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Abstract- In this paper discussed how to improve the metacognitive knowledge of physics student of Institute of Teachers' Education (Lembaga Pendidikan Tenaga Kependidikan: LPTK) using transformative learning theory. Physics student of LPTK have difficulty increase metacognitive knowledge of physics, due to the difficulty in transforming the concept. In this paper described how to facilitate this change (transformation concept) use of transformative learning theory. The discussion in this paper provide input for faculty and students in metacognitive learning. Discussions focused on developing a theoretical model of learning based on the theory of transformative learning in improving the metacognitive knowledge of physics students of LPTK.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The main competence of the author in carrying out the task of three task (tridarma) in S1 program majoring in physics in Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, State University of Medan is lecturer quantum physics course. In addition, the authors have the competence to develop effective learning models in achieving competence, in accordance with research dissertation writer [14] relating to the development of Social-Cognitive Constructivist Learning Model and research writers with Amdani who developed the learning model Approach Applied Applied Approach: AA) based on Systematic Solving Problem (PSSS) ([16];[15];[8]). The subjects of quantum physics in s1 program and of course in s2 and s3 program of universities in Indonesia even in the world are hard to learn material (according to teaching experience and research result of writer with Amdani ([14]; [8]) and according to Morrison [13]. Morrison [13] states there are three reasons why studying quantum physics is more difficult than studying classical physics. First, Man grows in and becomes accustomed to the macroscopic world. Thus, when studying quantum physics, the real is the most powerful theory for the microscopic world (atomic and subatomic), people often experience opposition in understanding the quantum phenomena which is distinctly different from the classic phenomena commonly used in everyday life. Secondly, quantum physics works in one-tier territory above reality, that quantum physics is more abstract than classical physics. Third, quantum physics is inherently is mathematical, meaning that mathematics is the language of quantum physics. Thus, without mastering mathematics as a language of quantum physics and unskillfully applying the language in solving the problems of quantum systems, it would be difficult to understand quantum physics.

Based on the experience of teaching and the research result of the author ([14], [15], [16], [17]) revealed that the main problem of students in understanding the concept of quantum physics is the difficulty of the students change the conception of classical physics into a conception of quantum physics that is scientific conception, so the metacognitive knowledge of students is low. In fact, often the classical conception of physics mixes with the mistaken conception of quantum physics. This student difficulty is in line with Morrison's first factor above, ie the student grows in and becomes accustomed to the macroscopic world. Thus, when studying quantum physics, which is clearly the most powerful theory for the microscopic world (atomic and subatomic), students often experience a contradiction in understanding the quantum phenomena distinctly different from those of classical physics commonly found in everyday life . In addition, based on the experiences and research results of researchers ([16]; [15]; [8]) in teaching students, in line with the third factor about the difficulty of studying quantum physics put forward Morrison in Above, the ability factor of students to apply mathematics as the language of quantum physics in solving the problems of simple quantum physics system becomes the factor causing the difficulties of students to understand quantum physics.

With regard to the problem of applying mathematics in physics, the ability to apply mathematics in solving quantum physics problems is the ability to solve physics problems that have been categorized as formal problems of physics according
to Piaget's cognitive development theory [6]. This ability is called the formal ability to study quantum physics. Based on that fact, we have compiled a theoretical learning model that implements transformative learning theory in increasing the metacognitive knowledge of students in quantum physics lectures. The theoretical learning model is called the cognitive-social learning model based on transformative learning theory.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 The Metacognitive Knowledge of Physics Student of Institute of Teachers’ Education

The mastery of metacognitive knowledge, influenced by the change of conception. There are seven issues that can be identified from the results of research on the use of teaching and learning activities that promote the conversion of conception [3], which will lead to mastery of metacognitive knowledge. First, it is difficult for students to change their existing ideas. Second, cognitive conflicts and events that do not conform may not result in the conversion of conception, which results in the ease of mastering metacognitive knowledge. Third, many learners who have modified their ideas in teaching in the classroom, perhaps resuming his original idea in school settings a few months later. Fourth, the concepts of teachers/educators can influence the changing conception occurs, if any. Fifth, the order of teaching activity is still debated. Sixth, the study of changing conceptions of teaching to illuminate mismatch (mismatches) in science education between what we know about how students learn, on the one hand, and our classrooms, schools and education systems in the practice of science, on the other hand. The seventh or last, another factor that has to be clarified (illuminated) on the sale situation changing conception is the kind of science that is taught in the classroom.

2.2 Model of Teaching

At the first Aisteel seminar of 2016, the author has developed a learning model aimed at improving conceptual knowledge [17]. In this section, a learning model is developed that is expected to effectively increase metacognitive knowledge. Model development is done in the same way as the development of models for the improvement of conceptual knowledge. To be more clear, re-elaborated on the same rough development here. Based on a review of literature in the form of research: [1,7,21,19,23,4,13,20,5,18], it turns learning model proposed development is a relatively new model of learning, which are not studied in Indonesia and even in foreign countries. Novelty which meant the researchers are no previous studies that develop models for effective learning to enhance and scientific conceptions and formal capabilities of students in learning quantum physics. Learning model developed from the results of research on the theoretical basis of learning and learning based on constructivism. The cornerstone of the theory of constructivism learning model is based on Piaget (called cognitive constructivism) and Vygotsky (called social constructivism) [3,12], as well as transformative learning theory. Preparation of the learning model based on the “shape” model of learning by [2,4] as follows.

Cognitive Constructivist Learning Model-Social Based Transformative Learning Theory

SYNTAX: The model consists of four phases. The first phase is the phase invitational, the phase of its curious learners, by creating a situation that raises a question mark and inviting disclosure preconceptions learners. In this phase learners conception designed disclosure by filing a written or oral questions about the events or phenomena that can later be experimented by learners in groups or demonstrated by the teacher. In the second phase, activities of data collection, which is required for testing the concept that has been proposed to learners of events and/or phenomena in question in the first phase. Learner activities carried out by the group is an experiment. However, if the equipment and facilities available in the laboratory experiment is not sufficient, then selected the demonstration activities. In these experiments the learners check explanation (conception) that have been advanced in the first phase. At this stage the possibility of a conflict of cognitive and self-regulation (based on cognitive constructivist view). The second phase termed the exploration phase. In the second phase is also already happening collaborative learning (corresponding view of the social constructivist), that is, when a small group (experimental) they have started negotiating the conception of each, both conceptions that have been raised before the experiment and a new conception after checking experimentally turned out conception initially not accurate. The third phase is the phase of submission of explanation and solutions as well as discussions. In the third phase, the learning process is dominated by collaborative learning. Learners in this phase was asked to express his conception of events or phenomena that prompted the first phase of the classes. Act as a class discussion of each conception disclosure. Collaborative learning environment take precedence and be kept consistent throughout the discussion. How that may be used is to declare to the learners that the discussion is not intended to seek the most appropriate response, but rather is to establish inter-personal communication, ie by observing or interpret answers each learner involved in class discussions. Everyone has the right and must be filed (proposed) conception. If the answer put forward in answering the question in the first phase is different from the test results through experiments or demonstrations during the second phase, then the learner concerned should be able to ask explanation and solutions to the differences in the class discussion. Expected in the third phase of construction of a new idea has occurred. The fourth phase is the phase retrieval action. The process of constructing a new look or a new conception on the third phase will prepare learners to take action on what they have learned. Least learners should be able to make decisions about still wearing the old conception or conception should change radically, and the risk must implement the new conception on any events and other phenomena related to those asked in the first phase. The action taken by the learner (change of conception or not) can be monitored by the answer on the incidence and/or other related phenomena, in the next lesson. For teachers, the fourth phase is the phase of determining whether teachers should provide further clues to the problems encountered in the classroom or not.
Quantum physics problem solving methods (formal capability) used methods Systematic Problem Resolution (PSSS) [22]. The problem-solving method includes steps: ANALYSIS, PLAN, and ASSESSMENT COMPLETION. In step analysis conducted activities: Read the question carefully; Make a scheme or an image (which consists of: Noting the provisions given in the scheme and asked and Recording units and dimensions); Estimating answers (sign, magnitude, and its unit); Dividing the problem into smaller problems that can be solved; and make assumptions if necessary. In step PLANS do activities: Start with the amount sought/ask; Finding relationships (equations), which contains the amount of it (which consists of: Looking for a relationship that includes the amount given as well Combining these relations to reach a settlement); Noting the requirements for entry into force of those relationships; Develop remedy. In step COMPLETION do activities: Perform mathematical transformation (which consists of the following activities: Changing the general relations in a special relationship to the matter and Changing units and dimensions into the same system); Calculating to obtain answers; Examination of the terms of enforceability. In step ASSESSMENT do activities: Checks if the answer in line with forecasts and reality; Check if each part has been completed; Writing answers and final conclusions. In this research, a cognitive constructivist learning model-based social problem-solving method systematically. Learning Model Constructivist Cognitive-Based Social Method Resolution Problem Systematic is a learning model that embraces the view constructivism cognitive and social and contains four phases of activities, namely: Invitational, Exploration, Filing explanation and solution as well as the retrieval action and using methods Completion Problem Systematic and finish formal questions of quantum physics. Mezirow transformative learning theory used in each phase to change the conception (see for example: [9]). Based on the theory Mezirow, shifting paradigm / perspective transformation is the result of several conditions and processes: an activating event that exposes the limitations of a student’s current knowledge/approach; opportunities for the student to identify and articulate the underlying assumptions in the student’s current knowledge/approach; critical self-reflection as the student considers where these underlying assumptions came from, how these assumptions influenced or limited understanding; critical discourse with other students and the instructor as the group examines alternative ideas and approaches; opportunities to test and apply new perspectives [11].

3. DISCUSSION

By applying the theory of transformative learning in the phases of the learning model that builds on the framework of cognitive and social constructivist theory, suspected would happen alteration mistaken conceptual knowledge (misconceptions) into a true conceptual knowledge. Based on the theory Mezirow, shifting paradigm/ perspective transformation is the result of several conditions and processes: an activating event that exposes the limitations of a student’s current knowledge/approach; opportunities for the student to identify and articulate the underlying assumptions in the student’s current knowledge/approach; critical self-reflection as the student considers where these underlying assumptions came from, how these assumptions influenced or limited understanding; critical discourse with other students and the instructor as the group examines alternative ideas and approaches; opportunities to test and apply new perspectives [11].
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