The Application of combination of External Drainage from Intestinal Cavity and Peritoneal Drainage on the Surgical Treatment for Neonates with Necrotizing Enterocolitis
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Abstract: Object: To evaluate the efficacy of combination of external drainage from intestinal cavity and peritoneal drainage on the surgical treatment for neonates with necrotizing enterocolitis(NEC). Methods: A retrospective analysis was conduct on 48 cases of newborns with extensive and multiple intestinal necrosis found intraoperatively, all of which could not accept first-stage resected, treated by traditional operation, external drainage from intestinal cavity, peritoneal drainage and combination of external drainage from intestinal cavity and peritoneal drainage, between January, 2010 to January 2017. Duration of operation, amount of bleeding, and the survival rate after operation were compared among the four groups, which were divided by surgical methods. Results: No significant difference was observed in age, and gender (P>0.05) among the four groups. However, the duration of operation was significantly shorter, the amount of bleeding was significantly lessen, the survival rate was significantly higher in the patients of group B(external drainage from intestinal cavity), group C(peritoneal drainage ) and group D(combination of external drainage from intestinal cavity and peritoneal drainage) than the patients of group A(traditional operation)(P<0.05). While the survival rate of group D was significantly higher than that of other groups. Conclusion: The combination of external drainage from intestinal cavity and peritoneal drainage can improve the survival rate of the NEC children more obviously than any other single methods.

1. Introduction

Necrotizing enterocolitis (NEC) is one of the most common gastrointestinal tract disease frequently affecting premature infant with an incidence of 3% to 5%, depending on the gestational age (GA) of infant at birth,[1,2] and mortality rate of as high as 50%.[3,4] Although most cases of NEC are managed conservatively, with optimum medical treatment, bowel rest, abdominal decompression, parenteral nutrition, as well as antibiotics treatment, surgical consultation should be done at the beginning of NEC treatment.[5] Emergency surgical intervention is considered in condition with deteriorating clinical condition and intestinal perforation.[6,7] The operation method for the NEC cases is to according to the degree and scope of bowel necrosis. In most situations, we resect the necrotic bowel and perform the jejunostomy, and 3 months later, ostomy closure will be performed. In the condition that the intestine was affected extensively unable to be resected on the first-stage operation, there are not a definite surgery method which is strongly recommended and agreed. The conventional surgical method is jejunostomy. But surgical time is too long and mortality was relatively high of this method. In view of this kind of situation, we adopted three new methods (external drainage from intestinal cavity, peritoneal drainage, combination of external drainage from intestinal cavity and peritoneal drainage) to replace the conditional jejunostomy in the first stage operation from January of 2010, and ached ideal clinical results.
2. Patients and Methods

2.1. Patients

From January of 2010 to January of 2017, 48 surgically treated neonates with necrotizing enterocolitis in the neonatology department, the First Hospital of Jilin University were enrolled in this retrospective analysis. Each patient signed an informed consent form. Approval was obtained from the institutional review committee of Jilin University.

2.2. Study Design

The patients were divided into four groups according to the surgical methods: Group A: 12 patients were treated by traditional method, that is jejunostomy, these patients would accept surgery again 24 hours later to determine whether affected intestine segment could be reserved; Group B: 11 patients accepted external drainage from intestinal cavity; Group C: 12 patients accepted peritoneal drainage; Group D: 13 patients accepted combination of external drainage from intestinal cavity and peritoneal drainage. And for the patients of Group B, C and D, the later exploratory operation would be conducted to determine whether anastomosis or jejunostomy.

2.3. Methods

Traditional methods: Jejunostomy was applied in the first stage in critically affected neonates with NEC, then further operation proceeded 24 hours later to check the severity and length of affected intestine. Necrotic bowel would be resected, and jejunostomy was adopted followed anastomosis after 2 to 3 months. Improved surgery method: In the condition of extensive necrotic small intestine and colon that could not be resected in the first stage, we performed three methods: external drainage from intestinal cavity, peritoneal drainage, combination of external drainage from intestinal cavity and peritoneal drainage. 7 to 10 days later when the affected newborn’s condition was stable, an exploratory surgery could be conducted to determine further surgery method. Primary enteroanastomosis was made when the affected bowel color changed to normal, or Jejunostomy was adopted when affected intestine was unsatisfying followed by enteroanastomosis two or three months later.

2.4. Evaluation Criteria of Treatment Effects

Evaluation criteria included the duration of operation and the survival rate after operation.

2.5. Statistical Analysis

All measured parameters including the duration of operation and the survival rate after operation, were analyzed by the statistical software program Statistical Product and Service Solutions (SPSS) 17.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and expressed as mean±standard deviation (±s), and t-test was used. Enumeration data including gender, prevalence frequency were analyzed by χ² test. P <0.05 was considered significant.

3. Results

3.1. Baseline Characteristics

There were no significant difference (P>0.05) in general data including operation age, gender among the four groups (Table 1.).
Table 1. Baseline characteristics of study patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Female / Male</th>
<th>Operation age (days)</th>
<th>Neonatal weight (kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group A</td>
<td>4 / 8</td>
<td>13.1 ± 4.1</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group B</td>
<td>4 / 7</td>
<td>12.5 ± 3.5</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group C</td>
<td>3 / 9</td>
<td>15.2 ± 3.3</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group D</td>
<td>4 / 9</td>
<td>14.1 ± 4.5</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P>0.05, compared among group A, B, C, D.

3.2. Evaluation of Treatment Effects

The duration of operation was significantly shorter, the amount of bleeding was significantly lessened, the survival rate was significantly higher in the patients of group B (external drainage from intestinal cavity), group C (peritoneal drainage) and group D (combination of external drainage from intestinal cavity and peritoneal drainage) than the patients of group A (traditional operation) (P<0.05). While the survival rate of group D was significantly higher than that of other groups (P<0.05). Postoperative follow-up was conducted for 6 months.

Table 2. Efficacy of the four groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number of cases</th>
<th>Duration of operation (minutes)</th>
<th>Amount of bleeding (ml)</th>
<th>survival rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group A</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>48.5 ± 7.9</td>
<td>6.3 ± 2.4</td>
<td>3 / 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group B</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>25.4 ± 4.5 #</td>
<td>2.9 ± 1.5 #</td>
<td>6 / 11 * △</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group C</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>27.2 ± 3.5 #</td>
<td>2.8 ± 1.7 #</td>
<td>7 / 12 * △</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group D</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>28.3 ± 5.1 #</td>
<td>3.1 ± 1.1 #</td>
<td>11 / 13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* P<0.05, compared with group A; △ P<0.05, compared with group D
# P<0.05, compared with group A; # P>0.05, compared among group B, C, D.

4. Discussion

There were no significant difference (P>0.05) in general data including operation age, gender among the four groups (Table 1.). Necrotizing enterocolitis (NEC) remains the most common cause of gastrointestinal-associated morbidity and mortality in neonatal intensive care unit (NICU). Prematurity and low birth weight (LBW) are the most consistently agreed risk factors[8]. The estimated number of live newborns in China is about 16 million per year, with 26.2% preterm (gestational age <37 weeks) and 23.6% with LBW (<2500g)[9]. NEC is a disorder characterized by ischemic necrosis of the intestinal mucosa, which is associated with inflammation, invasion of enteric gas forming organisms, and dissection of gas into the muscularis and portal venous system[10]. Early stage of NEC mostly could be cured by supportive treatment and antibiotics. Other signs that indicate bowel necrosis must be considered, including unremitting clinical deterioration[11]. However, to critically affected infants who are sensitive to medical treatment, surgical intervention was recommended.

And the best operative opportunity is the point when the intestinal wall was necrotized completely without intestinal perforation because this time necrotized bowel could be resected and lessen the intra-abdominal infection. During operation, every effort should be made to preserve as much intestinal length as possible. The option of surgical procedures largely depends upon the extent of disease and the attending surgeon’s experience. The surgery method of NEC in neonates mainly depends on the severity and length of necrotic intestine[12]. In the condition that the intestine was affected extensively unable to be resected on the first-stage operation, there are not a definite surgery method which is strongly recommended and agreed.
The conventional surgical method is jejunostomy. But surgical time is too long and mortality was relatively high of this method. Aim at this situation, we adopted three new methods (external drainage from intestinal cavity, peritoneal drainage, combination of external drainage from intestinal cavity and peritoneal drainage) to replace the conditional jejunostomy in the first stage operation.

In the condition of extensive necrotic small intestine and colon that could not be resected in the first stage, we perform the above three methods respectively in different groups. 7 to 10 days later when the affected newborn’s condition was stable, an exploratory surgery could be conducted to determine further surgery method. Primary enteroanastomosis was made when the affected bowel color changed to normal, or Jejunostomy was adopted when affected intestine was unsatisfying followed by enteroanastomosis two or three months later.

And these three types of surgical methods achieved satisfactory clinical effects. The duration of operation was significantly shorter, the amount of bleeding was significantly lessen, the survival rate was significantly higher in the patients of group B(external drainage from intestinal cavity), group C(peritoneal drainage ) and group D(combination of external drainage from intestinal cavity and peritoneal drainage) than the patients of group A(traditional operation)(P<0.05). While the survival rate of group D was significantly higher than that of other groups. That means the combination of external drainage from intestinal cavity and peritoneal drainage can improve the survival rate of the NEC children more obviously than any other single methods.

External drainage from intestinal cavity could apparently lesson the tension of bowel wall and reduce the absorption of inflammatory mediators. Abdominal washing and peritoneal drainage could clean the abdominal cavity effectively and apparently reduce the absorption of inflammatory mediators. A reasonable mechanism of NEC is that intestinal mucosa of affected intestine was destroyed, and amounts of inflammatory mediators were generated and absorbed by bowel wall, leading to necrotized intestine, perforation and even multiple organ failure[13]. Therefore, a critical aspect of managing the patients with NEC is to reduce the absorption of inflammatory mediators.

The combination of external drainage from intestinal cavity and peritoneal drainage could apparently reduce the absorption of inflammatory mediators for the serious NEC patients, so this method is feasible and worthy to be popularized in clinic.

5. Conclusion

The combination of external drainage from intestinal cavity and peritoneal drainage, as an easy and feasible method for the serious NEC patients improved by us, has a satisfactory clinical effect with a relatively higher survival rate. And it should be recommended.
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