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Abstract—This research is conducted to contribute in improving students’ achievement in Mathematical Representation Ability (MRA) and their performance in solving MRA problems. Those involved as samples are 316 students from Public Junior High School (PJHS) 1, PJHS 2, and PJHS 4 District Deli Serdang, and PJHS 17, PJHS 19 Medan City. Joyful Problem-based Learning (JPBL) is implemented to attain the purpose of the research. Students’ achievement in MRA is measured through MRA test scores. The scores are analyzed through Student-t test at 0.05 significant level. Students’ performance in solving MRA problems is analyzed descriptively. Results of the research: (1) Students in JPBL class get better MRA achievement than their counterpart in conventional one, (2) The students’ performance in solving MRA problems is better in JPBL class than that of their counterpart in Conventional one. The students faced the difficulties in two aspect of MRA: Present the problem in figures and give explanation, especially related to graph and table. Meanwhile, the students’ performance is best at the aspect of present the problems in mathematical equation, (3) MRA of the students in JPBL class significantly improved.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Listed in National Education Standards Agency [1] that mathematics is a universal science and underpin the development of modern technology, has important role in fostering the ability to think. Mathematics is given to students aiming for them to have a number of abilities, namely to understand mathematical concepts, to use reasoning in solving mathematical problems, to communicate ideas in various forms of mathematical representation, and to have a tenacious and confident [1]. In addition, the curriculum and evaluation standards for school mathematics [2] stated that mathematics is the central focus, characterized by its power in modelling, and communicating the concepts and relationship in the sciences and technology. Mathematics is a tool of science and technology, essential for carrying out and describing the process and outcomes of theoretical and empirical investigations. Then, it is logical if students from grade I to grade XII should learn mathematics [2].

Through learning and doing mathematics the students develop thinking skills and solving various kinds of problems. As mentioned in NCTM [2], solving problems or working on math problems are quite complicated and challenging, the students can grow appreciation for mathematics, realize the value of mathematics, discipline, obedience, consistence, patience, tenacious, and abstinence giving up, thinking logically, analytically, systematically, critically and creatively. Thus, it is make sense if problem solving becomes the main goal of learning mathematics.

Actually, problem solving is the process of applying knowledge into new and unfamiliar situation [4]. Kilpatrick mentioned that problem solving is an integral part of mathematical proficiency [2]. If students proficient in mathematics, then he/she is capable in problem solving, reasoning, conceptual understanding, and has mathematical disposition. Whenever the students develop mathematical problem solving, then mathematical understanding and representation will be developed [5][6]. In turn, the ability of mathematical understanding [7] as well as mathematical representation ability [8] as the prerequisite for the smoothness of problem solving will grow as well. Thus, it is a must to give the opportunity to the students engage in solving problems.

Problem-solving skills as one of high order thinking skills could not achieve by the students through Conventional teaching learning that characterize with a teacher as a center of learning [9]. In fact, the achievement of Indonesian students in solving mathematical problems, where Conventional teaching learning used until now, is low [10]. Thus, it is urgent to implementing student-center learning with constructivism as a theoretical background, because of the principle of this approach is to promote the students to be actively engage in developing their own knowledge and rediscover the concepts of mathematics as well. One kind of such learning is Problem-Based Learning (PBL)[11].

Integrating joyful learning [12] into PBL yield effective learning approach, namely Joyful Problem-based Learning (JPBL) [8][13]. On one side, PBL designed to improve
problem-solving skills, integrate learning from different sources and disciplines through synthesis and collaborative inquiry, deals with situations where students are uncertain about data, information, as well as solutions, just as students would face in the real world. While, joyful learning advocate the idea that learning should be fun for the students.

An important factor in JPBL just as PBL is a problem. Problems as an early trigger to learners should be designed so that meet the characteristics of a good problem [14], driven students’ engagement in discussion and learning process, and fostering high order thinking skills (HOTS). Some feature of HOTS among others are non-algorithmic, tends to be complex, often yields multiple solutions and interpretations, involves the application of multiple criteria, often involves uncertainty, self-regulation of the thinking process, imposing meaning, in apparent disorder, and effortful [15].

Furthermore, JPBL designed such that learning activity held in a pleasant environment, does not always run in the classroom, but sometimes take place outside the classroom, for example in schoolyard, canteen, or bookstore in school area. JPBL also uses various objects of interest and in accordance with the topic discussed, requires teachers to be child friendly when running the lesson (intonation of voice, facial expression, posture and gesture of the teacher body should make the child comfortable). Objects used as a medium to clarify, for example the concept of linear equation of two random variable, can be a book, erasers, pen, sharpener sold in bookstore, the ornament of buildings in the school boundaries, tiled floors in the classroom, etc.

Research question in the research:
1. Is the students’ MRA achievement in JPBL class better than the achievement in conventional one?
2. How is the students’ performance in MRA test?
   On which aspects students face difficulties in solving MRA Post-test.
3. Is there any improvement of students’ MRA in JPBL class?

II. METHODS

The population of this research is eight grader students of Public Junior High School (PJHS) in Medan City and District Deli Serdang. As many as 316 eight grader students from PJHS 1, PJHS 2, PJHS 4 in Deli Serdang Regency, and PJHS 17 and PJHS 19 Medan City included as samples. Half of them is in experiment class (JPBL class), the rest is in conventional class.

The purpose of the research is to improve the students’ mathematical representation ability (MRA) and to trigger students’ engagement in problem solving activity, especially problems that can elicit the students’ mathematical representation ability. Joyful problem based learning (JPBL) is implemented in the experiment classroom. While, the learning approach that is in the control classroom is Conventional learning.

Math topics that students studied is line equation, system of linear equation of two variables and Pythagorean rules. The students learning all these topics through solving various mathematical problem presented in Student Activity Sheets (SAS). An example of math problem in SAS presented in Figure 1.

![Figure 1. An example of MRA Problem in SAS](https://example.com)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Problem</th>
<th>The following table is pricelist of rent a taxi.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Distance (km)</td>
<td>Fee (Rupiahs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>13,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>18,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>23,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>53,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aspect</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abstract or symbolic representations constructed to analyze the relationship, develop ideas, and clarify or interpret phenomena</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construct appropriate mathematical representation accurately and manipulated it as necessary to solve the problem or describe the solution</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appropriate mathematical representation is constructed but there is not manipulated as necessary to solve the problem or describe the solution</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There have been attempts to construct a mathematical representation, record, and communicate the problem solving</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No attempt was made to construct a mathematical representation</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Post-test conducted at the end of learning program is used to measuring the students’ mathematical understanding ability. MRA essay test designed based on the following aspects:
1. Create a mathematical equation to for the problem.
2. Presents the problem in table and explain it.
3. Create a table as a representation of the data in graph.
4. Create a graph to represent the problem.
5. Present the problem in figures to make it easier to get the solution of the problem.

Along learning process, an observer documented both teacher and students activities. Interview to investigate the difficulties experienced by the students in solving the problems, followed after the students finished MRA post-test. While, the interview with the teacher was done after completion the learning.
III. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

One of the interesting results of the research is the following discussion taken place the process in attaining the solution for problem 1 in SAS. The problem is about finding the relationship between age and weight of a baby. This activity took place after students’ group discussion faced difficulty to finish the task. They can no longer continue the task of solving problem. On one side, the difficulty faced by the students showed that they need more scaffolding from the teacher. But then the conversation shows students’ good engagement in learning process.

**Problem 1: Weight and age of a baby**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Problem 1: Weight and age of a baby</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$S_i = \text{Student-i, } i=1,2,..., 32.$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T = \text{Teacher}; \ D = \text{Dicky}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N = \text{Nurul}; \ A = \text{Azizah; } F = \text{Fikri}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_i = \text{Group i, } i=1,2,3,...,8.$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**T:** Look at problem 1 in your SAS. Who knows, what is $x$ in this problem?

**D:** Weight of a baby.

**N:** No……, it is baby’ age.

**D:** Oh yes, sorry.

**T:** It’s OK. Then, what is $y$?

**S:** Average weight of baby.

**T:** That’s right. Try to find the relationship ot these two variables. Who knows how to see this relationship?

**G_1:** We put it in this table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$x$ (month)</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$y$ (kg)</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>3300</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>3900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**T:** And then? Aha, one of your friend represent it in stem & leaf digaram. Good. Go on find the solution. I give you all ten minutes to discuss it further.

**G_2:** We have this table, mam….

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$x$</th>
<th>$y$ (3000+0)</th>
<th>$y$ (3000+300)</th>
<th>$y$ (3000+600)</th>
<th>$y$ (3000+900)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**G_3:** We got this table, mam….

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$x$</th>
<th>$y$ (1-1)(300) + 3000</th>
<th>$y$ (2-1)(300) + 3000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1)(300) + 3000</td>
<td>(2)(300) + 3000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**G_3:** Aaah, I got it.

**T:** What did you got?

**G_3:** This one, mam….. Another table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$x$</th>
<th>$y$ (1-1)(300) + 3000</th>
<th>$y$ (2-1)(300) + 3000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1)(300) + 3000</td>
<td>(2)(300) + 3000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**G_3:** Horeee…., the equation is $y = (x-1).300 + 3000$.

**T:** Yeah, you are all expert in solving problem!

**T:** Thus, what is average weight of baby at age 5?

$G_4:$ $y = (5-1).300 + 3000 = 4.300 + 3000 = 1200 + 3000 = 4200$ grams.

**T:** The solution proposed by group 4 is wright. Is there another of you has other solution?

**A:** How very light baby he is.

**S_3:** He should be 7 kilograms.

**S_4:** Maybe his parent is poor…couldn’t buy nutritious food.

**S_5:** hahaha…

**T:** OK. Enough. Then you should be thankful to eat well.

**G_3:** Mam, I still don’t understand anything. I don’t know what to do.

**T:** Ask your friend first. Then, I’ll give you special hint, Fikri.

**F:** Thanks mam…

**T:** Look another problem and try to understand what the problem is. You must eager to solve the rest of the problems, so you can become a good problem solver.

**S_3:** OK maaam…

**T:** Good luck…

**Problem 2: Mathematical equation of a line**

**T:** Look at Figure 3.

**T:** What is an equation for line $k$?

**S_1:** We will find it, mam. Wait a minute.

Almost every student is busy, discussing the problem. After 5 minutes, one of the students propose the equation.

**A:** $4x + 4y = 4$ for line $k$.

**S:** That’s wrong…

**T:** Quite, please.

**S_3:** For $y = 4$, we got $4 = -x + 4$, so, $x = 0$.

**T:** Excelent.

**S_4:** Mam, it means the line passes trough point (4,0) and (0,4).

**Figure 2**

A: Because that line passes through $x = 4$ and $y = 4$.

T: Azizah, reconsider your result, OK. Discuss with your group.

S_2: Mam…., line $k$ is $y= x + 4$.

T: Can you check your equation, whether is it true?

S_2: Yaa…for $x = 4$, we have $y = 4 + 4 = 8$…

Ooh, this one mam $y= -x + 4$.

S: Huuuuh

T: Quite, please.

S_2: Ya, for $x = 4$, we have $y = -4 + 4 = 0$.

T: Good.

S_3: For $y = 4$, we got $4 = -x + 4$, so, $x = 0$.

T: Excelent.

S_4: Mam, it means the line passes trough point (4,0) and (0,4).
Is it right?  
T : Confirm it to your friend first.  
S2 : Yes, line \( k \) passes through that points.  
T : Continue to solve the rest of the problem, OK.  
S : Oke maamaam.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Problem 3: Price of a pencil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| T : Listen to me and look at problem number 3 about price of a pencil.  
T : The price of tree pencils and two erazers is 13.000 rupiahs.  
The price of 4 pencils and three erazers is 18.000 rupiahs. What is the price of a pencil?  
T : What will we do first to solve this problem?  
S6 : Put it in graph.  
T : OK. Try it.  
S5 : Create a table first.  
T : For what?  
S7 : To get two points from each equation.  
S7 : Define an equation first.  
I got 3p + 2e = 13.000  
4p + 3e = 18.000  
G4 : We think, its simpler to write  
3p + 2e = 13  
4p + 3e = 18  
T : Alright, but don’t forget to multiply the solution to 1.000.  
T : Yuup, how can we get the value of p?  
S5 : Suppose 4p = 18  
\[ p = \frac{18 - 3e}{4} \]  
G2 : Then, we substitute p to the first equation.  
T : Great!  
T : Can you solve it overall?  
G : We’ll try, mam.  
G2 : Heeeemmm….we found p = 4 and e = 2.  
T : Good. Can group 8 check this answer. Is it true or not?  
G6 : We have not got the answer yet, mam.  
T : Group 1?  
G1 : We’re trying another way. We create graph.  
T : Continue your work  
After a moment:  
G1 : Mam, we got this table.  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3p + 2e = 13</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>e</th>
<th>(p, e)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>(0, 6.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(4.33, 0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4p + 3e = 18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>(0, 6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(4.5, 0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

T : So, based graph, what is the solution?  
G1 : Common point for these two line is (3, 2)  
T : So…  
G1 : The solution is p = 3 and e = 2.  
T : Check again your work to assure the solution is true.  
T : Two group give the same solution, another one gives different solution.  
Right solution is p = 3000 and e = 2000.  
G1 & G2 : Hoooray, we win.  
T : How about group 6?  
G6 : Trying another methods, mam.  
T : What kind of methods?  
G6 : Elimination.  
T : Go on.  
G7 : By elimination, we got p = 3 and e = 2.  
T : Group 7 got different solution from group 2.  
Whose solution is true?  
S : …………..mmm….  
T : Aaah how wonderful you all.  
T : You all have trying tree techniques to solve the system of linear equation of two variables:  
Graph, Substitution, and Elimination techniques.  
You are all great.  
T : I’ll give you a…….gift.  
S : What kind of gift, mam…  
T : Delicious candy…  
S : Ah…ya. We like candy.  
T : Just finish your work first, OK.  
S : Ha…ha..ha…….  
G4 : Mam, we haven’t understood anything yet.  
S20 : Me, too.  
T : Don’t worry, one of the group will describe the solution on the board. So, keep full attention to them, OK.  
T : Listen to your friend’s explanation. You are free to give feedback and correction to your friend presentation.  
Even ask their idea you do not understand.  
Through group work and discussion, the students inculcate mathematical representation ability. Students’ achievement in MRA is measured trough MRA test score.  

1. Students’ achievement  
RMA score is used as a basis to determine the achievement of students’ mathematical representation ability.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 2</th>
<th>Statistics of MRA Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Approach</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JPBL</td>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 shows the difference of MRA average score between the students in JPBL class and Conventional one. The students’ MRA in JPBL class is different from that of the students in conventional class.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 3. Output of t-Independent test for MRA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Df</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>314</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The result of Student-t test shows in Table 3 pointed out that the achievement of the students in JPBL class is better than that of in Conventional one. As conclusion, JPBL gives significant effect to the students’ MRA achievement. This finding is consistent with the latest research that joyful PBL is effective in improving the ability of mathematical representation of junior high school students [8][13].

Rationale for this achievement is that learning approach based on JPBL has a syntax that allows the students actively engage in constructing their own mathematical concept through solving various mathematical problems. Besides,
teacher provides scaffolding gradually to the students who have obstacles in understanding the problem or stuck at any phase before arrived to the solution. Furthermore, based on observation the teacher approximately 87% do implement every aspect of learning approach. Teachers motivated students’ engagement in group-work in order to solve problems contained in Student Activity Sheets (SAS), as well as guided to the source of information. Mathematics books designed based on JPBL are available for both students and teachers.

2. Student Performance

Investigation towards the solution given by the students in every aspect of mathematical representation capability reveals that students have difficulties at the aspect in creating picture that can make them easier to get the solution and giving reasons or explanations for the solution they get in accordance with the initial problem. The results of interview showed that the students faced these difficulties because of forget the following thing: the concept of distance and the concept of Cartesian diagram. These are mathematical prior knowledge (MPK) the students must have. Lack of MPK in line with result of Minarni former research [8].

Another reason, some of the students are lazy to make pictures or any other graph representation due to they think math problem can solve without the help of these representation. It means that the persistence to master the skills of presenting the problem in a picture of an image has not entailed yet as a habit of the students, whereas the teacher continuously inculcated this skill throughout the course of the JPBL-based learning supported by problems in SAS. It seems, to flourish good habit need support of core family and environment, not just support from teacher, friends, and school.

Like developing good habit, develop mathematical representation ability of the student is also not as easy as our imagination. It needed teacher’s dedication and teacher self-confidence to apply JPBL appropriately. The finding inspired us as teachers to be serious in giving more exercise that elicit the ability of the students to present or represent the problem in pictures or other types of representation. Creating pictures of various mathematical problems give advantage to the students to see how powerful and beautiful mathematics is, and in turn develop imagination and harvesting students’ interest in solving mathematical problem.

An example of Post-test problem is presented in Figure 2. As a whole, many students no longer find it difficult to create pictures, graphs, tables, or mathematical equations. Even, most students have been adept at creating mathematical equations as one of mathematical representation’ aspects.

### TABLE 4. Students’ MRA N-gain in JPBL Class

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MUA Test</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
<th>N-gain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>1.184</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>12.66</td>
<td>2.271</td>
<td>0.283</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Further research with larger sample is needed to investigate whether students’ mathematical representation ability can significantly reach high category.

### IV. CONCLUSION

Conclusion drawn from the research:

1. The student in JPBL class get better achievement in mathematical representation ability than their counterpart in conventional one.
2. The students’ performance in solving mathematical problems is better in JPBL class then in Conventional one. The students faced difficulties at the aspect of presenting the problem in pictures and explaining graph and table. Meanwhile, the students’ performance is best at the aspect of presenting the problem in mathematical equation.
3. Mathematical representation ability of the students in JPBL class improved significantly. Their MRA belongs to mediocre category.
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