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Abstract
Companion animals – particularly and most commonly dogs and cats – share the lifestyles and the environments of their human counterparts. Similarly, they may also share both the positive and negative implications of this “intimate relationship” with humans. Improved access to resources such as food supplies, shelter, security & veterinary care may be considered positive aspects of this relationship. Negative implications can include, for example, a sedentary lifestyle with limited exercise opportunities that may cause increased rates of metabolic or degenerative diseases such as Type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular disease, osteoarthritis as well as certain neoplasias.

One of the most significant human healthcare issues, and one often shared by companion animals is the growing problem of obesity. The presentation offers an overview of interaction of environmental & social factors that can have adverse influence on the health and welfare - especially in relation to the “the rising pandemic of obesity” - of humans and companion animals.
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One Health
One Health is the intersection of veterinary medicine, human health and environmental science. It is interdisciplinary, promoting collaboration - and potentially further, integration - of all aspects of the health care for humans and animals. This necessarily includes the medical, veterinary and allied health professions, epidemiologists and public health experts, ecosystem and climate scientists, researchers and others.

One Health is not a new concept. Rudolf Virchow, the German physician known as the “father of comparative medicine and modern pathology” declared in the mid-19th century that

“Between animal and human medicine there are no dividing lines—nor should there be”

This was built on still earlier approaches. For example, Claude Bourgelat, who, when establishing the world’s first veterinary school in Lyon in the 18th century, recommended a comparative approach to human and animal medical science. Following on from Virchow, the Canadian physician Sir William Osler espoused modern One Health collaborative principles during the late 19th and early 20th centuries.

However, during the early years of the 20th century, interest in the concept of One Health has been declined. This has, in part, been attributed to the end of the reliance on animals for transport (replaced by the internal combustion engine) and possibly to increased urbanisation and the rapid improvements in human medical science.

The modern re-emergence of “One Health” in the mid-1960s followed the recognition of the close association between animal and human health and medicine by the American veterinarian Calvin W. Schwabe, who then formalised the concept as “One Medicine” in the 1984 3rd edition of the textbook “Veterinary Medicine and Human Health.”

Following the response to potential global pandemics, including the Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza (HPAI H5N1) outbreak during the first decade of the 21st century, and recognising the risks of other emerging zoonotic diseases, “One Medicine” evolved via a tripartite agreement between the FAO, WHO and OIE into the present “One Health” framework. Subsequently, the first international One Health scientific congress was held in Melbourne, Australia in February 2011.
However, “One Health” involves more than infectious or zoonotic diseases. In developed nations, much of the focus of veterinary medical practice has shifted from the wildlife-interface boundary and from production animals in rural communities to companion animals in urban centres. There is increasing emphasis on the “human–animal bond” which may be defined as:

“a mutually beneficial and dynamic relationship between people and animals that is influenced by behaviors that are essential to the health and well-being of both. This includes, but is not limited to, emotional, psychological, and physical interactions of people, animals, and the environment.”  

Companion Animals in One Health

Companion animals – particularly and most commonly dogs and cats – share the lifestyles and the environments of their human counterparts. Similarly, they may also share both the positive and negative implications of this “intimate relationship” with humans. Improved access to resources such as veterinary care, shelter, security & food supplies may be considered positive aspects of this relationship. Negative implications can include, for example, a sedentary lifestyle with limited exercise opportunities that may cause increased rates of metabolic or degenerative diseases such as Type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular disease, osteoarthritis as well as certain neoplasias.

Obesity

One of the most significant human healthcare issues, and one often shared by companion animals is the growing problem of obesity. In many countries, human obesity can be regarded as a “rising pandemic.”

A recent paper from the Global Burden of Disease (GBD) 2015 Obesity Collaborators looked at international trends over a 25-year period (1990 - 2015) in the incidence of over-weightedness and obesity among human adults and children. Meta-analysis of data from 68.5 million people in 195 countries revealed a rapid increase in the prevalence of and disease burden associated with an elevated body-mass index (BMI). Over the 25 years, the prevalence of obesity had doubled in more than 70 countries and had continuously increased in most others. 

While the 2015 global obesity prevalence was 5.0% among children and 12.0% among adults there was considerable variation between nations. For example, the adult obesity rate in Egypt was 35.3%, in Vietnam it was 1.6%; while childhood obesity was highest in the United States (12.7%) and least in Bangladesh (1.2%).

Veterinary research on the extent of weight problems in dogs and cats has not kept pace with that of human medical science. However, studies have been undertaken, primarily in Australia, New Zealand, North America and Europe to gauge the prevalence of over-weightedness or obesity in canine and feline populations. A large American general veterinary practice group (Banfield Pet Hospital) sees 2 million dogs and ½ million cats annually (2012). When reviewing their medical data covering the 5 years between 2007 – 2011, the incidence of overweight and obesity increased by 37% in dogs and 90% in cats reflecting the increasing trend in prevalence of human obesity.

The reported prevalence of overweight and obese companion animals ranges widely, from 19.7 - 59.3% in dogs & 11.5 - 52% in cats. There is no universally accepted scale for defining over-weightedness or obesity in pet animals although the Body Condition Score (BCS) is commonly used. While there is reportedly good correlation between BCS and more advanced measures of body fat e.g. dual energy X-ray absorbtometry (DEXA) scanning, a recent Danish paper suggested this method (BCS) tends to under-report the level of obesity (in cats).

Co-morbidities

In humans and in companion animals, obesity may predispose to a variety of metabolic, endocrinological, degenerative, reproductive & neoplastic diseases.

In people, obesity is a significant risk factor for the development of metabolic syndrome, insulin resistance and (Type 2) diabetes mellitus (DM), hypertension and stroke, coronary artery disease, osteoarthritis and gout, respiratory diseases including asthma and sleep apnoea, infertility and certain neoplasias especially hormone-dependent and gastrointestinal e.g. colo-rectal, prostate, ovarian, and breast. There may also be psychological as well psycho-social issues associated with poor body image and the stigmatisation of obese persons in certain cultures.

A similar set of risks accompany obesity in companion animals although the risk and incidence varies between dogs and cats. Obesity is a major risk factor for cats to develop insulin resistance and hyperinsulinemia, and subsequently Feline diabetes mellitus (FDM). In 80- 90% of spontaneous FDM, the development is similar to Type 2 in humans. Canine diabetes is typically Type 1 or insulin-dependent, and while obesity may not be a cause, it
can complicate diabetic treatment due to decreased insulin sensitivity. 9,16

In pets, especially dogs, being overweight significantly predisposes to orthopaedic disease, particularly osteoarthritis as well as possibly humeral condylar fractures and cranial cruciate ligament rupture (in cocker spaniels), and it has been hypothesized that obesity and lack of postural muscle strength may increase risk of intervertebral disc disease.19

Obesity is an important risk factor for the development of tracheal collapse in small dogs, and can exacerbate brachycephalic obstructive airway syndrome.20 Obese dogs are at significantly higher risk of heat-stroke. Obesity may affect heart function with detrimental effects on cardiac rhythm, increased left ventricular volume, blood pressure, and plasma volume.

The risk of development and/or progression of neoplasia has not yet been studied adequately in companion animals to confirm a strong relationship with or causation due to obesity - most studies have been retrospective in design and small in scale.9 However, a small number of studies have reported association between obesity and mammary carcinoma and increased risk of developing transitional cell carcinoma of the bladder in dogs.16

With dogs and cats, being overweight is obviously not likely to result in psychological issues such as low self-esteem. However, a questionnaire based study looking at the health-related quality of life (HRQOL) in dogs, found that after a successful weight-loss program, along with increased physical activity, animals showed decreased signs of emotional disturbance and pain.22

Animal Welfare
There is no single definition of animal welfare that has met with universal acceptance. What is regarded as optimal or sub-optimal welfare may vary depending on cultural, scientific, religious and social-economic circumstances.23 However, a simple proposed concept is that animal welfare requires not only health and a lack of stress, but “is dependent on what animals feel.”24 This “feeling” refers to both physical and mental states, and thus reflects not just on physical health and fitness, but also the emotional or psychological, and thus quality of life (QOL).

Overweight and obese pets are compromised in both life-expectancy and HRQOL.12 Research has indicated that in laboratory animals, pigs and dogs25 a restrictive diet increases lifespan and delays onset of species-specific degenerative diseases especially osteoarthritis in dogs. However, negative welfare states such as increased hunger, stress elevation, behavioural changes (increased aggression & stereotypies) may occur with food restriction. Thus, the inferred core “Five Freedoms,” used as basis from many animal welfare systems, conflict. It is likely that the freedom from hunger, the freedom from disease, and then freedom to exhibit natural behaviour may be mutually exclusive in companion animals.

Costs and Consequences of Obesity
The rising global trend in human obesity may result serious climatic and environmental repercussions. More resources and energy are required to maintain, transport and feed the extra human “biomass,” increasing energy demands and the human ecological foot-print. It has been estimated that in 2005 the overweight human population added the equivalent of an extra 242 million people globally, while obesity added another 56 million human equivalents, nearly one-third billion. 26 UN world 2015 population projections for 2050 indicate a possible 2.4 billion more people requiring food and shelter (total 9.7 billion).27 Increased human biomass in the form of overweight or obese individuals could have same implications for world energy requirements as an extra one-half billion persons:

Tackling population fatness may be critical to world food security and ecological sustainability.26

Being overweight or obese significantly increases risk and prevalence for variety diseases. Resultantly, the secondary costs to the individual for medical expenses, as well as increased demand on the provision of community health-care profession, rise. Citing an “an undeniable link between rising rates of obesity and rising medical spending” one study estimated the medical costs of obesity in the US to amount to US$147 billion would by 2008.28

However, little information is currently available on the added health-care costs of overweight or obese companion animals.

One Health Approach to Human and Companion Animal Obesity
Developing collaborations between practitioners and researchers working in human and veterinary healthcare, looking at environmental, genetic and behavioural is a goal of the One Health initiative.9 Opportunities exist for prospective interdisciplinary research into obesity in humans and companion animals given the common comorbidities and risk factors such as lifestyle and environment.10
Most human weight loss programs encourage lifestyle interventions including dietary changes such as caloric restriction, increased physical activity, taking personal responsibility for one’s health, social network and possibly pharmaceutical support. However, a major challenge following successful weight-loss is long-term maintenance. In addition to the many health benefits, physical activity is important in preventing weight gain and maintaining weight loss.

A positive correlation between dog ownership and increased human exercise levels, primarily though pet walking, has been demonstrated. Subsequent health benefits may be effective in the prevention or amelioration of a number of human lifestyle-related diseases in both adults and children.

The People and Pets Exercising Together (PPET) Study evaluated leveraging the human-companion animal bond and the role pets play in providing social support in a combined people and pets (PP) weight loss program. The study’s one-year trial results indicated the effectiveness of joint caloric restriction and physical activity (and monitoring) programs for mutual weight loss in both humans and dogs, and recommended that consideration of social support for weight loss in people i.e. family members, friends and co-workers, be extended to include pets.

It is also suggested that physical activity should be recorded as a “vital sign” in the health histories of people and companion animals.
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