ extended to 76.0 m above the 8-1 # coal seam.

![Fig.13. Displacement of overburden strata after all coal seams mined out](image)

![Fig.14. Sketch of 0-displacement boundary line after all coal seams mined out](image)
C. Determination of WCRP

After the upper and lower group coal seams mined out in program 1, the height of the WFFZ reached 46.0 m above the upper group coal seam. According to formula (1), WCRP can be determined as:

\[ H_f = H_l + H_b = 46 + 10.36 = 56.36 \text{ m.} \]

After all coal seams mined out in program 2, the height of the WFFZ reached 76.0 m above 8-1# coal seam. According to formula (1), WCRP can be determined as:

\[ H_f = H_l + H_b = 76 + 10.36 = 86.36 \text{ m.} \]

Combined with simulation results of programs 1 and 2, the height of the WFFZ for coal mining in the upper and lower groups was 46 m, and the height of WFFZ when all coal seams mined out at the same time was 76 m. According to formula (1), the heights of the WCRP in programs 1 and 2 were determined as 56.36 m and 86.36 m, respectively.

IV. PHYSICAL SIMULATION ANALYSIS ON THE EXTENSION HEIGHT OF WFFZ

A. Physical model design

Experiment frame is 190 cm in length and 20 cm in width. According to the size of the frame, combined with the in situ coal seam occurrence conditions, the geometric ratio of the physical model was decided as 1/500. Namely the geometrical ratio of the physical model is \( C_{l1}=1/500 \). The bulk density ratio was chosen as \( C_{l2}=1/1.5 \). Then the motion ratio was got as \( C_{l3}=1/22.4 \); the elastic modulus ratio was gained as \( C_{l4}=1/750 \), and the stress ratio was gained as \( C_{l5}=1/750 \).

As same as the numerical simulation program 1, all coal seams were divided into upper group, which included 8-1 #, 8-2 #, 8-3 #, 9 #, 10 # coal seams and lower group, which included 12# and 13# coal seams. The thickness of upper group is 12.5 m. The thickness of lower group is 5.6 m. The distance between two groups was 202.9 m. Due to small size of the experimental frame, strata in the physical model could not include all strata above aquifer. According to the occurrence of coal seams, it was determined that there were 230 m strata that have not included in the physical model. The 230 m strata were applied to the upper surface of the model in the form of loads. The physical model was shown in Fig. 15.

![Fig.15. Physical model](image)

In this model, the upper and lower group coal seams were marked with black paint and the aquifer was marked with blue paint. At the same time, for deformation monitoring of the overburden strata, 10 cm × 10 cm grid line was put on the front of the model. The test was conducted in two steps. First, the upper group coal seam was mined to observe the extension of the WFFZ. After upper group mined out and the overlying strata stabilized, the lower group coal seam was mined to observe the extension of the WFFZ. In order to reduce the effect of boundary, in left and right boundaries, 20 cm coal pillar was left for the protective pillar. The total length of the model was 190 cm. Thus the mining length of the model was 150 cm.

B. Analysis on physical simulation results

a) Results after upper group coal seam mined out. The caving of overlying strata was shown in Fig. 16. When the working face was advanced 150 cm, the height of caving strata extended to 8.0 cm above the coal seam, which was equal to 40 m in-situ. The height of the coal seam was 12.5 m. Therefore, the mining-to-falling ratio was 3.2, which was accordance with the production experience. There was about 14.0 cm from the top of caving zone to aquifer. Namely, in-situ production, there was about 70.0 m WCRP between mining influenced zone and the aquifer.

![Fig.16. The caving of overlying strata after upper group coal seam mined out](image)

b) Results after lower group coal seam mined out. The caving of overlying strata was shown in Fig. 17.

![Fig.17. The caving of overlying strata after lower group coal seam mined out](image)

When the working face was advanced 150 cm, the height of caving strata extended to 17.5 cm above the coal seam. Above the caving zone, there was no fractured zone in the overlying strata. There were only caving zone and bending zone. Affected by the bending and subsidence of overburden strata induced by lower coal seam mining,
there was only a slight bending subsidence in the strata of upper goaf. This effect has not extended to the strata above the caving zone. Namely, there was no mining influence on the aquifer.

Through physical simulation, it was got that after two groups coal seam mined out, the WFFZ extended to the height of 40 m above 8-1 # coal seam and the WCRP was 70.0 m to the aquifer.

V. CONCLUSION

In coal seams mining in No.1 mine of Tashendian area, three methods of theoretical analysis, UDEC numerical simulation, and physical simulation test were used in this research to analyze the extension height of WFFZ and the height of WCRP. By calculation, it was obtained, that after each coal seam mined out, the height of WFFZ is about 33.7 m above 8-1 # coal seam, and the size of WCRP greater than 44.06 m was reasonable; after upper and lower groups coal seam mined out, the height of WFFZ is about 81 m above 8-1 # coal seam, and the size of WCRP greater than 91.36 m was reasonable; after all coal seams mined out simultaneously, the height of WFFZ is about 95.1 m above 8-1 # coal seam, and the size of WCRP greater than 105.46 m was reasonable. By numerical simulation, it was obtained that, after upper and lower groups coal seam mined out, the height of WFFZ is about 46.0 m above 8-1 # coal seam, and the size of WCRP greater than 56.36 m was reasonable; after all coal seams mined out simultaneously, the height of WFFZ is about 76.0 m above 8-1 # coal seam, and the size of WCRP greater than 86.36 m was reasonable; By physical simulation, it was obtained that, after upper and lower groups coal seam mined out, the height of WFFZ is about 40.0 m above 8-1 # coal seam. And the WCRP was about 70.0 m between and the aquifer. In situ production, each coal seam was mined out orderly. In this research, two groups coal seam mined out orderly and all coal seams mined out simultaneously were two extreme states in analysis, data based on these two states were bigger than reality.
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