Abstract—The anonymous crowdsourcing testers determine the quality of tests, and the low matching degree between testers and tasks reduce testers' enthusiasm. To match the recommended testers with tasks, a two phase recommendation method based on Top-K algorithm was proposed. Category was introduced to reduce time complexity of Top-K algorithm. By classifying the tasks and calculating the category matching scores, the testers were most suitable for the category of the task were obtained. After calculating the similarity between tester portrayal and tasks, the top K testers were recommended from selected categories. Experiment shows that the proposed Top-K-Worker algorithm can greatly improve the matching degree between testers and the recommended task.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Mobile Application crowdsourcing testing is a distributed problem solution that outsources mobile application test tasks to anonymous network users in a voluntary way[1]. Compared with the traditional test methods, crowdsourcing test has freedom, high innovation, low cost and other advantages.[2] However, due to the lack of effective crowdsourcing testers recommendation method, the task publishers often select testers directly from the massive applicants. The matching degree between tasks and testers is low. Not only does the problem affects the test efficiency and quality, but also reduce testers’ enthusiasm.

The research on the recommendation of mobile application crowdsourcing testers is also scarce, and the research related to personalized recommendation is mainly about collaborative filtering and content filtering.

Collaborative filtering is the most successful and popular recommendation method currently. [3]By analyzing the correlation between the users and the tasks, the method can determine the hidden relation between the users and the tasks. The significant advantage of collaborative filtering is that it is of high accuracy. However, the biggest problem with this approach is the cold start problem because it relies on the historical information, which is not available to new users or tasks.[4] Another significant problem with this approach is the high time complexity generated by the combination of a large number of users and tasks. Therefore, this method can not be implemented in principle.

Content filtering is one of the most basic methods in information filtering, which is usually implemented by means of probability statistics and machine learning.[5] But the method is mainly applicable to the recommendation of learning resources, and content filtering can’t select high-quality resources.

To solve these problems, the paper studies personalized recommendation algorithm of crowdsourcing testers. First, an improvement on Top-K algorithm was proposed by introducing category. By calculating the category matching score, we can find the testers who are most suitable for the category. By computing the similarity between tester portrayal and tasks, top K testers who is most suitable for the task is recommended to the crowdsourcing task publisher.

II. TESTER RECOMMENDATION ALGORITHM FOR MOBILE APPLICATION CROWDSOURCING TESTING

Top-K algorithm is a real-time recommendation algorithm proposed by Mejdl Safran[6]. The algorithm predicts the user's preferences by setting the weights of the user's different attributes[7], returning the previous K results based on the user's preferences[8]. Because the algorithm can extract useful information from a large amount of data, it has been widely used in many fields such as e-commerce, search engines and so on. The paper will study and improve Top-K algorithm, and propose a method for task recommendation.

A. Top-K Recommendation Algorithm Based on Category

In the application scenario of Top-K algorithm, there are a lot of Crowdsourcing tasks and testers data. An effective intermediate mechanism is introducing category to avoid a
large-scale operation. Category acts as an intermediary mechanism between crowdsourcing and task. Depending on the skills required, tasks can be put into different categories, and testers can be associated with a variety of categories based on their own features, historical task information. The crowdsourcing task can be recommended as a limited 1-K match between testers and tasks. The data structure is shown in Figure 1.

\[ S_{ij} = AR_{ij} \times TSP_{ij} \times ATRS_{ij} \times AWBN_{ij} \]  

(1)

Acceptance rate \( AR_{ij} \) is defined as the ratio of tasks number accepted by tester \( W_j \) in the category \( c_i \) to the total number of accepted tasks of \( W_j \):

\[ AR_{ij} = \frac{AT_{ij}}{ACCT_{ij}} \]  

(2)

Task completion rate \( SP_{ij} \) is defined as the ratio of completed tasks by tester \( W_j \) in the category \( c_i \) to the total number of completed tasks of \( W_j \):

\[ TSP_{ij} = \frac{CT_{ij}}{FT_{ij}} \]  

(3)

The satisfaction degree is divided into four grades: very satisfied, satisfied, not very satisfied, not satisfied. The definition of customer satisfaction (Customer Satisfaction, Rating, CSR) is \( CSR = csr_n \), where \( csr_1 = 5 \), \( csr_2 = 4 \), \( csr_3 = 3 \), \( csr_4 = 0 \). The test average satisfaction of tester \( W_j \) in category \( c_i \) is defined as:

\[ ATRS_{ij} = \frac{n \sum_{n=1}^{n} resul_{ln} \times csr_n}{n \sum_{n=1}^{n} resul_{ln}} \]  

(4)

The average weighted Bug number is used to describe the severity of the Bug found by tester \( W_j \) in category \( c_i \). The influence of Bug can be classified into different levels: especially important, important, general important, unimportant, not Bug. The Bug severity class (Bug, Severity, Level, BSL) is defined as \( BSL = bsl_n \), \( j_n = 1,...,5 \), where \( bsl_1 = 5 \), \( bsl_2 = 4 \), \( bsl_3 = 3 \), \( bsl_4 = 2 \), \( bsl_5 = 1 \), \( bsl_6 = 0 \). Then the average weighted Bug numbers can be defined as:

\[ AWBN_{ij} = \frac{n \sum_{n=1}^{n} bsl_n \times bug_n}{n \sum_{n=1}^{n} bug_n} \]  

(5)

B. Similarity between Tester Portrayal and Tasks

The above can only screen out the testers which is most suitable for the specified task type. So it is important to consider how to recommend the most suitable testers, and the introduction of Cosine similarity can solve the problem.

Cosine similarity is a commonly used similarity measurement, especially for high-dimensional spaces[9]. The Cosine similarity is more applicable than Euclidean distance when the dimensions of different attribute values are inconsistent[10]. The range of Cosine similarity is \([-1,1]\], and the bigger the result is, the higher the similarity is[11]. The Cosine similarity between \( a = [a_1...a_n] \) and \( b = [b_1...b_n] \) are:

\[ similarity(a,b) = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k \times b_k}{\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k^2} \times \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k^2}} \]  

(6)

Similarity between tester portrayal and tasks can be defined as:

Figure1. Data structure of Top-K algorithm based on category

C→T(category-task) is introduced as an array of many categories, each category \( c_i \) contains a large number of tasks, the data structure is shown in Figure 1(a). Figure 1(B) shows a worker \( W_j \) in \( W→C \) (worker-category) points to many categories. However, it is not enough to get the \( W→C \) data structure because \( W→C \) only represents the relationship from the testers to categories. Additional data structures are needed to match the appropriate categories with the appointed testers, as is shown in Figure 1(C).
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\[ S_{ij} = AR_{ij} \times TSP_{ij} \times ATRS_{ij} \times AWBN_{ij} \]  

(1)

Acceptance rate \( AR_{ij} \) is defined as the ratio of tasks number accepted by tester \( W_j \) in the category \( c_i \) to the total number of accepted tasks of \( W_j \):

\[ AR_{ij} = \frac{AT_{ij}}{ACCT_{ij}} \]  

(2)

Task completion rate \( SP_{ij} \) is defined as the ratio of completed tasks by tester \( W_j \) in the category \( c_i \) to the total number of completed tasks of \( W_j \):

\[ TSP_{ij} = \frac{CT_{ij}}{FT_{ij}} \]  

(3)

The satisfaction degree is divided into four grades: very satisfied, satisfied, not very satisfied, not satisfied. The definition of customer satisfaction (Customer Satisfaction, Rating, CSR) is \( CSR = csr_n \), where \( csr_1 = 5 \), \( csr_2 = 4 \), \( csr_3 = 3 \), \( csr_4 = 0 \). The test average satisfaction of tester \( W_j \) in category \( c_i \) is defined as:

\[ ATRS_{ij} = \frac{n \sum_{n=1}^{n} resul_{ln} \times csr_n}{n \sum_{n=1}^{n} resul_{ln}} \]  

(4)

The average weighted Bug number is used to describe the severity of the Bug found by tester \( W_j \) in category \( c_i \). The influence of Bug can be classified into different levels: especially important, important, general important, unimportant, not Bug. The Bug severity class (Bug, Severity, Level, BSL) is defined as \( BSL = bsl_n \), \( j_n = 1,...,5 \), where \( bsl_1 = 5 \), \( bsl_2 = 4 \), \( bsl_3 = 3 \), \( bsl_4 = 2 \), \( bsl_5 = 1 \), \( bsl_6 = 0 \). Then the average weighted Bug numbers can be defined as:

\[ AWBN_{ij} = \frac{n \sum_{n=1}^{n} bsl_n \times bug_n}{n \sum_{n=1}^{n} bug_n} \]  

(5)

B. Similarity between Tester Portrayal and Tasks

The above can only screen out the testers which is most suitable for the specified task type. So it is important to consider how to recommend the most suitable testers, and the introduction of Cosine similarity can solve the problem.

Cosine similarity is a commonly used similarity measurement, especially for high-dimensional spaces[9]. The Cosine similarity is more applicable than Euclidean distance when the dimensions of different attribute values are inconsistent[10]. The range of Cosine similarity is \([-1,1]\], and the bigger the result is, the higher the similarity is[11]. The Cosine similarity between \( a = [a_1...a_n] \) and \( b = [b_1...b_n] \) are:

\[ similarity(a,b) = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k \times b_k}{\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k^2} \times \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k^2}} \]  

(6)

Similarity between tester portrayal and tasks can be defined as:
indicates is the collection of requirements of tasks. is the collection of test, response speed presents the position of .

\[
similarity(p_i, r) = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{h} p_{ik} \times r_{ik}}{\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{h} p_{ik}^2} \times \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{h} r_{ik}^2}}
\]  

(7)

Where tester portrayal \( p_i \) is the collection of test experience \( p_{ij} \), number of certificates \( p_2 \), response speed \( p_3 \); \( r_i \) is the collection of requirements of tasks.

C. Top-K-Worker Recommendation Algorithm

For published tasks, the Top-K-Worker algorithm recommend the most appropriate and testers to the customer. The Top-K-Worker algorithm is shown in figure 2. The algorithm first obtains the categories to which the publishing task belongs. Then the matching score was calculated and get a list of the 2K testers that match the task's type. Then, by calculating similarity between tester portrayal and tasks, the 2K name is further sorted by calculating Similarity between tester portrayal and tasks. At last, we can get a list of top K testers.

input:

- C→Task: category-task data structure
- Worker→C: worker-category data structure
- index: the serial number of testers searching for the task
- k: the number of recommended tasks

output:

- L: the first K testers recommended for the task

1. Initializing output list L
2. Get the category which the publication task belongs
3. for i=1 to AllTestersnumber(n)
4. calculate matching score \( S_{ij} \)
5. All testers are arranged in descending order according to the matching score \( S_{ij} \)
6. List←Put the top 2K testers in list L
7. for j=1 to testersnumber(List)
8. Arrange all tasks in descending order according to portrait task similarity
9. \( L \leftarrow \) similarity between tester portrayal and tasks
10. end
11. end

Figure 2. the Top-K-Worker algorithm

It is possible that the recommended K testers are unable to accept tasks for any special reasons. The Top-K-Worker algorithm solves this problem by using a list of testers stored in the Cindex→Tester. The algorithm periodically adds K values until one of the recommended testers receives the task. At the same time, the list of recommendation retains the previously recommended testers, which provides opportunities to score higher score testers, because their status may change at any time, rather than simply recommend alternative testers.

The Top-K-Worker algorithm requires \( O(\alpha + k) \) to generate recommendations for appointed tester, where \( \alpha \) is the number of task categories, and K is the number of testers to be recommend. Obviously, the time complexity of the Top-K-Worker algorithm is very low. No matter how big the data size is, the algorithm still works.

D. Data Update and Cold Start

When testers complete the task, match score \( S_{ij} \) defined by formula (1) and similarity between tester portrayal and tasks defined by the formula (7) should be updated, which will affect the sort of testers. However, it would be time-consuming if you update these scores and arrays every time the testers complete the tasks. Therefore, we assume that testers complete a task, the impact on the testers' matching score is negligible, and these scores and arrays can be updated periodically.

Cold start is a difficult for recommendation system. New testers face cold start problems, which means that these testers don't have matching scores or similarity between tester portrayal and tasks, and these new testers will never be recommended. To solve the problem, we can assume that the mobile application Crowdsourcing test system requires the new testers to select some preference at the time of registration. Once the new testers have completed a certain number of tasks, the formula (1) and (7) is used to calculate the matching degree between the tester and the tasks.

III. EXPERIMENTAL VERIFICATION

A. Experimental Data

In this paper, we access experimental data from the mobile application crowdsourcing test website Testin by crawling method. The paper selects data from March to April, the final experimental data is shown in table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data set</th>
<th>Testers number</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Tasks available</th>
<th>Tasks finished</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Testin</td>
<td>1798</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3612</td>
<td>9865</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Evaluation Index

The recommendation system commonly used evaluation criteria NDCG to divide correlation into several levels. CG (cumulative gain) is calculated as follows:

\[
CG_p = \sum_{i=1}^{p} rel_i
\]  

(8)

Where \( i \) presents position, \( p \) presents the position of the task in the recommended result list, \( rel \) indicates the graded relevance of the task.
It would be more reasonable to rank more relevant results in the front, whereas CG would not consider their relevance. Therefore, DCG (Discounted Cumulative Gain) is introduced.

\[
DCG_p = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{2^{rel_i} - 1}{\log_2 (i+1)}
\]  

Although DCG considers the location factor, it does not consider that the sorting position is more advanced, the correlation degree has more influence on the quality of sorting. In addition, another shortcoming of DCG is that the number of recommended entries returned by different recommendations is different. Therefore, NDCG (Normalized DCG) is introduced to solve these problems.

\[
NDCG_p = \frac{DCG_p}{IDCG_p}
\]

\[
IDCG_p = \sum_{i=1}^{[REF]} \frac{2^{rel_i} - 1}{\log_2 (i+1)}
\]

Where IDCGP is maximum value in the DCG value after sorting the recommended tasks.

C. Experimental Results and Analysis

In order to accurately and objectively evaluate crowdsourcing task recommendation algorithm, the experiment compares the original data from existing crowdsourcing task distribution and the proposed algorithm matching degree were compared. They use the same data set and parameter settings in the experiments.

Through the statistics of each data set of tasks, applications and the types of crowdsourcing testers, we select 15 categories as experimental parameters, as shown in table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE II. EXPERIMENTAL PARAMETER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bug exploration, use case execution, and use case design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social communication, health care, shopping preferences, financial management, office, business, travel, audio-visual, news, education,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crowdsourcing testers’ attributes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupation, geography, and testing experience</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition, another important parameter testers’ number n is obtained from the task information. The range of n is from 1 to 60, and can be divided into 1-10,10-30,30-60 three intervals, which are represented by @1, @10 and @30 respectively.

The data acquisition and preprocessing are implemented in Python language. nDCG@1, nDCG@10 and nDCG@30 were used to evaluate the experimental results. The higher the score is, the better the accuracy is. The experiment results is shown in the table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE III. EXPERIMENT RESULTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>nDCG@1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Original data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top-K-Worker Growth proportion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the above experimental results, the matching degree between tasks and testers in original data is low. The matching degree of Top-K-Worker algorithm is higher than the original data, which proves the accuracy of the Top-K-Worker algorithm.

IV. CONCLUSION

The paper explores and improves the Top-K algorithm. The proposed Top-K-Worker algorithm has the following characteristics: (1) Introducing task categories as intermediate mechanism, which can effectively avoid matching sparse matrix and large scale calculating. (2) Cosine similarity is used to calculate task similarity, which is more applicable than Euclidean distance when the dimensions of different attribute values are inconsistent. (3) Considering the problem of data updating and cold start, the algorithm is practical.

We will further improve the Top-K-Worker algorithm by considering the solution that occurs in parallel when sorting testers. So that the match between the testers and recommended tasks is closer, and the algorithm is more practical.
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