

Research on Optimal Allocation of Water Resources in Binzhou Based on Sustainable Development

Jinfang Sun, Miaomiao Cui, Hui Liang, Long Han, Wei Liu

Architectural Engineering Department, Binzhou Polytechnic, Binzhou 256603, China

Email: sdsjf722@sina.com

Keywords: Water resources; Cities; Sustainable development; Optimal allocation

Abstract: Sustainable utilization of water resources in Binzhou was studied through the ecological footprint theory. All kinds of water resources were ecological surplus in 2012. The production water surplus was the largest, which was 0.1370hm^2 per capita. The ecological water surplus was the smallest, which was 0.0040hm^2 per capita. The total ecological surplus was 0.1498hm^2 per capita. This indicated that the water resources utilization of Binzhou was sustainable. The optimal allocation of water resources of Binzhou was studied through the model. By 2020, the main water used in Binzhou will be agriculture, accounting for 72%. The followed is industry water, accounting for 17%. The proportion of living water and ecology water are 7% and 4% respectively. By 2030, the main water used in Binzhou will be still agriculture. The proportion falls down to 66%. The industry water increase greatly. The proportion of ecology water will not increase obviously, but the amount increases. At the end of this paper, suggestions for sustainable utilization of water resources was proposed. This paper has a great significance for the exploitation and utilization of water resources in local cities.

With the development of economy and the fast advance of urbanization, the demand of water resources had increased sharply, and water pollution was also getting worse. The contradiction between water supply and demand became increasingly acute, which had been an important factor restricting sustainable development of cities. Based on the theory of ecological footprint, this paper researched the ecological footprint and carrying capacity of water resources in Binzhou in 2012. It calculated ecological profit and loss, objectively evaluated sustainable utilization of water resources, and optimally allocated the limited water resources. This paper can provide data for local government to make plans of water resources development.

1. Evaluation of the sustainable use of water resources

Concept of ecological footprint was firstly proposed by William Rees in 1992, which was an ecological economist from Canadian^[1]. It compares the resource and energy consumption of a country or area with its ecological capacity, and then determines whether the development of a country or region is within the scope of ecological carrying capacity. This theory has been accepted by scholars and widely applied since it was put forward^[2-4]. This paper researched the sustainable utilization of water resources in Binzhou in 2012 by the ecological footprint models from the reference^[5]. The basic data of living water, production water, ecological water, population and GDP are from Shandong Statistical Yearbook^[6].

1.1 Ecological footprint of water resources

The results of ecological footprint of water resources in Binzhou in 2012 were shown in Table 1. As can be seen, ecological footprint of production water was the largest, which was 1.105hm^2 per capita. The followed was ecological footprint of living water, which was 0.071hm^2 per capita. The ecological footprint of ecological water was the smallest, which was 0.032hm^2 per capita. This indicated that the major water resources of the city was used for industry and agriculture.

Tab.1 The results of ecological footprint in Binzhou in 2012

	Consumption/ 10^8m^3	γ_w	Pw (m^3/hm^2)	EF/ hm^2	ef/ hm^2 per capita
Living water	0.91	5.19	1750	269880	0.071
Production water	14.11	5.19	1750	4184623	1.105
Ecological water	0.41	5.19	1750	121594	0.032
Total	15.43			4576097	1.208

1.2 Ecological carrying capacity of water resources

The results of ecological carrying capacity of water resources in Binzhou in 2012 were shown in Table 2. The ecological carrying capacity of production water was the largest, the living water was the second, and the ecological water was the smallest. The total ecological carrying capacity was 1.357 hm^2 per capita.

Tab.2 The results of ecological carrying capacity of water resources in Binzhou in 2012

	W/ 10^8m^3	γ_w	y_w	P (m^3/hm^2)	EC (hm^2)	ec/ hm^2 per capita
Living water	0.91	5.19	2.81	1750	303345	0.08
Production water	14.11	5.19	2.81	1750	4703516	1.241
Ecological water	0.41	5.19	2.81	1750	136672	0.036
Total	15.43				5143533	1.357

1.3 Ecological surplus or deficit of water resources

The results of ecological surplus or deficit of water resources in Binzhou in 2012 were shown in Table 3. As can be seen, all water resources in Binzhou were ecological surplus. The largest surplus was production water for 0.1370 hm^2 per capita, and the smallest surplus was ecological water for 0.0040 hm^2 per capita. The total surplus was 0.1498 hm^2 per capita. This indicated that the water resources exploitation in Binzhou was sustainable in 2012. The living and ecological environment was looked after when the economy was developed.

Tab.3 The results of ecological surplus or deficit in Binzhou in 2012

	ER _d / hm^2	er _d / hm^2 per capita
Living water	-33465	-0.0088
Production water	-518893	-0.1370
Ecological water	-15078	-0.0040
Total	-567436	-0.1498

2. Optimal allocation of water resources

The optimal allocation of water resources should not only follow the rules of the market, but also be regulated by administrative, economic and legal means. The principles for the optimal allocation of water resources were as follows:

(1) The principle of priority in life. Water resources should be supplied for living demand firstly, taking into account agricultural, industrial and ecological water demand.

(2) The principle of total volume control. Measures should be implemented to control the total volume of water resources, such as planned water use, water quality separation, water conservation and coordinated water supply among different departments.

(3) The principle of efficiency. The quantity and effectiveness of water involved in agriculture, industry, living and ecology should be increased, and the inefficient evaporation during water conversion and consumption should be reduced.

(4) The sustainable development principles. We should ensure not only the present generation benefits consuming water resources but also the equal rights enjoying by future generation.

(5) The principles of reasonable pricing and tilt pricing. Different prices should be set according to different industries and uses.

2.1 Target function

(1) Economic objective: Considering the economic benefit of unit water supply, we should obtain more economic benefit with less water through water-saving of industry and agriculture.

$$\max f_1(x) = \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{i=1}^I (b_{ij} - c_{ij}) x_{ij} \quad (1)$$

In the above formula, x_{ij} was water supply from headwater i to user j , b_{ij} was efficiency factor of unit water supply from headwater i to user j , c_{ij} was cost factor of unit water supply from headwater i to user j , I and J were headwater numbers and user numbers separately.

(2) Social objective: Considering the social stability, we should ensure that most areas and users don't enjoy water shortage.

$$\min f_2(x) = \sum_{j=1}^J [D_j - \sum_{i=1}^I x_{ij}] \quad (2)$$

In the above formula, D_j was total water demand of user j .

(3) Environmental objective: Considering environment protection, we should emit less pollutants.

$$\min f_3(x) = \sum_{j=1}^J 0.01 d_j p_j \sum_{i=1}^I x_{ij} \quad (3)$$

In the above formula, d_j was concentration of per unit pollutant of user j , COD was the key pollutant indicator, p_j was sewage discharge factor of user j .

2.2 Constraints

(1) Water demand constraints: Total water use for agriculture, industry, living and ecology should be less than total water supply.

$$\sum_{j=1}^J x_{ij} \leq Q_i \quad (4)$$

In the above formula, Q_i was the availability of headwater i .

(2) Water supply constraints: The water supply of users should be between the minimum and maximum of water requirements.

$$W_{j,\min} \leq \sum_{i=1}^I x_{ij} \leq W_{j,\max} \quad (5)$$

In the above formula, $W_{j,\min}$ and $W_{j,\max}$ were the minimum and maximum of water requirements of user j separately.

(3) Non-negative constraints

$$x_{ij} \geq 0 \quad (6)$$

2.3 Results and analysis

Through the target function and constraints we can make optimal allocation of water resources. The results of optimal allocation of the various water sources in sectors was calculated by Matlab software in Binzhou by 2020 and 2030, as shown in Table 4.

Tab.4 The results of optimal allocation of water sources in Binzhou/ 10^9 m^3

Planned year	Headwaters	Living	Industry	Agriculture	Ecology	Total
2020	Surface water	1.36	0.63	10.79	0.73	13.51
	Groundwater	0.37	0.42	0.78	0.28	1.85
	Others	0	0.83	0	0.12	0.95
	Total	1.73	1.88	11.57	1.13	16.31
2030	Surface water	1.56	0.79	10.67	1.27	14.29
	Groundwater	0.52	0.47	0.65	0.39	2.03
	Others	0	1.23	0	0.14	1.37
	Total	2.08	2.49	11.32	1.8	17.69

It can be seen from Table 4, the main water by 2020 in Binzhou will be agriculture water with 72 %, followed by industry water with 17 %, which increase relative to current levels. The proportion of living water and ecology water are 7% and 4% respectively with little change. The main water by 2030 in Binzhou will still be agriculture water, which the proportion will fall to 66 %. Industrial water will increase largely, and ecology water will not increase. However, the amount of ecology water will increase due to increase of the total water amount by 2030. This shows the synchronization of ecology protect and industry growth.

3. Conclusions and suggestions

From above analysis, we reached the following conclusions:

(1) All kinds of water resources were ecological surplus in 2012. The production water surplus was the largest, which was 0.1370 hm^2 per capita. The ecological water surplus was the smallest, which was 0.0040 hm^2 per capita. The total ecological surplus was 0.1498 hm^2 per capita.

(2) The results of optimal allocation of water resources showed that the main water used by 2020 in Binzhou will be agriculture, accounting for 72%. The followed is industry water, accounting for 17%. The proportion of living water and ecology water are 7% and 4% respectively. By 2030, the main water used in Binzhou will be still agriculture. The proportion falls down to 66%. The industry water increases greatly. The proportion of ecology water will not increase obviously, but the amount increases. This shows the synchronization of ecology protect and industry growth.

The sustainable use of water resources can be achieved through the following ways:

(1) We can develop new type of circular economy, increase the utilization rate of resource circulation, and transform the old and new kinetic energy. We can update industrial production processes, strictly examine and approve new projects, control the total amount of pollutants, and vigorously develop industry and agriculture ecology parks.

(2) We can promote energy conservation and emission reduction, adjust the industrial structure, and develop new technology industries. We can upgrade and transform traditional industries, and improve the mechanism of supervision, reward and punishment.

(3) We can develop and utilize water resources reasonably based on principles of reasonable economic soundness and feasible technology. Under the premise of meeting needs of economic development, it is necessary to avoid emergence of environmental problems. We should formulate measures to protect water resources and build water-saving projects.

(4) Urban sewage can be become resources to utilized. We should develop or introduce advanced technologies and deeply treat urban sewage. The research on seawater desalination technology and rainwater collection and reuse should be speeded up. It can be reused more widely involving agriculture, industry, landscape and municipal water. The water costs will be reduced.

Acknowledgements:

Research on the ecological greening of saline and alkaline areas in the Yellow River delta (2015ZC0122)

References

- [1]Lu Yuan,Hua Cui.Ecological footprint dynamic analysis of Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region,from 1990 to 2002[J].China population,resources and environment,2004,14(3) : 49- 53.
- [2]Feng J J.Using composition of land multiplier to estimate ecological footprints associated with production activity[J].Ecological Economics,2001(37):159-172.
- [3]Lenzen M,Murray S A. A modified ecological footprint method and its application to Australia[J]. Ecological Economics,2001(37):229- 255.
- [4]Haberl H,Erb K H, Krausmann F.How to calculate and interpret ecological footprints for long periods of time: the case of Australia 1926-1995[J].Ecological Economics,2001(38):25- 45.
- [5]Tang Guanhua,Liu Yong.Research on ecological capacity of water resources in Zhejiang Province based on ecological footprint model[J].Zhejiang Hydrotechnics,2013(1):45-47.
- [6]Shandong Province Statistics Department. 《 Shandong Statistical Yearbooks 》 (2001~2013)[M].Beijing: China Statistical Press,2002~2014.