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Abstract—Metaphor is considered a form of speech in rhetoric, a way for people to recognize the world in cognitive linguistics, and a grammatical function in functional linguistics. The purpose of this paper is to explore the application of linguistics to the study of grammatical metaphors and to instruct college English writing.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Western scholars have held a variety of viewpoints on the study of metaphors. Metaphor was first studied as a rhetorical object dating back to the Aristotle era. As the first person to study metaphor theory in the West, Aristotle thought that metaphor is the transfer or replacement of names, so he classifies metaphors as a kind of rhetoric, aiming to make words more euphemistic and elegant. Baekman further supplements that metaphor can make words more interesting. Tepper believes that metaphor can achieve a shift in meaning through a substitution mechanism. The traditional linguistic view holds that metaphor is a deviation from normal linguistic phenomena and is either wrong or ungrammatical. However, in the modern era, whether western philosophers, linguists or psychologists have found and proposed that metaphor is not only a rhetorical device, but also a way of thinking. Specifically, metaphors can help people to learn new things and to learn concretely. Lakoff and Johnson first proposed that metaphor had a cognitive function. The essence of metaphor is a kind of metaphorical phenomena, rather than a simple linguistic phenomenon considered in traditional viewpoints. However, in 1980, the publication of the book, which was about the traditional metaphor research from traditional objectivism to cognitive realism. In the second half of the 20th century, linguists represented by Halliday proposed the theory of systemic functional linguistics. This theory has become one of the most influential theories in linguistics. It not only helps language workers to interpret language in depth, but also has the incomparable vitality that other linguistic theories do not have.

II. IDEATIONAL GRAMMATICAL METAPHOR THEORY

In 1985, Halliday created the theory of grammatical metaphor. As an important component of systemic functional linguistics, grammatical metaphor theory is different from metaphor theory under the framework of cognitive linguistics, and it is different from the definition of metaphor in traditional rhetoric. Halliday divides grammatical metaphors into “ideational metaphors” and “interpersonal metaphors”.

The main manifestations of ideational metaphor are transitive ideational metaphor and nominalized ideational metaphor, which is to express the process or trait that should be expressed by verb or adjective in noun form. The main linguistic form of interpersonal metaphor is to express the tone and modality of the sentence in the form of a proposition, which should be expressed by the adverb.

In the early 1990s, the theory of grammatical metaphor began to be introduced to the country and it was gradually promoted and valued. Many scholars have studied grammatical metaphor from a theoretical perspective. However, this theory has not received due attention in the practice of foreign language teaching in China. Only a few scholars have discussed the application value of grammatical metaphor in college English teaching. Yang Xiaoying and others explored the role of ideational grammatical metaphor in English teaching and put forward the necessity of introducing grammatical metaphor into adult English teaching. Sun Chengrong and others conducted an empirical study of the relationship between ideational grammatical metaphor and students’ discourse construction. They point out that grammatical metaphor is not only derived from the development of meaning, but also the product of the improvement of education and cognitive level. There is a certain correlation between the type of grammatical metaphor and the discourse type. Xiong Xueliang examined the use of grammatical metaphors in students’ second language writing and reached the conclusion: in the second language acquisition, learners follow the law of transition from a uniform pattern to a metaphorical pattern in the individual development of grammatical metaphor. In particular, some low-level learners do not use the metaphorization of the organizational experience they have
acquired in their mother tongue to apply to the target language because of their language level. Instead, they use more consistent expressions to express their ideas.

III. THE CURRENT SITUATION OF COLLEGE ENGLISH WRITING TEACHING

In the process of traditional college English writing teaching, Chinese college English teachers mostly use result-based teaching methods based on behaviorism theory. This kind of traditional writing teaching method is mainly expressed as a kind of single communication mode of "student writes himself, teacher reads alone". For students, writing is a one-time behavior. Most students regard the first draft as a finished product, and they cannot independently obtain constructive improvement measures from teachers' evaluation. Most students only pursue the teacher's guidance from the use of single words and grammar, but they do not get real help and helpful revelations.

On the other hand, because of the poor language environment, low level of teachers, and limited teaching equipment, especially the outdated teaching concepts, teaching methods and evaluation methods, the speed of improving the quality of college English teaching cannot meet the needs of social development. There are many time-consuming and low-efficiency phenomena in English teaching. College English writing has become the most difficult course for English teachers in colleges and universities, and it is also a course with poor effect.

In the Internet era, some students apply online ready templates to complete writing assignments for teachers. It cannot be denied that it does have a certain role, but it can only solve temporary needs. Students cannot use well-accepted vocabularies, can't write decent phrases, and do not pay attention to analyzing the internal convergence of chapters, resulting in confusion and lack of logic. Their writing levels are in sharp contrast to the years of learning English. It can even be said to be disproportionate.
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IV. THE APPLICATION OF IDEATIONAL GRAMMAR THEORY IN COLLEGE ENGLISH WRITING TEACHING

A. Activate Learners’ Ideational Metaphor Mechanism

Chinese English learners have been focusing on grammar learning during their growth. They should have entered the stage of ideational grammar metaphor with the improvement of their education, but their ideational grammar metaphor mechanism has not been activated. Therefore, they can only express their feelings with the help of consensus, making the language more subjective and straightforward. However, relevant data show that once the learner's ideational metaphor mechanism is activated, it can greatly improve the learner's use of noun structure, metaphorical metaphor, passive sentence, etc., and greatly promote their English writing ability.

B. Change Learners’ Language Structure

Writing is actually the process by which the author uses his own ideas as a material to create works through language.
metaphorically and reasonably, and finally to improve students’ English comprehension and English writing skills.

- In the process of English writing teaching, teachers should organically combine theory study with writing practice. First, design practice exercises such as adjectives, adverbs, verbs, conjunctions, and other word-derived derivatives, and organize and summarize the nouns that express conditions, cause and effect, concessions, and related lexical meanings, as well as verbs and nouns that are frequently used as well as adjective sentence patterns. For example, turn of importance into important, turn valuable into of value, turn greatly happily into with great happiness, turn when seeing something into at the sight of and turn hope into in the hope of, etc. This kind of exercise can not only effectively expand the students’ vocabulary chain, but also lay a foundation for grammatical metaphor exercises. Second, teachers should create opportunities for metaphorical expressions and consistent expression transformation exercises at the compound sentence level and the clause level, i.e., synonymous sentence conversions for related nominalization, verbalization, and adjectiveization. The above exercises can test students’ grasp of grammatical metaphor concepts and types of understanding and so on. When designing the above exercises, teachers should try to start from the articles that the students had previously studied or are familiar with, so as to enhance students’ perceptual knowledge and enthusiasm for English writing, and make active contributions to students’ effective application of grammatical metaphor theory in English writing.

V. CONCLUSION

The training of grammatical metaphor can make students’ English proficiency no longer simply remain on the surface of language ability, which is the fundamental way to approach the level of native speakers. The improvement of the level of writing is not an overnight task. However, improving students’ grammatical metaphor from the aspect of writing can reduce their anxiety in the process of writing and can better feel the charm of language, which in turn can greatly improve students’ English writing skills.
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