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Abstract—A new solution strategy about emergency logistics by employing uncertain case-based reasoning was provided for the management of emergency logistics. A scientific case-based reasoning rule and model reasoning algorithm were established. According to expert opinions, the membership function of each attribute of factors affecting logistics can be obtained. Then, according to the uncertain inference theory, the similar degree of new case and every known case can be calculated, and the similar degree are denoted as s1, s2, ... , sk, respectively. Through these similar degrees, the ownership of the new cases can be determined. Through examples, the classified method is correct and effective.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Now, China's "One Belt and One Road" provides new opportunities to strengthen the international division of labor and cooperation for the development of the world economy, at the same time, the importance of logistics is further apparent, logistics efficiency has become a hot topic of current research. To enhance the efficiency of logistics, the modern logistics must be relied on. Modern logistics can carry out logistics transportation and improve people's living standard with maximum benefit. But the natural disasters and the emergence of unexpected events to modern logistics has brought a test, how to improve this management capacity is the subject of our research.

Case-based reasoning (CBR) theory has been widely applied in the field of artificial intelligence since the theory was put forward, whose main idea is to solve the proposing problem by using successful solutions of the past problems, and the current problem solution can be obtained through modifying related parameters.

The advantages of CBR are that the system does not need a large number of cases at the beginning, just need to save some successful or unsuccessful cases in the system. According to the similar degree of the new case with existing cases, we can determine the ownership of the new case. A lot of scholars have taken deep research on CBR. Such as Jianyuan Yan, Peggy E.Chaudhry and Sohai S.Chaudhry proposed a case-based reasoning model framework for a 3PL evaluation and selection system; Shi F, Xu J, Sun S proposed a defined similarity measurement model based on FCBR. But most of them study it by employing fuzzy theory [4-10,11,14,16-19], however, most attributes of problem cannot be described by fuzzy data.

In this paper, the author presents a new model about emergency logistics by using uncertain case-based reasoning (UCBR) technologies.

II. PRELIMINARIES

A. Uncertainty Space

Definition 1 (Liu [1]) Let r be a nonempty set, let L be a σ-algebra over r, and let M be an uncertain measure, then the triplet (r,L,M) is called an uncertainty space.

In order to present an axiomatic definition of uncertain measure, it is necessary to assign to each event Λ a number M(Λ) which indicates the level that Λ will occur. In order to rationally deal with belief degrees, Liu[1] suggested the following three axioms:

Axiom 1. (Normality Axiom) M(Γ) = 1 for the universal set Γ.

Axiom 2 (Duality Axiom) M(Λ) + M(Λ') = 1 for any event Λ.

Axiom 3 (Subadditivity Axiom) For every countable sequence of events {Λi}, we have

\[ M\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} Λ_i\right) ≤ \sum_{i=1}^{n} M(Λ_i) \]

B. Uncertain Variables

Definition 3 (Liu [1]) An uncertain variable is a measurable function ξ from an uncertainty space (Γ,L,M) to the set of real numbers, i.e., for any Borel set B of real numbers, the set

\[ ξ^{-1}(B) \in L \]
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\( \{ \xi \in B \} = \{ \gamma \in \Gamma | \xi(\gamma) \in B \} \tag{3} \)

is an event.

**Definition 4** An n-dimensional uncertain vector is a measurable function from an uncertainty space \((\Gamma, L, M)\) to the set of n-dimensional real vectors, i.e., for any Borel set \(B\) of \(\mathbb{R}^n\), the set
\[
\{ \xi \in B \} = \{ \gamma \in \Gamma | \xi(\gamma) \in B \}
\]
is an event.

C. Identification Function

**Definition 5** (Liu [1]) An uncertain variable \(\xi\) is said to have a first identification function \(\lambda\) if
(i) \(\lambda(x)\) is a nonnegative function on \(\mathbb{R}\) such that
\[
\sup(\lambda(x) + \lambda(y)) = 1 \tag{5};
\]
(ii) for any set \(B\) of real numbers, we have
\[
M\{\xi \in B\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\sup_{x \in B} \lambda(x), & \text{if } \sup_{x \in B} \lambda(x) < 0.5 \\
1 - \sup_{x \in B} \lambda(x), & \text{if } \sup_{x \in B} \lambda(x) < 0.5
\end{array} \right. \tag{6}
\]

D. Expected Value

**Definition 6** Let \(\xi\) be an uncertain variable. Then the expected value of \(\xi\) is defined by
\[
E[\xi] = \int_0^\infty M\{\xi \geq r\} \, dr - \int_{-\infty}^0 M\{\xi \leq r\} \, dr \tag{7}
\]
provide that at least one of the two integrals is finite.

E. Uncertain Set

Uncertain set theory was proposed by Liu [3] in 2010 as a generalization of uncertainty theory to the domain of uncertain sets.

**Definition 7** An uncertain set is a measurable function \(\xi\) from an uncertainty space \((\Gamma, L, M)\) to a collection of sets of real numbers, i.e., for any Borel set \(B\) of real numbers, the set
\[
\{ \xi \subset B \} = \{ \gamma \in \Gamma | \xi(\gamma) \subset B \}
\]
is an event.

**Definition 8** Let \(\xi\) and \(\eta\) be two uncertain sets on the uncertainty space \((\Gamma, L, M)\). Then the union \(\xi \cup \eta\) of uncertain sets \(\xi\) and \(\eta\) is \(\xi \cup \eta = \xi(\gamma) \cup \eta(\gamma), \forall \gamma \in \Gamma \). Then intersection \(\xi \cap \eta\) of uncertain sets \(\xi\) and \(\eta\) is
\[
(\xi \cap \eta)(\gamma) = \xi(\gamma) \cap \eta(\gamma), \forall \gamma \in \Gamma \tag{10}
\]
The complement \(\xi^c\) of uncertain set \(\xi\) is
\[
\xi^c(\gamma) = \xi(\gamma)^c, \forall \gamma \in \Gamma \tag{11}
\]

**Definition 9** The uncertain sets \(\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_m\) are said to be independent if
\[
M\{\bigcap_{i=1}^m (\xi_i \subset B_i)\} = \min_{i=1}^m M\{\xi_i \subset B_i\} \tag{12}
\]
and
\[
M\{\bigcup_{i=1}^m (\xi_i \subset B_i)\} = \min_{i=1}^m M\{\xi_i \subset B_i\} \tag{13}
\]
for any Borel sets \(B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_m\) of real numbers.

**Definition 10** An uncertain set \(\xi\) is said to have a membership function \(\mu\) if for any Borel set \(B\) of real numbers, we have
\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\inf_{x \in B} \mu(x), & \text{if } \sup_{x \in B} \mu(x) \leq 0.5 \\
1 - \sup_{x \in B} \mu(x), & \text{if } \sup_{x \in B} \mu(x) \leq 0.5
\end{array} \right. \tag{14}
\]

F. Uncertain Inference

**Inference Rule 1** (Liu[3]) Let \(X\) and \(Y\) be two concepts. Assume a rule “if \(X\) is an uncertain set \(\xi\) then \(Y\) is an uncertain set \(\eta\)”. From \(X\) is a constant \(a\) we infer that \(Y\) is an uncertain set \(\eta^*\) given \(\xi\) that is
\[
\eta^* = \eta \bigg|_{x=a} \tag{16}
\]
which is the conditional uncertain set \(\eta\) given \(a \in \xi\).

**Theorem 2** (Liu[3]) In Inference Rule 1, if \(\xi\) and \(\eta\) are independent uncertain sets with membership functions \(\mu\) and \(\nu\), respectively, If \(\xi^*\) is a constant \(a\), then the inference rule 1 yields that \(\eta^*\) has a membership function
\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\nu(\gamma) \mu(a)}{\mu(a)}, & \text{if } \nu(\gamma) < \mu(a)/2 \\
\frac{\nu(\gamma) + \mu(a) - 1}{\mu(a)}, & \text{if } \nu(\gamma) > 1 - \mu(a)/2 \\
0.5, & \text{otherwise}
\end{array} \right. \tag{17}
\]
Inference Rule 2 (Gao-Gao-Ralescu[13]) makes $X_i, X_k, k, X_l$ be concepts. Assume rules "If $X_i$ is $\xi_{i1}$ and $X_k$ is $\xi_{m}$ then $Y$ is $\eta_{i}$" for $i = 1,2, \ldots, k$. From $X_i$ is $a_i$ and $X_k$ is $a_m$ we infer that $Y$ is an uncertain set

$$\eta^* = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{c_i \cdot |G_i|}{c_i + c_2 + L + c_4}$$

where the coefficients are determined by $c_i = M[(a_i \in \xi_{i}) \cap (a_m \in \xi_{m}) \cap L \cap (a_m \in \xi_{m})]$, for $i = 1,2, \ldots, k$.

Theorem 3 (Gao-Gao-Ralescu[13]) Assume $\xi_{i1}, \xi_{i2}, L, \xi_{m}, \eta_{i}$ are independent uncertain sets with membership functions of $\mu_{i1}, \mu_{i2}, L, \mu_{m}, \nu_{i}$, $i = 1,2, \ldots, k$ respectively. If $\xi_{i}, \xi_{i2}, L, \xi_{m}$ are constant with $a_i, a_2, L, a_m$ respectively, then the inference rule 2 yields

$$\eta^* = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{c_i \cdot |G_i|}{c_i + c_2 + L + c_4}$$

where $\eta^*$ are uncertain sets whose membership functions are given by

$$v_i(y) = \begin{cases} \frac{v_i(y) - 1}{c_i}, & \text{if } v_i(y) \leq c_i/2 \\ c_i - 1, & \text{if } v_i(y) > 1 - c_i/2 \\ 0.5, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and $c_i$ are constants determined by $c_i = \min_{a_i} \mu_i(a_i)$ for $i = 1,2, \ldots, k$, respectively.

III. INFLUENCE FACTORS OF EMERGENCY LOGISTICS

In emergency situations, in order to meet the urgent needs of the victims, we enable the process of planning, managing and controlling the effective flow of the relief supplies, information and services from the origin to the destination point. [20]

In order to manage the emergency logistics, we need to understand the factors that affect the logistics. According to the experts, the basic elements, safeguard factors, material elements, environmental factors and functional factors have an impact on the results of emergency logistics. The case-based reasoning model established based on these factors.

IV. CASE-BASED REASONING MODEL ABOUT EMERGENCY LOGISTICS

Case-based reasoning model about emergency logistics mainly includes the case expression model, the storage organization and the similar degree retrieval model. The flow chart of the case-based reasoning model about emergency logistics is shown in Figure I.
C. Similar Degree Retrieval Model of Emergency Logistics Case Database

Emergency logistics similar degree retrieval is based on the characteristics of the new case. According to the formula (16-20), we can obtain the similar degree $s_1, s_2$, ..., $s_k$ between the new case and the known cases in database. By comparison, we pick up the largest variable, assume the biggest variable is $s_i$. So we can obtain the most similar case $i$ is the solution of new case. If the solution of the new case is not reasonable, it is necessary to modify them according to the domain knowledge to complete the retrieval and case reasoning by employing UCBR. The results obtained directly or the revised results are stored in the known case database according to formula (22). The retrieval flow chart of case-based reasoning by employing UCBR is shown in Figure III.

![Figure III. Retrieval Flow Chart of Case-Based Reasoning by Employing UCBR](image-url)

V. Case Reasoning Experiment in Emergency Logistics

In order to verify the validity and the correctness of the application of emergency logistics by employing UCBR, the following experiments are designed.

Step 1, according to expert opinion, we assign the corresponding value to the attributes of known case.

Step 2, according to the characteristics of the new case and expert opinions, we determine the membership function of each attribute.

Step 3, according to the formula (16-20), to calculate each similar degree $s(i=1,2,\ldots,k)$ between the new case and each known case in known case database.

Step 4, acquire the biggest similar degree $s(i=1,2,\ldots,k)$ by calculation. According to the formula (21), we can obtain the solution. If the solution of the new case is not reasonable, turn to Step 5.

Step 5, to modify the membership function of each attribute according to the domain knowledge, return to Step 3.

According to the algorithm, we can easily obtain the biggest similar degree $s(i=1,2,\ldots,k)$. In following, we give an example.

Through questionnaire by experts, we can obtain several common characteristics and their weight, as shown below [15].


Through questionnaire by experts, we can obtain the weight of each factor above as the follows.

Assuming that $X_1$, $X_2$ is the known case and $Y_i$ is the new case:

$X_1 = \left( \frac{\xi}{\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \xi_4, \xi_5, \xi_6, \xi_7, \xi_8, \xi_9} \right)$

$X_2 = \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4, \varepsilon_5, \varepsilon_6, \varepsilon_7, \varepsilon_8, \varepsilon_9} \right)$

$Y_i = \left( \eta_{i1}, \eta_{i2}, \eta_{i3}, \eta_{i4}, \eta_{i5}, \eta_{i6}, \eta_{i7}, \eta_{i8}, \eta_{i9} \right)$

So, the membership function of each attribute in $X_1$, $X_2$ and $Y_i$ as follows.

$\mu_{i1} = 0.7, \mu_{i2} = 0.75, \mu_{i3} = 0.85, \mu_{i4} = 0.65, \mu_{i5} = 0.65,$

$\mu_{i6} = 0.75, \mu_{i7} = 0.8, \mu_{i8} = 0.9, \mu_{i9} = 0.75$

$\mu_{i1} = 0.8, \mu_{i2} = 0.85, \mu_{i3} = 0.65, \mu_{i4} = 0.55, \mu_{i5} = 0.75,$

$\mu_{i6} = 0.85, \mu_{i7} = 0.7, \mu_{i8} = 0.75, \mu_{i9} = 0.95$

$\nu_{i1} = 0.6, \nu_{i2} = 0.3, \nu_{i3} = 0.2, \nu_{i4} = 0.5, \nu_{i5} = 0.3,$

$\nu_{i6} = 0.2, \nu_{i7} = 0.2, \nu_{i8} = 0.8, \nu_{i9} = 0.3$

According to the algorithm, we compute the similar degree $s_1$ and $s_2$, respectively.

The similar degree $s_1$ between $X_i$ and $Y_i$ is computed according to the formula (16-20).
The similar degree $s_2$ between $X_i$ and $Y_i$ is computed according to the formula (16-20).

$$s_2 = \eta_i^* = 0.5$$

$Y_i$ is a similar case about $X_i$ due to $s_1 < s_2$.

VI. Conclusion

The case-based reasoning model is based on the uncertainty theory in the management of emergency logistics. This method makes full use of the human perception in emergency logistics by the relationship between the new case and historical data. Experiments show that the reasoning way of emergency logistics by employing UCBR is correct and effective.
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