

# Citizen Behavior Model in Urban Farming Development

Abdullah

Universitas Muslim  
Indonesia  
Makassar, Indonesia

Nurlita Pertiwi

Universitas Negeri Makassar  
Makassar, Indonesia

Faizal Amir

Universitas Negeri Makassar  
Makassar, Indonesia

Sukrimin Sapareng

Universitas Andi Djemma  
Palopo, Indonesia

**Abstract**— The study aims to investigate the characteristics of citizen behavior in the development of urban farming in the city of Makassar, South Sulawesi Province. The sample selection is using probability sampling of 300 respondents aged 18-60 years from various levels of education, gender, and social status. Questionnaire developed from six variables, namely: environmental knowledge, knowledge of urban farming, subjective norms, and motivation in development of urban farming, environmental attitudes, and behavioral development of urban farming. Research using structural equation modeling (SEM). The results showed that the knowledge of urban farming directly influences of citizen behavior of urban farming. If the citizen is high, the formation of behavioral development of urban farming will raise. Environmental knowledge, motivation, and subjective norms indirectly influence of citizen behavioral of urban farming through their environmental attitudes.

**Keywords**—*component; formatting; style; styling*

## I. INTRODUCTION

Paradigm of city development or Eco City defines as the exploitation of potential ecological to construct facility under the present resource environment for the purpose of building an efficient, harmonious healthy and wealthy city [1]. The development concept which refers to the equilibration of environment and minimize the negative impact due to his construction. Makassar as a metropolitan city and the center of strategic areas in eastern part of Indonesia has increased the pace of infrastructure and construction accompanied by an increase in population. The condition will bring consequences of the occurrence of the excessive exploitation of the environment and urban socio-economic problems. The increasing number of residents requires balanced by the amount of the provision of food and nutrition, employment, housing, facilities, and infrastructure will give rise to problems of poverty and food insecurity of the city [2], [3].

One of the strategies being undertaken is the development system of urban farming. The system of urban farming is a form of revitalizing the agricultural sector while put back the sense of the importance of the agricultural sector and contextual proportionately in support of sustainable city development balance. Development of urban farming can impact favorably socio-economic community for life [4], [5] and the environmental balance of the city [3], [6].

However, the efforts of the urban development farming are a complex system with involving some components or elements which are integrated. It requires technical or technological approach as well as the approach of socio-cultural. Theory of Planned Behavior explains that a particular behavior occurs due to the readiness to behave (behavioral intention) and determined by the attitude (behavioral attitude), subjective norm or social values that thrive in the community, as well as perceived behavioral control/self-efficacy-related personal analysis i.e. potential and resources [7]. The concept of the behavior theory can be used to explain the behavior of the development model of invalid construction of urban farming in Makassar.

## II. RESEARCH METHOD

This research was conducted in Makassar and population is the community in the research area. The source of data is obtained by field observation and dissemination of the questionnaire to 300 respondents aged 18-60 years from the various levels of education, gender, and social status. The selection of respondents determined in probability sampling. This research analyzes correlation predictive directly or indirectly between variables against the behavior of the development of urban agriculture. These research data were analyzed statistically descriptively and inferentially by using the analysis method of Structural Equation Modelling (SEM) based on software AMOS 22.

## III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results showed in the table 1 that 68.30% respondent has high level of environmental knowledge. Furthermore, 96.00% of people have a high knowledge about urban farming. Therefore, the degree of knowledge, both from the aspect of facts, concepts or procedures about urban farming is relatively high and spread within the community of the city of Makassar. This characteristic of the knowledge level of the environment and urban farming which is high can be a driving force against the occurrence of behavior development of urban agriculture.

The subjective norms of citizens are still low. It is shown that only 46% of respondents argue that the subjective norm is an important role as the driving force of the development of urban farming. Many indicators of subjective norm are belief in the role of the family, support from others, and support

from the Government. Otherwise, there are 54 % of community stated that subjective norms are not instrumental in increasing the development of urban farming in the city of Makassar.

TABLE I. CHARACTERISTICS OF BEHAVIORAL VARIABLES IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF URBAN FARMING

Variables	Category/Percentage				
	Very low	Low	Moderate	High	Very high
Env. knowledge	-	0.40	31.30	68.30	-
Knowledge of urban farming	-	0.00	4.00	96.00	-
Subjective norms	1.00	9.00	44.00	34.67	11.33
Motivation	1.00	11.33	23.33	34.67	29.67
Attitude of the environment	1.67	13.33	23.00	47.00	15.00
Behavior of urban farming	2.30	19.70	43.70	29.30	5.00

The motivation of citizen of urban farming is high that as much as 64.34% of respondent have high motivation in developing of urban farming. Similarly, there are 62 % of respondent have supported the development of urban farming. Nevertheless, the citizen behavior in the development of urban farming are still low, and only 34.30% of respondent had participated in the development of urban farming.

The test of hypothesis is based on the value of the Critical Ratio(C.R) in the Regression Weights: (*Group number 1-Default model*) on the SEM analysis. The SEM analysis product in Table 2 indicates that there are six important direct causal relationships significantly (the value of C.R  $\geq 1,967$ ).

TABLE II. RESULTS OF TESTING STATISTICAL HIPOTHESIS

Research Hypoteis	C.R.	P	Conclusion
Knowledge of urban farming <- Environmental knowledge	3.739	***	Direct influence significantly
Motivation <- Environmental knowledge	.743	.458	Direct effect is not significant
Motivation <- Knowledge of urban farming	2.883	.004	Direct influence significantly
Subjective norms <- Knowledge of urban farming	2.960	.003	Direct influence significantly
Subjective norms <- Motivation	10.230	***	Direct influence significantly
Attitude of the environment <- Motivation	5.758	***	Direct influence significantly
Attitude of the environment <- Knowledge of urban farming	.752	.452	Direct effect is not significant

TABLE II, CONT.

Research Hypoteis	C.R.	P	Conclusion
Attitude of the environment <- Environmental knowledge	1.693	.090	Direct effect is not significant
Attitude of the environment <- Subjective norms	1.841	.066	Direct effect is not significant
Behavior of urban farming <- Knowledge of urban farming	4.229	***	Direct influence significantly
Behavior of urban farming <- Environmental knowledge	.228	.820	Direct effect is not significant
Behavior of urban farming <- Attitude of the environment	.421	.674	Direct effect is not significant

TABLE III. INFLUENCE COEFFICIENT BETWEEN VARIABLES

Relationship between Variables	Coefficient Effect		
	Direct Influence	Influence of Indirect	Contribution (%)
Knowledge of urban farming <- Environmental knowledge	0.433	-	18.75
Motivation <- Environmental knowledge	0.061	0.126	3.49
Motivation <- Knowledge of urban farming	0.290	-	8.41
Subjective norms <- Knowledge of urban farming	0.187	0.220	16.56
Subjective norms <- Motivation	0.758	-	57.46
Attitude of the environment <- Motivation	0.761	0.158	84.46
Attitude of the environment <- Knowledge of urban farming	0.056	0.306	13.10
Attitude of the environment <- Environmental knowledge	0.104	0.213	10.05
Attitude of the environment <- Subjective norms	0.208	-	4.33
Behavior of urban farming <- Knowledge of urban farming	0.502	0.013	26.52
Behavior of urban farming <- Environmental knowledge	0.018	0.213	5.34
Behavior of urban farming <- Attitude of the environment	0.037	-	0.14

Based on the relation in structural model (Table 3), it can be formulated that two substructure equations that describe the influences of exogenous variables toward to endogenous variables, as follows: 1)The attitude of green environment =  $0.761 * \text{Motivation} + 0.208 * \text{subjective Norm} + 0.056 * \text{Knowledge of urban farming} + 0.104 * \text{environmental knowledge} + \zeta_1$ ; 2) behaviors of urban farming =  $0.502 * \text{knowledge of urban farming} + 0.037 * \text{The attitude of green environment} + 0.018 * \text{environmental knowledge} + \zeta_2$ .

The proposed model is the goodness of fit model and can be used to describe the relation between the influence of the variable constituent (Table 3).

The knowledge level about urban farming is influential directly significant to development attitude of urban farming with contribution 26.52%.. It means that high or low the development attitude of urban farming can be influenced by the knowledge level of communities about urban farming. Therefore, to optimize the behavior of the development of urban farming needs to do the improvement of knowledge on urban farming for three aspects (facts, concepts, and procedures). These results are supported the theoretical framework of the model with environmental behavior responsible by the Hinnes [8].

Furthermore, regarding the environmental know-ledge, motivation development of urban farming and subjective norms indicate the indirect influence to the behavior of the development of urban farming. The attitude as intervening variables of the environment can have an intensity and positive or negative direction. The change of attitude toward a particular object can occur due to acquired additional information or knowledge about the object as well as the pressure from his social group. It emphasized that the attitude toward the behavior of the view of a person to have an assessment of the support or not support the behavior in question [9].

The environmental attitude become an intervening variables from the motivation of development the urban farming and subjective norms toward to the formation of behavior development of urban farming. The motivation of the development of urban farming causally become the prime mover factor being directly against It can be seen from its contributions of 84.46% attitude towards the environment. The motivation is internal and mental condition of the human form of existence of the desires, expectations, and needs that drive individuals to behave towards the object at hand [10].

#### IV. CONCLUSION

The citizen have high category of knowledge about urban farming. The potential of agricultural knowledge becomes a driving force in the development of urban farming in a sustainable way. Knowledge of urban farming is an important role to increase the motivation of of urban farming, adherence to norms of behaviour and the formation of subjective, the development of urban farming.

Environmental attitudes become an intervening variable from the motivation of the development of urban farming and subjective norms toward to the formation of behavior development of urban farming. The increasing motivation, as well as optimization of the role of subjective norms in society, becomes an important variable to form a positive attitude towards the environment leading to improved behavior of the development of urban farming.

#### References

[1] M. Leźnicki and A. Lewandowska, 'Implementation of sustainable

development on the example of the concept of eco-city', *Ecol. Quest.*, vol. 19, pp. 91–96, 2014.

- [2] A. Zezza and L. Tasciotti, 'Urban agriculture, poverty, and food security: empirical evidence from a sample of developing countries', *Food Policy*, vol. 35, no. 4, pp. 265–273, 2010.
- [3] S. T. Lovell, 'Multifunctional urban agriculture for sustainable land use planning in the United States', *Sustainability*, vol. 2, no. 8, pp. 2499–2522, 2010.
- [4] A. Brock, 'Room to grow: Participatory landscapes and urban agriculture at NYU', *New York New York Univ.*, 2008.
- [5] K. Specht *et al.*, 'Urban agriculture of the future: an overview of sustainability aspects of food production in and on buildings', *Agric. Human Values*, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 33–51, 2014.
- [6] H. Grover and S. Wahee, 'SUSTAINABILITY THROUGH URBAN FARMING: A CASE STUDY ON PRIYANKA AMAR SHAH-WOMAN ECOPRENEUR', *Int. J. Retail. Rural Bus. Perspect.*, vol. 2, no. 3, p. 600, 2013.
- [7] A. N. Imran, N. P. Mulyadi, B. Rauf, and G. D. Dirawan, 'THE ENVIRONMENTAL BEHAVIOR OF COMMUNITY AT UPSTREAM MAROS CATCHMENT AREA', *Man India*, vol. 96, no. 9, pp. 3255–3266.
- [8] H. R. Hungerford and T. L. Volk, 'Changing learner behavior through environmental education', *J. Environ. Educ.*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 8–21, 1990.
- [9] I. Ajzen, 'The theory of planned behaviour: reactions and reflections'. Taylor & Francis, 2011.
- [10] S. P. Robbins and T. A. Judge, 'Perilaku Organisasi Edisi 16', 2015.