Study on Corrosion Resistance of New Type of Magnesium Phosphate Cement
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**Abstract.** Magnesium phosphate cement blended with Portland cement were cured in MgCl₂, Na₂SO₄, NaCl, HCl and NaOH solutions, and the compressive, flexural strength and microstructure were approached and their corrosion resistance were studied. The results show that the corrosion resistance of magnesium phosphate cement (MPC) is good, but poor in the HCl solution and the worst in NaOH solution. In HCl and NaOH environment, the corrosion retention of the Portland cement modified phosphate cement mortar (PCMPC) was 80.2%, 74.8%, and 73.4% and 71.6% for the MPC respectively. In general, the corrosion resistance of the PCMPC was better than that of the MPC. SEM results show that the chemical solution environment will destroy the flocculation gel structure formed by the hydration of magnesium phosphate cement, leading to porous structure and lower density and thus deterioration of the corrosion resistance of magnesium phosphate cement mortar.

**Introduction**

Magnesium phosphate cement (MPC) is usually composed of magnesium oxide, phosphate and retarder adding with a certain ratio of water, forming a high degree of crystallization of the material [1]. MPC, as a new type of cement material, has the advantages of short setting time, high early strength, potential to application at negative temperature, good adhesion, good wear resistance and good frost resistance, but it is proved that the corrosion resistance of magnesium phosphate cement can not meet the construction requirements [2].

Recently, some scholars at home and abroad have begun to study the effect of mineral admixtures on the performance of MPC cement. Fly ash can not only play active effect, micro-aggregate effect and morphological effect, but also adsorption effect on MPC [3]; proper amount of fly ash not only reduces the cost of MPC, but also improves the stability of the specimens cured in acid and alkali salt solution [4]. Portland cement can improve the late strength of magnesium phosphate cement [5]. However, it is necessary to study the effect of different admixtures on the corrosion resistance of MPC and its mechanism; Studying the influence of different admixtures on the corrosion resistance of MPC is also necessary.

In this paper, the effects of fly ash and common Portland cement on the corrosion resistance of MPC were studied. The mechanism of corrosion resistance of acid and alkali salt was analyzed from the microstructure, and putting forward the method to improve the corrosion resistance of MPC, which provides the theoretical basis for the engineering application of Magnesium Phosphate Cement.

**Experimental**

**Test raw materials.** The re-burned MgO (M) is pure industrial grade, produced by Haicheng Qianyuan Refactories Co., Ltd. in Liaoning Province. The specific chemical composition is shown in Table 1; Potassium dihydrogen phosphate (KH₂PO₄, abbreviated as P) is an industrial pure grade, produced by Tianjin Binhai welfare chemical plant, with net content of 98.56%; In this paper, retarder is borax, chemical formula: Na₂B₄O₇ • 10H₂O, chemical pure, abbreviated as B, the content of not less than 99.5%; Portland cement, used in this article is 42.5 Portland cement, 42.5 Portland cement not only has...
good performance, low price, and widely distributing, and is with hardening and rapid condensation, high strength, good resistance to freeze-thaw cycle, good durability and so on. The cement used in this test was 42.5 Portland cement produced by Dalian Odoca Cement Co., Ltd. The main chemical composition of the cement is shown in Table 2; Fly ash, the main chemical composition of the used fly ash is shown in Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oxide</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>Al₂O₃</th>
<th>Fe₂O₃</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>content/%</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>Al₂O₃</th>
<th>Fe₂O₃</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>SO₃</th>
<th>R₂O</th>
<th>Loss of ignition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21.72</td>
<td>5.81</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>62.41</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Preparation and testing of samples

Preparation of the sample. Refer to the preliminary basic test, the optimal mix ratio was chosen to the experiment. MPC mortar: magnesium phosphate ratio of 4:1, borax content of 16%, fly ash content of 60%, mortar water and solid ratio of 0.18, cement-sand ratio 1:1; PCMPC mortar: The ratio of magnesium to phosphorus is 4:1, the content of borax is 16%, the content of fly ash is 60%, the ratio of cement to water is 0.22, the ratio of cement to sand is 1:1, the content of Portland cement is 30%.

Determination of corrosion resistance. Magnesium phosphate cement with size of 40 mm × 40 mm × 160 mm was demolded and then maintained at room temperature for 7 days, at a temperature of (20 ± 2) °C and a relative humidity of 70%. After the initial weight was measured, the specified solution was bubbled into the designated solution period. And then with reference to GB / T17671-1999 "cement mortar strength test method" strength testing was conducted.

Evaluation indicators. In this experiment, the strength loss was used to evaluate the corrosion resistance of magnesium phosphate cement. And the strength retention rate K (accurate to 0.01) is proposed as the index of corrosion resistance of MPC specimen according to the strength loss. The strength retention rate K is defined as follows:

\[ K_t = \frac{f}{F} \times 100\% \]

Kₜ - MPC specimen t days of strength retention rate;
F - The unconfined compressive strength of MPC specimen, MPa;
f - Unconfined compressive strength of natural maintenance of MPC specimen, MPa.

SEM scanning electron microscopy analysis. Using the S-3400N scanning electron microscope produced by HITACHI Company in Germany, in the natural conservation of 7 days conditions, the internal microstructure of the magnesium phosphate cement sample immersed in 28 days under natural condition was analyzed by SEM scanning electron microscopy.
Results and analysis

Effect of compressive strength

Figure 3.1 MPC mortar and composite MPC mortar in acid and alkali salt solution after immersion strength retention rate

Strength of the MPC mortar and PCMPC in acid and alkali salt solution were presented in Figure 3.1, we can see from the figure, different solution environment, magnesium phosphate cement mortar strength retention rate is different. MPC mortar and MPC composite Portland cement in acid and alkali salt solution after immersion pressure strength retention rate changes in Figure 3.1. We can see from figure 3.1 that, under different solution environment, magnesium phosphate cement mortar strength retention rate is different. The retention rates of compressive strength of the two kinds of cement mortars in HCl, NaOH, NaCl and Na\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} solutions are relatively low, especially in HCl and NaOH environments, which is only 73.4%, 71.6% and 80.2%, 74.8%. In the MgCl\textsubscript{2} solution, the compressive strength retention of the two mortars is higher than that in the above four solutions.

The reason for the above situation may be that the main hydration product of the MPC cement slurry is eroded, resulting in the loose structure of the cement slurry, which affects the durability of the magnesium phosphate cement. The amount of k-struvite produced is related to the pH of the solution, and only when the pH of the solution is moderate, the pH is usually 8.5. After the conservation of 7 days, most of the MPC cement hydration has been completed.

So the environment of HCl and NaOH destroyed a small amount of non-hydrated raw materials to produce the optimal pH value of struvite, resulting in reducing production of k-struvite, the vast majority of the already generated struvite due to strong acid and alkali dissolution, resulting in loosing MPC structure and lower strength. In NaCl, Na\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} and MgCl\textsubscript{2} solution, the strength loss is less than that in HCl and NaOH solution, and the pH environment of guanaceans is generally between 7.5 and 9.5. Since the pH value of these three solutions is in this range. There is little effect on the precipitation of struvite, and the structure is denser than that of HCl and NaOH solution.

Effect of flexural strength

Figure 3.2 MPC mortar and MPC composite mortar in acid and alkali salt solution after immersion strength retention rate changes
Strength retention rate of the MPC mortar and PCMPC in acid and alkali salt solution are shown in Figure 3.2. From the data structure, the flexural strength retention rate of the two magnesium phosphate cement mortars is generally lower than its compressive strength retention rate. From Figure 3.2 we can see that under different solution environment, flexural strength retention of MPC(14d and 28d) is different, this is consistent with the data characteristics of MPC mortar compressive strength retention rate, the retention rate of the two cement mortars at 14d and 28d in the HCl, NaOH, NaCl and Na2SO4 environments are relatively low. In the HCl, NaOH environment, the retention retention rates of the two magnesium phosphate cement mortars were only 78.6%, 76.8% and 73.2% and 71.3%, respectively. And the mortar in the MgCl2 solution has higher flexural strength retention than the other four solutions. In the same way, we also found that MPC mortar and PCMPC mortar, in different solution environment for comparison, MPC composite Portland cement mortar strength retention rate is slightly better than MPC mortar strength retention rate. Especially in the tap water and strong acid, alkali solution, MPC composite mortar is better than MPC mortar. The reason for this phenomenon may be due to the hydration product of Portland cement in composite magnesium phosphate cement mortar and the hydration product of magnesium phosphate cement, which is further caused by the internal structure of slurry.

**Microstructure analysis**

As can be seen from Figure 3.3, magnesium phosphate cement mortar in Na2SO4 solution environment, the erosion of SO42- is smaller, but under the action of water, a small part of the hydration of the formation of flocculent gel. MgCl2 solution on the magnesium phosphate cement mortar less erosion, due to the existence of free Mg2+ ions in the environment, inhibited the hydration gel structure of the dissolved, the overall structure of dense. Magnesium phosphate cement mortar is severely eroded in HCl solution, and most of the hydrated guanillocene gel is destroyed by acid, so that the whole magnesium phosphate cement system has a loose porous structure, while the unhydrated MgO particles are exposed to erosion in the environment, preventing the further hydration. Magnesium phosphate cement mortar is most eroded in NaOH solution. Under strong alkaline environment, the solution not only destroys the flocculated gel formed by hydration, but also directly destroys the exposed fly ash particles.

**Figure 3.3** Hydrated microscopic image of magnesium phosphate cement mortar in five chemical solutions soaked for 28 days

As can be seen from Figure 3.4, after soaking in HCl and NaOH solution, the slurry inside of the PCMPC mortar owned many cracks, but better than MPC mortar in HCl and NaOH solution soaking. Indicating that the composite magnesium phosphate cement mortar resistance to strong acid and alkali is better than pure magnesium phosphate cement, after adding silicate cement hydrate calcium silicate and calcium hydroxide effectively control the pH value of magnesium phosphate cement hydration environment, it is conducive to the formation of MKP, but also to prevent the strong acid and alkali of
magnesium phosphate hydration products dissolved, effectively improving the chemical resistance of cement mortar. PCMPC mortar in Na$_2$SO$_4$, MgCl$_2$ and NaCl solution got less number of pores. The pores of the cement slurry are less than the cement slurry in the HCl and NaOH solutions, which are more resistant to chemical and less aggressive to SO$_4$$^{2-}$. PCMPC mortar structure is more compact, resistant to MgCl$_2$ and NaCl solution corrosion is better.

Conclusions

MPC mortar and PCMPC cement mortar were cured in the five chemical solutions compression and bending strength retention rate were different. Two of the cement mortar in HCl and NaOH solution strength retention rate is only 80% and 70%. The strength retention rate of the two kinds of cement mortar in Na$_2$SO$_4$ and NaCl solution are relatively good, and the retention rate of the two kinds of cement mortar in MgCl$_2$ solution is about 90%, which indicates that the corrosion resistance of MPC is better. In general, the corrosion resistance of PCMPC mortar is better than that of MPC mortar.

Chemical solution reduces the strength of MPC mortar, which mainly destructs magnesium phosphate cement hydrated formation of struvite flocculent gel structure, reduce the density, resulting in decreased MPC strength. Hydrated calcium silicate formed in PCMPC prevents the chemical solution of MKP dissolution, while regulating the pH of MPC hydration environment, and promoting the formation of hydrated product MKP. Hydrated calcium silicate and other silicate cement hydration products and MKP magnesium phosphate cement hydration products interlock together, so that the PCMPC mortar is with densestructure and good corrosion resistance.
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