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Abstract: The aim of this research is to analyze the influence of leadership style, and the employee engagement on the work effectiveness of ship crew of PT Pelayaran Nasional Indonesia (PELNI). PELNI is a state-owned company running a shipping business. The research survey is done toward 95 ship crew using a sampling technique through clusters of observation unit or what-so-called Cluster Systematic Sampling from the whole population as many as 375 ship crew on passenger ships at Port of Tanjung Priok. The respondents are the ship crew of the analysis unit under the leadership of ship officers under the Captain. The research methodology uses a quantitative associative approach with the method of path analysis. The results of this study indicate that leadership style, and the employee engagement have direct and positive correlation with work effectiveness. The benefit for PELNI is that through the improvement of the officers’ leadership style and employee engagement, the work effectiveness of each ship crew will improve. The novelty of this study is especially on the model of employee engagement and the ship crew’s work effectiveness in the national shipping. The output of this study is that every change in the quality of ship officer’s leadership style and employee engagement will directly and positively influence the work effectiveness of PELNI’s ship crew.
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Introduction

Based on the report, the capability of PELNI’s employees in the period of 2011 to 2015 can be seen as an example of assessment on the officers like Navigator and Machinist, generally with good and fair scores. There have been ever an assessment on one of the Machinists; he got very poor scores. The problem of employee engagement is on the degree of ship crew loyalty. For example, when an employee feels the company does not provide sufficient welfare since their salaries are generally still lower than those from private shipping companies.
In a study of ship crew previously investigated in connection with safety in the operational performance of a passenger ship (Lasse & Fatimah, 2016). In the previous study on leadership style, the increase of wrong and other deviant behaviours in the workplace has disastrous effects for organisations, such as lowered effectiveness, escalated costs and the organisation’s declining reputation (Engelbrecht, 2017). The use of variable effectiveness with Path Analysis method has also been done (Tantri, Gunawan, & Ruminda, 2015). The higher the price and the quality of services will simultaneously increase the customer satisfaction (Haryono, Wahyuni, & Darunanto, 2016).

Bosiok indicated a significant correlation between the latent dimensions of the creativity construct and those of autocratic, democratic and liberal leadership styles (Bosiok & Sad, 2013). In addition, leadership style is the most prevalent factor that influences employees’ attitudes and behaviors including organizational commitment (Clark, Hartline, & Jones, 2009).

Engagement is a condition where an individual is emotionally and intellectually committed to an organization which is measured based on three main behaviours; say, stay and strive (Development, Dimensions, & International, 2005). Engagement is frequently shown when an individual shows the behaviour related to talking positively about the organization (say), having a wish to be a part of the organization (stay), and willingness to make extra efforts that contribute to the organization’s success (strive) (Hewitt, 2012).

Five dimensions of work effectiveness consist of quality, quantity, punctuality, effectiveness and independence (Robbins & Coulter, 2013). Quality of service has been conducted research on permanent employees and marine employees of a shipping company (Kadarisman, Sudewo, & Pahala, 2016). Quality of service can also have significant effect on other variables such as loyalty customer (Marina, Darmawati, & Setiawan, 2014). Service quality have
a significant relationship either partially or simultaneously with customer satisfaction (Pangihutan, Thamrin, & Suparman, 2016).

Work effectiveness has four short term criteria, namely quality, productivity, efficiency and satisfaction. For middle term the criteria are quality, ability to adapt, efficiency and satisfaction, whereas the long term criteria are quality and sustainability (Ivancenvich, Konopaske, & Matteson, 2007). Work effectiveness also has five dimensions, namely quantity, quality, reliability, presence, and ability to collaborate (Mathis & Jackson, 2009). Effective service in the field of transportation is covering the right target and time (Kadarisman & Pahrudin, 2014).

In addition, work effectiveness is divided into six classifications, namely deadline fulfillment, work accuracy, degree of complaint from customers, superordinates and other departments, loyalty or compliance with quality standards, obedience to the approved budget, and productivity (Mullins, 2013). Based on these theories, it can be conceptualized in a concrete way that work effectiveness is the effective performance of an employee based on quality, work quantity, punctuality, satisfaction, and work productivity taken advantage to achieve the real objectives.

Initially, the concept of leadership style is developed by (Bass, 1997, Bass & Riggio, 2006), into four dimensions, namely Idealized Influence, Inspirational Motivation, Intelectual Stimulation, and Individual Consideration. Three groups of leadership style from staff up to managerial level and focus on the strength: autocratic, democratic and Laizes-faire. There are many leadership styles and none is better than the other in any situation (Mullins, 2013, Robbins & Coulter, 2013). For higher or lower level, a leader may be autocratic or democratic, controller or enabler, job oriented or focus on people (Armstrong & Taylor, 2014). Based on these theories, it can be conceptualized in a concrete way that a better leadership style is autocratic or democratic, ideal
influence, inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation, and individual consideration.

Based on the research dimension, employee engagement is divided into three characteristics, like "A condition of being positive, satisfying, related to mind works specified by vigour, stay and strive" (Maslach, Schaufeli, & Leiter, 2001). Employee engagement is added, developed through general behaviour in the three-dimension aspect; say, stay and strive. Kenexa offers an engagement index called Kenexa Index from the employee engagement in four dimensions; pride, satisfaction, advocacy, and retention (Development, Dimensions, & International, 2005, and Hewitt, 2013). Engagement, satisfaction, and enthusiasm of an individual to work are three dimensions of Engagement (Kreitner & Kinicki, 2014).

Method

The approach used in this research is Path Analysis and some steps such as the quality of causality design, validity and reliability tests, normality and linearity. The sampling technique is through clusters of observation unit or so-called Cluster Systematic Sampling. The author uses two methods; qualitative data in the form of questionnaires and quantitative data in the form of score answers, as well as the use of data analysis techniques through weighting Likert Scale same thing ever done by research on crew (Lasse & Fatimah, 2016). This in PELNI is more known as Rating system. Yamane formula is used for the sample of 95 ship crew of the total 375 ship crew. The result from questionnaires is considered reliable and valid in the previous test on 30 respondents.

The results of validity tests on the instruments of work effectiveness (Y), leadership style (X1), and employee engagement (X2) indicate that the items of question are mostly valid. The results of reliability tests on all
variables are above 0.9 or having high reliability. The hypothetical tests in the path analysis are carried out both simultaneously and partially. Overall, Path Analysis Conceptual Model in this study is as follows:
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**Figure 1. Conceptual Frame**

This research uses path analysis method, and some researches using path analysis method have also been done before to test the conceptual frame. Using path analysis for the linkages between leadership approaches and coordination effectiveness (Akhtar & Khan, 2015). Further study, using meta-analytic estimation and path analysis, is needed to test whether the construct of employee engagement shows an incremental validity in the prediction of employee’s effectiveness (Mackay, Allen, & Landis, 2017).

**Discussion and Result**

**Normality Test**

The data of 95 research samples should be fulfilled in the path analysis through normality test of estimated error of each variable. The first requirement that should be fulfilled in path analysis is that the estimated error sample must be sourced from the population having normal distribution. In the significance test, it is said to be significant if the value of $F_{\text{cal}} > F_{\text{table}}$. In the linearity test, the
regression equation is said to be linear if the value of $F_{cal} < F_{table}$, and vice versa. Based on the calculation, it is found $L_{cal}$ ($L_{max}$) and the critical value of $L$ with $n = 95$ and $\alpha = 0.05$. $L_{cal} < L_{table}$ means that $H_0$ is accepted. So, it can be concluded that the study on these three hypothesis are normally distributed.

**Significance Test and Regressive Linearity Test**

Significance test and regressive linearity test in this study are carried out using variance analysis and result in the value of $F$ as parameter. In the significance test, it is said to be significant if the value of $F_{cal} > F_{table}$, and vice versa. In the linearity test, the regression equation is said to be linear if the value of $F_{cal} < F_{table}$, and vice versa.

The summary of calculation is presented in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regression</th>
<th>Equation</th>
<th>Significance Test</th>
<th>Linearity Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$Y$ atas $X_1$</td>
<td>$Y = 4,438 + 0,727 X_1$</td>
<td>1283,75</td>
<td>1,034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Y$ atas $X_2$</td>
<td>$Y = -10,344 + 1,004 X_2$</td>
<td>1030,54</td>
<td>1,633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X_2$ atas $X_1$</td>
<td>$X_2 = 20,660 + 0,688 X_1$</td>
<td>1011,17</td>
<td>1,563</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hypothetical Test**

The conclusion can be compared with the hypothesis proposed in this study. From the three hypotheses proposed, based on the result of research, three null hypotheses ($H_0$) are rejected and alternative hypotheses ($H_1$) are accepted as explained in Table 2.
Table 2. Summary of Hypothetical Test Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Hypotheses</th>
<th>Statistical Test</th>
<th>t_{cal}</th>
<th>Decision</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Leadership Style (X₁) directly influences the variable of Work Effectiveness (Y)</td>
<td>H₀: ρ_{Y₁} ≤ 0</td>
<td>6,98</td>
<td>H₀ is rejected</td>
<td>It has a positive direct influence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H₁: ρ_{Y₁} &gt; 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Employee Engagement (X₂) directly influences the variable of Work Effectiveness (Y)</td>
<td>H₀: ρ_{Y₂} ≤ 0</td>
<td>4,78</td>
<td>H₀ is rejected</td>
<td>It has a positive direct influence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H₁: ρ_{Y₂} &gt; 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Leadership Style (X₁) directly influences the variable of Employee Engagement (X₂)</td>
<td>H₀: ρ_{21} ≤ 0</td>
<td>31,7</td>
<td>H₀ is rejected</td>
<td>It has a positive direct influence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H₁: ρ_{21} &gt; 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The summary of the calculated value of path coefficient (ρ_{Y₁}, ρ_{Y₂}, ρ_{21}) which are significant as shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Results of Path Coefficient Calculation and Test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Path Coefficient</th>
<th>t_{cal}</th>
<th>t_{table}</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ρ_{Y₁} = 0,957</td>
<td>31,799</td>
<td>1,666</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>ρ_{Y₂} = 0,593</td>
<td>6,981</td>
<td>1,666</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>ρ_{21} = 0,399</td>
<td>4,780</td>
<td>1,666</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The structural model of path and the result of path analysis calculation as well as the value of correlation (r) are as shown in Figure 2.
The findings from this research are resulted from 95 ship crew who work at three vessels. Overall, the result of hypothetical test indicates that the model of Structural Correlation among Variables has significant influences.

**Hypothesis 1. Leadership Style has a positive direct effect on Work Effectiveness**

Based on the structural equation explained before, leadership style has positive direct influence on Work Effectiveness; the result of analysis shows that coefficient $\rho_{Y1}$ is 0.593. From the test it finds $t_{cal} > t_{table} meaning 6.981 > 1.666$, indicating $H_0$ is rejected and $H_1$ is accepted.

The study on the theory of leadership style supports employee’s work effectiveness. The result of this study supports the opinion that with encouragement and inspiration, a leader should have a leadership style that can give examples of good work in order to increase work effectiveness (Dubrin, 2013). Leadership style is replicated in attitude and behaviour but, in turn, this is the result of complex interaction between the way of thinking and individual nuance (Khan & Nawaz, 2016). Thus, the result of this study is in accordance with the research theory and the result of previous relevant study. It means,
leadership style gives a positive direct influence on performance effectiveness in an optimal way.

Hypothesis 2. Employee Engagement has a positive direct effect on Work Effectiveness

Based on the structural equation mentioned before concerning the employee engagement directly influencing work effectiveness, then the result analysis indicates that coefficient $pY_2$ is 0.339. From the test, it is found $t_{cal} > t_{table}$ meaning that 4.780 > 1.666, indicating that $H_0$ is rejected and $H_1$ is accepted.

Theoretical study states that employee engagement supports Work effectiveness. High employee engagement will result in high performance, as stated by Sparrow in (Armstrong & Taylor, 2014). Another result indicates that leadership and organizational equity are the most significant drivers of employee engagement (Dajani, 2015). Thus, the result of this study is in accordance with the research theory and the previous relevant study. It means engagement has a positive direct influence on Work effectiveness.

Hypothesis 3. Leadership style has a positive direct influence on Employee Engagement

Based on the structural equation explained before, leadership style has positive direct influence on Work Effectiveness; the result of analysis shows that coefficient $pY_1$ is 0.957. From the test, it is found the positive direct influence of leadership style on employee engagement ($X_2$) at $t_{cal} > t_{table}$ meaning 31.799 > 1.666, indicating that $H_0$ is rejected and $H_1$ is accepted.

Theoretical study states leadership style supports employee engagement. The result of this study supports the theory (Armstrong, 2009), that leaders must have a wisdom which encourages employee engagement. In the previous relevant study, considering the importance of a leader for an
organization, this study examines the correlation between leadership style (autocratic, democratic and laissez-faire) and employee engagement in construction industry (Yao, Woan, Li, & Ahmad, 2017). Thus, the result of this study is in accordance with the theoretical study and previous relevant studies. It means leadership style have a positive direct influence on employee engagement.

**Conclusion**

The result of this research shows the positive direct influence of leadership style and employee engagement on the ship crew’s work effectiveness. It is also an effort to improve the ship crew’s work effectiveness by improving quality, quantity, punctuality, job satisfaction and work productivity of leadership style and employee engagement variables. It is noted that some ship officers having democratic style do not much consider the suggestions from ship crew before making decisions, do not much encourage employee participation in determining the working method and the objectives to be achieved.

The results also show engagement of ship crew that has a positive attitude, engagement, commitment, enthusiasm to his work directly affect the effectiveness of work. Employee engagement promotes effectiveness in an organization by increasing morale (vigor), dedication, absorption and loyalty (stay). Employed employees who are comfortable with their work will be concerned about their organization and work to contribute to its success.
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