Influence of traffic flow structure and its characteristics on calculation of pavement design
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Abstract—In recent years the cargo carriage volume has ramped up dramatically, the structure of the traffic flow has changed, the number of vehicles with a loading capacity over 7 tons has increased thus causing the increase in the actual axle load on road pavement. Modern regulations regarding the calculation of flexible pavement fall behind modern requirements to road pavement and a new structure of the traffic flow, which causes the need to improve the calculation of pavement design and to change the loading design values taking into account real operating conditions.

The paper provides the calculation results for two options of road pavement design: following the existing regulations and taking into account the reasonable proposed loading design values (based on different constructive schemes of vehicles, different axle load, and increased tire pressure).
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I. INTRODUCTION

The design of flexible road pavement implies the subgrade stress factor (stress in a pavement layers and an underlying subgrade under the influence of transport loading), calculated according to the elasticity theory thus bringing a multiply construction to a two-layer design model. The approximate solutions based on elementary design schemes and nomograms on their basis are thus used.

A flexible round wheel plate with \( D \) diameter transferring the evenly distributed load of \( p \) value was used as the design loading scheme. The values of a wheel design unit pressure on road pavement \( p \) and design diameter \( D \) are set taking into account the design parameters of types of vehicles. The heaviest vehicle, which accounts to over 10% in the total traffic flow, is taken as the vehicle design type [1].

For the last 17 years the traffic flow underwent considerable changes, which should be definitely taken into account:

- cargo carriage volume increased on average by 20% within the considered period (Fig. 1);
- structure of traffic flow changed (from 2000 to 2016 the number of vehicle with a loading capacity over 7 t increased from 13 to 28%, including vehicle with a loading capacity over 10 t – to 24.5%; and vehicle with a loading capacity over 20 t – up to 8.5%) (Fig. 2) [2].

The actual axle loads also increased. Motor carriers either overload their vehicles exceeding safe loading capacity, or use vehicles with a large number of axes to increase the payload and avoid surcharge axle loads. Different design regulations, standards and norms in road industry fall behind the development of automotive industry and numerous innovative technologies solving the main tasks of transport infrastructure development [3] thus leading to the reduction of the actual life cycle of road designs.

II. METHODS AND MATERIALS

At present, there is an urgent need to improve the pavement design method. It is obvious that the solution of this task requires a detailed study and analysis of dynamic influence of multi-axle vehicles since the stress-strain distribution of road pavements shows that multi-axle truck trains, which loads quite often exceed the standard, exert the main destructive impact on highways [4].

According to experts, the current design method of road pavement [1] is characterized by some problems that quite often lead to wrong design solutions.

The design load factor of a vehicle is determined as follows:

\[
S_n_{\text{summary}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} S_n
\]  

(1)
where \( n \) – number of axes of the given vehicle;
\( S_n \) – coefficient of a rated dynamic load from a wheel of each vehicle axes against the design dynamic load determined as follows:

\[
S_n = \left( \frac{Q_d \cdot n}{Q_{d \text{ calc}}} \right)^P
\]  

(2)

where \( Q_{d \text{ calc}} \) – rated dynamic load on pavement:

\[
Q_{d \text{ calc}} = K_{d \text{ din}} \cdot Q_n
\]  

(3)

where \( K_{d \text{ din}} \) – impact factor set to 1.3;
\( Q_n \) – rated static load on the given axis wheel;
\( Q_d \) – design dynamic load on pavement:

\[
Q_d = K_{d \text{ calc}} \cdot Q_{d \text{ calc}}
\]  

(4)

where \( Q_{d \text{ calc}} \) – design load;
\( P \) – for capital road pavement is set to 4.4; for intermediate – 3.0; for transition – 2.0.

It is known that when defining the design value of the rated static load on a wheel there is a need to multiply the rated load specified in a technical certificate of a vehicle by \( K_c \) ratio, which is calculated as follows:

\[
K_c = a - b \sqrt{B_m - c}
\]  

(5)

where \( B_m \) – distance between outer axles (in meters);
\( a, b, c \) – parameters defined depending on durability of road pavement and a number of axes.

III. RESULTS.

The Industry Road Code (ODM) 218.046 [1] indicates that the above calculation may be neglected and the \( S_n \) may be set according to the table below:

**TABLE I. DESIGN LOAD FACTOR**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of vehicle</th>
<th>Design load factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Loading capacity from 1 to 2 t</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loading capacity from 2 to 5 t</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loading capacity from 5 to 8 t</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loading capacity over 8 t</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buses</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tractor-trailer truck</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The cumulative load factors specified in the regulations were calculated against traffic flow characteristics existing at the time of the document issue. It is also reasonable to mention the dynamic load factor according to ODM 218.11.001-2015 [5] since it is underestimated in certain cases [6]. Therefore there is a need to specify the cumulative load factors of vehicles against the design load based on characteristics of modern traffic flow.

Besides, Table 1 [1] is not complete since the classification of vehicles by loading capacity only without their design scheme does not reflect the real impact on road pavement. Thus, according to this table, KamAZ-43114 and MAZ-533603-2121 trucks refer to one type of vehicles (loading capacity from 5 to 8 t) but have different design schemes and axle loads (Fig. 3).

![Fig. 3. Comparison of KamAZ-43114 and MAZ-533603-2121 specifications](image_url)

The above vehicles have different effect on road design due to difference in design schemes and different axle load, therefore it is wrong to group them together with identical cumulative load factor [7].

The key load design factor used in the design of flexible road pavements is the axle or wheel load, the unit contact pressure and the diameter of a tread footprint. The contact pressure with vehicular deck \( P_v \) is usually calculated through tire pressure taking into account the tire rate \( P_r \). This was indicated in the Industry-Specific Construction Standards (VSN) 46-72 Guidelines on Flexible Pavement Design [8].

\[
P_a = P_v \cdot K_g, \text{ MPa}
\]  

(6)

where \( K_g \) – tire rate.

The contact pressure is taken into account in its explicit form to calculate stress and deformation and in its hidden form influences the key design characteristic of wheel-pavement interaction – diameter of a wheel plate.

Alongside with other industrial standards, the calculations according to current ODN 218-046 consider the unified pressure value \( P_a = 0.6 \text{ MPa} \) for axle loads up to 13 t (Tab. 2).

**TABLE II. DESIGN PARAMETERS FOR DIFFERENT LOAD GROUPS ACCORDING TO ODN 218.046**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Design load group</th>
<th>Rated static axle load, kN</th>
<th>Load design</th>
<th>P_a, MPa</th>
<th>D, cm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A_1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>37/33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A_2</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>39/34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A_3</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>42/37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The last column indicates values for a moving wheel in the numerator (dynamic load with dynamic factor 1.3) and values for a static wheel in the denominator (static load).

Thus, the increase in contact pressure is neglected, while the growing load attack is considered through the design diameter of a wheel print [1, 9]. Another industry document ODM 218.2.062 [10] recommends to accept \( P = 0.8 \text{ MPa} \) for design pressure of capital road pavement and \( P = 0.7 \text{ MPa} \) for transition pavement.

The work [11] refers to the analysis of load characteristics based on modern reference materials, which demonstrate considerable lag of road standards behind consumer properties of tires of modern cargo vehicles. Following the requirements of the motor vehicle industry, tires are designed with recommended internal tire pressure, which is higher than the...
design contact 0.6 MPa (0.7 MPa, 0.8 MPa) even under smaller axle loads.

The analysis was conducted for two different groups: separately for groups of single and coupled wheels (Fig. 4, 5).

The diagrams show that even without the rigidity of pressure and temperature, the unit pressure exceeds the standard design values and are close to the endurance strength of asphalt concrete under high summer temperatures, and in case of accumulated microdefects in asphalt concrete structure under relatively low positive temperatures. According to various estimates, during movement the tire warms up thus increasing the internal pressure to 20% of the initial one.

This aspect was highlighted by Boguslavsky A.M., Radovsky B.S., Rudensky A.V., Smirnov A.V., Yakovlev Yu.M., etc. [13].

Two options of road pavement design meeting the necessary criteria were calculated using standard (Option 1) and proposed (Option 2) load design parameters. The calculations are made in Credo Radon for the most widespread type of pavement for public roads of the Belgorod Region:

- two-coarse pavement from stone mastic asphalt and dense coarse-grained asphalt concrete, Mark I, B type;
- base from porous coarse-grained asphalt concrete, Mark II and crushed-stone-sand mixture C-4;
- additional layer of the base from fine-grained sand with 5% silty-clayed fraction.


**Table IV. Characteristics of road pavement**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Effective thickness of a layer, cm</th>
<th>Total modulus of elasticity, E_{aa}, MPa</th>
<th>Cost, rub/sq.m.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Hot stone mastic asphalt on construction bitumen 60/90, Mark I</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>328.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Hot dense asphalt on construction bitumen 60/90, Type A, Mark I</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>347.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Hot porous asphalt on construction bitumen 60/90, coarse-grained, Mark II</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>334.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Slag macadam</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>1038.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Option 2. Design region — central (Belgorod Region). Road category — II, two-lane road. Reliability factor — 0.95. Axle design weight — 115 kN. Required modulus of elasticity — 347 MPa. Tire pressure — 0.95 MPa. Tire plate diameter — 32 cm. When designing the road pavement based on ODN 218.046-01 the required modulus of elasticity under pressure other than 0.60 MPa (Option 2) was determined as follows:

\[
E_{fr} = \sqrt[6]{\frac{P}{600}} \cdot 98,65 \cdot (\log N - C) \tag{8}
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Effective thickness of a layer, cm</th>
<th>Total modulus of elasticity, (E_{ctre}), MPa</th>
<th>Cost, rub./sq.m.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Hot stone mastic asphalt on construction bitumen 60/90, Mark I</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>328.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Hot dense asphalt on construction bitumen 60/90, Type A, Mark I</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>409</td>
<td>347.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Hot porous asphalt on construction bitumen 60/90, coarse-grained, Mark II</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Slag macadam sandy mixture for active and mild slag coatings C4 - 40 mm</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>1062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Fine sand with 5% silty-clayed fraction</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Light loam</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total thickness:</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>Total cost</td>
<td>2267.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IV. CONCLUSION.

The calculation results show that with the increase in tire pressure the wheel print diameter decreases alongside with the increase in the total design number of loads per pavement strip during the entire service life (approximately 5 times) and the increase in the required modulus of elasticity by 25%. The following results were obtained based on design criteria:

- strength capacity in both options is almost identical;
- shear-resistance test in soil for the 2\(^{nd}\) option is at the limit;
- shear-resistance capacity in low-cohesive sandy layer in both options is quite big (56% and 52% respectively);
- there is almost no extra capacity for the 2\(^{nd}\) option when calculating the shear-resistance of monolithic layers against bending-tensile test whereas for the 1\(^{st}\) option it makes 33%.

Thus, the road pavement under increased tire pressure shall be quite thick. It turned out economically viable to increase the thickness of a low layer of porous asphalt concrete base by 2 cm, which makes 25% of the thickness, for enhanced tire pressure rather than for accepted pressure of 0.60 MPa. It will lead to insignificant (4.7% for the construction of road pavement) cost increase.
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