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Abstract—This article describes the realization of speech acts suggestion expressed by the Islamic preachers (da’i(-s)) in responding to the questioners’ (mad'u) questions. The data were obtained by employing the methods of nonparticipative-observation and recording method. The data analysis used the method of ways and purpose. The obtained findings include the da’i expressed speech acts of suggestion into five distinguished forms, namely the imperatives, providing options, impersonal, hedging, and hints. The existing power and politeness factors surely affect the selection and use of the five distinguished types of speech acts suggestion.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The study of language use in the field of Islamic preaching attract many researchers. From our personal review, there were 13 studies examined the use of language in the da’wah domain, among others Ma’ruf (1999), Hidayat (1999), Subagyo (2000), Fakhruddin (2000), Maksan (2001), Atmawati (2002), Irawati (2004), Hadisaputra (2005), Sadhono (2005), Gusneti (2007), Atmawati (2009), Abdullah (2010), and Sadhono (2011). Of those studies, there were not yet found a specifis discussion on the use of speech act of suggestion.

The act of suggestion concerns the act of expressing the offered suggestion to the speaking partners. The purpose of expressing this attitude is to suggest the speaking partners about things. In dialogic da’wah, this speech acts are widely expressed by da’i when they were answering the questions. The da’i answers in addition to provide explanations, such typical expression can either be inferred as a request, prohibition, or advice. As a typical realization of anwers, such speech act is significant to be studied for these expressions reflect a sense of threatening discourse recipients or mad’u’s face. To say threatening face, since this speech act limits the mad’u’s action. In other words, by suggesting about things, it implies that one threaten the negative face of his speaking partners. Consequently, the dai will certainly try to mitigate or soften the sustainability of this speech act. Thus, this study would explain how to realize the speech act of providing a well-mannered suggestion in the frame of a dialogic preaching or da’wah discourse.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

A. The Speech act of suggestion

Speech act is a basic term in linguistic studies, especially pragmatic fields. Predecessor of this term J.L. Austin (1962) through his work entitled How to do things with words. The basic concept proposed by Austin (1962) originally was to typify conative/constative and performative utterances. The constative utterance is an utterance expresses a statement. This related to right or wrong things being stated. Then, the performative utterance is intentionally expressed to have someone to do something. These utterances can never be judged in terms its correctness, however, the expression can be recognized whether or not the utterance is considered sound or valid. Another basic concept proposed by Austin (1962) in his understanding of speech acts is about distinguishing the acts of locution, illocution, and perlocution. The act of locution concerns a production of a meaningful linguistic expressions. Meanwhile, the act of illocutionary concerns the speaker's acts of illocution which present in the linguistic spoken expressions. The act of perlocution concerns expressing acts within the expressed linguistics features which go along with specific consequences or effects on the recipients.

The concept of speech acts was initially proposed by Austin which then revised and refined by his student, John Searle. Searle come up with a more perfect concept. Additionally Searle (1979) has successfully classified of various speech acts into five kinds, namely assertive or representative, directive, commissive, expressive. The assertive speech act is a type of speech act that demands the speaker to reveal the truth of his/her proposition. This speech acknowledges the speaker's confidence. Meanwhile, the directive speech act is a typical act in speech that expresses speaker's desire in order that the speaking partners would do something for him/her. In the meantime, the commissive act is a speech act that demands the speakers about the future acts. The expressive act is a speech act that
suggestions a psychological attitude or the speaker's statement of joy or sorrow and happy or displeased. The declarative speech act is an act produces by a speaker to change the world.

The speech act of suggestion is an act within a speech that imply sub-speech act of directive act. The speech act of suggesting can only be acceptable when it is expressed according to the felicity conditions.

Propositional content: Actions referring to future events.

Preparatory condition: Speakers have reason that the action to be produced can be profitable to themselves and the speech partners and the speech act of suggesting can only be acceptable when it is expressed according to the felicity conditions.

Sincerity condition: The speaker believes that the action will take action under reasonable circumstances.

Essential condition: It is as an attempt to provide effects of interests.

III. METHOD

The data in this study are the utterances expressed by da’i in dialogical da’wah in Surakarta. Such utterances reflect a series of frequently asked questions between mad’u and da’i upon interacting in a question and answer (Q&A) session. The selected speeches as data cover speeches reflect the acts of suggesting. The data is, therefore, considered authentic for they were obtained naturally in a reasonable communication event (speech). In other words, the data in the form of speech was actually the results of speeches without the researchers intervention or unaffected by the researcher's presence especially at the time of observing and recording language use of the research objects.

The data source is the dialogic Islamic preaching discourse or da’wah organized by Islamic organizations and non-Islamic mass organizations, whether located at home, in the offices, or in the mosques. The data sources in this article were taken from 14 dialogical da’wah locations, among others were the PCM Banjarsari, PCM Jebres, PCM Kota Bengawan, at MTA Branch Jebres 1, at MTA Branch Jebres 2, in MTA at Binaan Pasar Kliwon, at Asysyifa Assembly, in Jamaah Haji Arofah 92, in Jamaah Mrs. Annikmah, at the Al-hikmah Mosque, Al-furqon Mosque, Al-fajr Mosque, at the UNS Rectorate building, and at the house of Prof. Dr. Moch Fathoni, dr., Sp.JP (K).

The data in this article was primarily obtained through applying nonparticipative-observation method. The observations were accompanied by recording which is supported by a digital camera. After the data being collected, the next step was the classification and analysis of the data. The data analysis method applied the method of ways and purpose as proposed by Leech (1983). On presenting data, it was used both formal and informal methods as put forward by Sudaryanto (1993).

IV. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

On the results of the classified data which was conducted initially, it was found five typical acts of suggestion within the acts of speech expressed by the da’i in their dialogic da’wah in the city of Surakarta. The five types are imperative, optional, impersonal, hedging, and hint. Here is the presentation of the fifth types of speech acts suggestion.

Imperative

On the results of the classified data which was conducted initially, it was found five typical acts of suggestion within the acts of speech expressed by the da’i in their dialogic da’wah in the city of Surakarta. The five types are imperative, optional, impersonal, hedging, and hint. Here is the presentation of the fifth types of speech acts suggestion.

(1) Context: The da’i expressed a speech acts of suggesting to mad’u on the call to others. The way expressing such typical use of act in speech was found in a dialogic da’wah at the MTA Branch of Jebres 2.

Da’i: *Nah, coba panggil, panggil yang baik, kira-kira tenar lagi nggak ya*. Lha dipanggil buruk tenar, kalo dipanggil gitu tenar lagi. Kalau tadi ya terjadi di kalangan-kalangan yang orang yang tidak ngaji ya ya itulah budaya mereka.

At (1) there was a da’i or an Islamic preacher’s speech which reflects the illocutionary force of suggesting, ie *Nah, coba panggil, panggil yang baik, kira-kira tenar lagi nggak ya*. Although this utterance was realized in an imperative mode, the only act of suggesting is associated the illocutionary force. Based on the speech context, the purpose was to give advice to the discourse recipients or mad’u to call the name of another person with a good name.

Providing Optionality

Providing options means that the da’i delivers a typocal speech acts use of suggesting, to do so, the additional use of linguistic markers of words, are normally found. Here is an example of the speech act of suggestion indicated by an act of option giving.

(2) Context: The da’i expressed a speech acts of suggesting to the mad’u about the call to others. The expressed speech acts was found in dialogic da’wah at the MTA Branch Jebres 2.

Da’i: *Ya gak jadi, gak jadi khusyu’. Kalau karpetnya wangi tu yo sudah thek, gitu sujud ya bisa khusyu’ baca mau panjang mau ini. Apalagi kalau karpet, karpetnya gak blas ndak pernah bawa ke laundry ya sudah itulah ya. Maka kalau di mesjid-mesjid kita belum bisa seperti itu kalau kita di rumah ya tempat sholatnya itu yang nyaman ya. Nek*
kiro-kiro pengab yo dikei wayer opo AC bikin yang se..., nyaman, ojo kok shalat karok dibledehke klambine.


On data (2) the utterance that reflects the illocutionary force of suggestion is on Jadi diutamakan memang famili tetapi yang tidak menjadi tanggungan kita. Kemudian meluasnya kepada tetangga, pada orang yang dekat dengan kita, terus sampek meluas kalau masih. Mungkin yang punya pabrik punya mall itu membagi sekian banyak orang bisa itu. Begini ya Bu? Silakan!

On data (3), the utterance that reflects illocutionary force of suggestion is on Jadi diutamakan memang famili tetapi yang tidak menjadi tanggungan kita. Kemudian meluasnya kepada tetangga, pada orang yang dekat dengan kita, terus sampek meluas kalau masih. The verb diutamakan shows that da’i applied passive forms at the time expressing the speech acts of suggestion.

**Hedging**

Hedging in this context means the use of speech act of suggestion expressed by da’i which can be observed from the linguistic markers use to save the speaker to reduce a sense of threatening to the speaker’s participants, in order that the speaker would be perceived more polite. Below is an example of a speech act of suggestion which mitigate illocutionary force through hedging.

On data (4), it was found a speech of suggesting that imply illocutionary force, which is Jadi diutamakan memang famili tetap yang tidak menjadi tanggungan kita. Kemudian meluasnya kepada tetangga, pada orang yang dekat dengan kita, terus sampek meluas kalau masih. Mungkin yang punya pabrik punya mall itu ngedum sekian banyak orang bisa itu. Ngoten nggih bu? Manggal!

On data (3), the utterance that reflects illocutionary force of suggestion is on Jadi diutamakan memang famili tetapi yang tidak menjadi tanggungan kita. Kemudian meluasnya kepada tetangga, pada orang yang dekat dengan kita, terus sampek meluas kalau masih. The verb diutamakan shows that da’i applied passive forms at the time expressing the speech acts of suggestion.

**Hints**

Hints means speech act of suggestion which is expressed in a vague form that lead the mad’u’s to speculate in grasping the speaker’s aims at lecturing. The following speech act indicate the use of hint form.
(5) Context: The da’i expressed the speech of suggestion to mad’u about the exemplary ahead of the employee. The expressed speech acts is found in dialogic da’wah at the Rectorate of UNS.


Discussion

On the basis of data classification, the speech acts of suggestion were expressed as much as 59 utterances. The subject of speech acts suggestion on the above elaborated data can be distinguished into 6 types, among others are the imperative, option, impersonal, hedging, and hint. What is interesting to note further is how the frequency of those five types of speech act suggestion. After having input the data into tabulation, table 1 below illustrates how the frequencies concerning the realization of speech act suggestion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Realization</th>
<th>In numbers</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Imperative</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>42 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Giving</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>19 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Impersonal</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>24 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Hedging</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Hint</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>100 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The table above shows that the first form is mostly used instead of the second, third, fourth and fifth forms. In other words, most da’i(s) prefer direct form in giving speech act of suggestion. This finding is in line with that stated by Thomas (1996) that the realization of speech acts is not directly influenced by several aspects and one of them is the aspect of power. In the context of dialogic da’wah in the city of Surakarta, the expert power that turned the da’i prefer the first form on expressing the speech act of suggestion. The second form to the fifth form is a form that can be categorized as a polite form of expressing messages. The second form to this fourth form if we were to observe from the theory of Brown and Levinson (1987), it can be categorized as an effort of mitigating the mad’u(s) face by observing linguistic strategies of negative politeness. Meanwhile, the fifth form can be incorporated into the use of vague strategies. The second form to the fourth can be referred that speaker’s effort to honor the mad’u as well as to save his/her face image. On the fifth form, the use of cues or hints found only in a small frequency of use due to factors in understanding this case. Although it seems more polite, however, the time factor needed to understand the illocutionary for a long period of time, that can lead the da’i to slightly use this form. It seems that the costly and risky factors, are unconsciously considered a da’i on using the fifth form.

V. CONCLUSION

One of the answers given at the time responding to the mad’u question, it is a suggested act of speech. The findings were obtained through realizing the speech acts of suggesting into five forms, namely imperative form, in the form of providing options, impersonal forms, hedging, and hints. The first form was widely used because of the power factor possessed by experts in the field of religion. Meanwhile, the second form to the fifth form was used due to the desire of da’i to reduce the degree of facial threat to the mad’u.
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