Facial Profile Analysis by Photometry on W. R. Supratman 1 & 2 Chinese High School Students in Medan
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Abstract. Analysis of the facial profile is required for diagnosis and treatment planning in orthodontics. The Mongoloid Chinese race tends to have a flat facial profile and a more prominent zygomatic arch. The aim of this study was to identify the facial profile of Chinese high school students at W.R. Supratman 1 and 2 in Medan by photometry. An analytical descriptive study with a cross-sectional design was applied. The sample was compromised of 100 high school students (50 males and 50 females). The results showed no differences in facial profile between males and females using the Graber method. The straight profile comprised the highest percentage of participants, which was 62% of males and 68% of females, and the convex profile was 20% of males and 16% of females. The concave profile comprised the lowest percentage, which was 18% of males and 16% of females (p = 0.811). The results of the Singh method showed that the straight profile comprised the highest percentage at 70% of males and 62% of females, whereas the convex profile comprised 14% of males and 16% of females. The concave profile had the lowest percentage, which was 14% of males and 16% of females (p = 0.676). We conclude that gender had no significant effect on facial profile in a group of Chinese high school students.
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1 Introduction
Symmetrical facial esthetics and functional occlusion is an orthodontic treatment goal [1]. Facial esthetics are a significant factor with regard to societal and self-perceptions [2]. One of the most important components of orthodontic diagnosis and treatment planning is evaluating the patient’s soft tissue profile [3,4]. Subtelny, Burstone, Bowker, and Meredith recommended using a soft tissue analysis to correctly evaluate any underlying skeletal discrepancies because of individual differences in soft tissue thickness [3,5].

A comprehensive soft-tissue analysis of the face is vital, as the soft-tissue profile may differ between different age groups, sexes, and ethnic groups [6]. The Chinese ethnic group categorized as the Mongoloid race tends to have a flat facial profile and a more prominent zygomatic arch [7]. Several methods have been developed to analyse facial profiles, such as anthropometry, photometry, and cephalometry.
Leung et al. conducted a 2014 study in Hong Kong using a random sample of 514 subjects (259 males and 255 females). Profile pictures were taken in the natural head position and the angle was calculated using the G-Sn-Pog landmark. The results showed that males tended to have a more convex profile than that of females [9]. Another study conducted by Othman et al. in 2016 was comprised of 109 Malays (54 males and 55 females). Convexity calculated using the G-Sn-Pg showed that the Malay ethnic group had a convex facial profile [10].

Studies that have conducted facial profile analyses had been performed on the Proto-Malay, Deutro-Malay, and India Tamil ethnic groups but seldomly are they found on Chinese ethnic groups; therefore, we conducted a facial profile analysis of Chinese high school students at W.R. Supratman 1 & 2 in Medan.

2 Materials and Method

This was a descriptive study with a cross-sectional design. The subjects were two grades of Chinese high school students (age, 15–18 years), who had never been treated with orthodontics, did not wear dentures, had complete permanent teeth eruption except M3, mild crowding ≤ 2 mm, and competent lips. The 100 students were chosen using the stratified random sampling method.

Data were collected in two steps. Photographs were taken of all subjects, and the photos were edited by adding landmark points and lines after calculating the angles using software. We conducted a questionnaire study and checked the intraoral and extraoral environments of the students according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Photos were taken with the subject sitting in a chair 0.75 m in front of a wall covered with a 1.2 × 1.5 m white cloth and a tripod to hold the Canon g7x camera, which was placed 1.5 m in front of the subject’s chair. The subjects were asked to remove their glasses or anything else that could block the face. They sat facing left to show the right part of the face to the camera at a point as high as the eyes (natural head position).

After the photos were taken, the landmark points and line was added according to the Graber and Singh method guide using Corel Draw X5 software. The angles were measured using ImageJ software. The landmark points on the lateral photograph using the Graber method were the glabella, upper lip contour, lower lip contour, and pogonion (Fig 1). The landmark line on the lateral photograph was a line that connected the forehead and the A point on soft tissue and a line connecting the A point on the soft tissue to the pogonion when using the Singh method and Corel Draw X5 software (Fig 2). The angles in each photo were measured using ImageJ software and then categorized into straight, convex, or concave (Fig 3).

Males with a facial profile angle of 145.12–161.86° and females with a facial profile angle of 149.10–165.29° were classified as the straight profile based on the glabella-upper lip contour-lower lip contour-pogonion angle. Males with a facial profile angle > 161.86° and females with a facial profile angle > 165.29° were classified as convex. Males with a facial profile angle < 145.12° and females with a facial profile angle < 149.10° were classified as concave.
Fig 1. Graber landmarks added using Corel Draw X5 software.

Fig 2. Singh landmarks added using Corel Draw X5 software.

Fig 3. The angles in each profile photo were measured using ImageJ software.
3 Results
A total of 100 Chinese high school students at W.R. Supratman 1 & 2 were included in this study (50 males and 50 females). Average facial profile angle value of the Chinese high school students measured by the Graber method. The average male student’s facial profile angle was 153.49° with a standard deviation of 8.37° whereas the average female student’s facial profile angle was 157.19° with a standard deviation of 8.1°. The average facial profile angle of the Chinese high school students measured using the Singh method. Male student’s facial profile average angle was 171.05° with a standard deviation of 5.52°, whereas that of females was 171.6° with a standard deviation of 5.56°. Facial profile distribution based on gender using the Graber method. Most of the students had the straight profile, which comprised 62% of males and 68% of females followed by the convex profile which comprised 20% of males and 16% of females. The concave profile had the lowest percentage, which was 18% of males and 16% of females (p > 0.05). The facial profile distribution based on gender using the Singh method. Most of the students had the straight profile, which was comprised of 70% of the males and 62% of the females followed by the concave profile comprised of 8% of males and 22% of females. The convex profile had the lowest percentage among which was 14% of males and 22% of females (p > 0.05). The differences between the Graber and Singh methods for the facial profile analysis by photometry as examined by Fisher’s exact test (p = 0.004).

4 Discussion
Facial appearance is influenced by age, sex, race, and ethnicity [2,11]. Most clinicians have concluded that there are significant differences between diverse ethnic and racial groups, indicating that normal measurements for one group should not be considered normal for every other race or ethnic group. Different racial groups must be treated according to their own characteristics [12].

The average facial profile angle values of Chinese high school students measured by the Graber method. The average facial profile angle of the male students was 153.49° with a standard deviation of 8.37° whereas that of the female students was 157.19° with a standard deviation of 8.1°. This study was different compared to that of Susilowaty at Hasanuddin University using 50 subjects of Bugis ethnicity as samples. That study was based on the Subtelny method to measure soft tissue convexity. Their results showed that average facial profile angle for males was 159.05° with a standard deviation of 4.21° and 162.77° for females with a standard deviation of 4.42° [4]. The significant difference was caused by the different methods used between studies.

The average facial profile angle values for Chinese high school students measured by on Singh method. The average facial profile angle of the male students was 171.05° with a standard deviation of 5.52°, whereas that of female students was 171.6° with a standard deviation of 5.56°. This study differed from that of Mush et al. who sampled 150 (78 males and 72 females) subjects at Swami Vivekanand Subharti University, Meerut, north India with a range of 18–25 years and class I angle occlusions. That study was based on Arnett’s method. The results showed that the
average soft tissue facial angle for males was 168.54° with a standard deviation of 3.23° and 166.64° for females with a standard deviation of 4.09° [7]. The difference was caused by the different races examined between the studies.

Adibah sampled 109 subjects of Malay ethnicity (54 males and 55 females) in her study conducted in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. This study was based on Arnett’s method and the results showed that average facial profile angle for males was 166.91° with a standard deviation of 5.21° and 169.52° with a standard deviation of 4.6° for females [10]. Cindy et al. conducted a study in Hong Kong, China with 278 subjects (166 males and 112 females). The results showed that the average facial profile angle of males was 168.10° with a standard deviation of 5.1° and that of females was 168.85° with a standard deviation of 4.83° [9]. These results were similar to those of the present study because the samples were taken from the same race.

The facial profile distribution based on gender by the Graber and Singh methods. The present study differed compared to Jarungidanan et al. who conducted their study in the Orthodontic Department of Chulalongkorn University. That study was based on the Legan and Burstone method. The results showed that the convex facial profile was mostly found in males, and straight and concave had similar proportions. Straight, convex, and concave profiles comprised the same percentages in females [13]. The difference between these study results and those of the present study was caused by the different methods used. The differences between the Graber and Singh methods in the facial profile analysis on Chinese W.R.Supratman 1 & 2 high school students by photometry that was analyzed with Fisher’s exact test (p = 0.004).

5 Conclusions
Several methods are available to analyze facial profiles, such as anthropometry, photometry, and cephalometry. This study used photometry. Anthropometry and photometry provide a simple way to obtain information, but are not as detailed as cephalometry, which is the gold standard in orthodontics. The following conclusions were drawn within the limitations of this study: (1) Chinese high school students at W.R. Supratman 1 & 2 in Medan tended to have a straighter facial profile according to the Graber and Singh methods; (2) The gender of the subjects had no significant effect on the facial profile.
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