Research on Interface bond strength and bond-slip constitutive models for rectangular CFST columns
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Abstract. More recent studies have suggested that parameters such as cross-section type, cross-sectional dimensions, interface length, concrete compressive strength, slenderness ratio, steel strength, roughness of interface, concrete casting style, and concrete curing conditions potentially have some influence on the value of the bond strength. In this paper, a brief review of previous research and literature that are pertinent to the present study of the interface bond strength and \(\tau\)-S constitutive models are presented first. According to the previous laboratory testing results, three equations are designed to calculated are average elastic limit bond strength, average ultimate bond strength and average post-peak residual bond strength, respectively. Moreover, a new simplified four-part model has been proposed to describe the \(\tau\)-S response.

1. Introduction

The steel-concrete interface bond stress is the force being on the interface between the steel tube and the concrete core. It is the guarantee to assure the concrete and steel tube to work together. In the beam-column joint area, shearing force from the beam translates to the steel tube of the column through the beam-to-column connection. Then, it is gradually translated into the core concrete through the steel-concrete interface bond stress. The value of interface bond strength can influence the load transfer to a certain extent and it is the key point for the individual differences of different calculation theories. Parameters such as cross-section type, cross-sectional dimensions, interface length, concrete compressive strength, slenderness ratio, steel strength, roughness of interface, concrete casting style, and concrete curing conditions potentially have some influence on the value of the bond strength [1-6]. Previous research on the bond strength versus slip (\(\tau\)-S) constitutive model is relatively small.

2. Previous Laboratory Testing for Interface Bond Strength

The earliest research on the interface bond strength of rectangular CFST columns was carried out by Virdi and Dowling in 1975 [1]. A push-out test programme applied to 88 circular CFT columns were conducted to investigate the value and the composition of the bond strength. It was found that the bond strength did not appear to be greatly influenced by the variation in the concrete cube strength. In 1991, push-out tests have been carried out on 36 CFST stub columns by Shakir-Khalil [7]. The tests showed that the push-out load is a variation upon or function of the shape of the steel hollow section, as well as also a variation or function of the type of shear connectors and the way in which the load is applied to the steel section. In 1993, a further experimental study on the interface condition as applied to the interface bond strength was investigated by Shakir-Khalil [6]. Six out of twelve of square CFST columns and six out of twelve circular columns were tested by means of oiling the steel-concrete interface. The results of the tests indicated that oiling the steel-concrete interface resulted in its halving the bond strength. In 1997, an experimental investigation of 20 circular CFST columns was performed by Cameron to examine the bond stress capacity and the test results were analyzed in 1999 [8]. The concrete shrinkage, which depends upon the characteristics
of the concrete, the diameter of the tube and the surface condition of the inside of the tube were regarded as the most detrimental contributing parameter to bond stress capacity. Xue [9] performed load-reversed push-out tests on 32 circle CFST columns in 1997. Each test was loaded for 4 or 5 half-cycles. Based on the first loading cycle results, an elastic-plastic type of bond stress (τ) versus slip (S) curve was proposed. From 2006 to 2008, researchers at Xi’an University of Architecture & Technology made a great effort to study the bond behavior of square and circular CFST columns [10-14]. Push-out tests on 9 circular specimens, 9 square specimens and 7 connections were carried out. The interface bond strength behavior was investigated and a different constitutive relationship, trilinear in nature, was proposed. The bond stress initially increases linearly with slip before reaching the peak point. After that, a short linear declining portion indicated that bond stress was decreasing with slip until the bond stress reached the residual bond strength. Finally, a simple horizontal portion represented the rigid slip between the steel and concrete. In BS5400 [15], a value of 0.4 MPa is to be recommended as an ideal shear stress at the steel-concrete interface of concrete filled hollow steel sections. For these previous researchers have indeed attempted to develop an empirical equation for predicting bond strength from experimental results. Chang [4] proposed an empirical equation based on the effect of pre-stress due to the use of expansive cement and compressive strength, which is similar to an existing equation proposed by Cai [16]. In addition, a formula with five influencing factors (slenderness ratio, D/t ratio, concrete strength, steel ratio, and constraining effect) was put forward by Kang [17].

Previous research has focused mainly on the bond in circular and square CFST columns. However, some evidence suggests that square CFST columns possess lower bond strengths than circular CFST columns in 2015, a total of 18 CFST specimens were tested to measure the bond strength between rectangular steel tubes and a concrete core by Qu [18]. The experimental study focused not only on the influence of factors such as concrete compressive strength, steel strength, interface length and cross-sectional proportions on the load-carrying capacity, but also assessed the adverse effect of lubrication at the steel-concrete interface. Lubricating the steel-concrete interface produced reductions in the bond strength between about 10% -50% of their values for equivalent specimens with no lubrication. This residual bond strength is attributed to microlocking.

3. Interface bond strength and τ-S constitutive models

Considering all the test results from literature [18], the typical load-slip curve exhibits a number of important features; these are marked in Fig. 1 and listed in Table 1. For all specimens, designations starting with TCA refer to those which were lubricated (using butter) at the steel-concrete interface, whilst designations beginning with TCB had no lubrication. This is followed in the designation system with the specimen number-1 to 9. Initially linear behavior is observed up to the elastic limit load (N<sub>el</sub>), shown in Fig. 1 as point A. This is followed by a nonlinear transitional part (AB). After reaching the ultimate push-out load N<sub>u</sub> at point B, a rapidly declining portion BC appears down to a load N<sub>E</sub> before the relatively stable residual strength is reached. The test was stopped at point E. After point C, although the load still varies with increasing slip, the average change is small and can be neglected. Most curves are of this type, especially those of Group TCB where no lubrication was used. Based on the analysis of the slip at point C, it can be concluded that the average slip at point C is 2.82S<sub>u</sub> for group TCA and 2.86S<sub>u</sub> for Group TCB, respectively. According to this, it can be assumed that the load at point D, where the slip is 3S<sub>u</sub>, can be considered as the residual push-out load.
Generally, the average bond stress is adopted to represent interface bond strength. It is determined from:

$$\tau = \frac{N}{CL_x}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $N$ = the push-out load value; $C$ = the perimeter of the concrete section in contact with the
In this study three definitions of bond strength are used to investigate the bond behavior of the specimens. These are average elastic limit bond strength $\tau_e$, average ultimate bond strength $\tau_u$ and average post-peak residual bond strength $\tau_{3S_u}$, respectively. They are defined by:

\[
\tau_e = \frac{N_e}{(2(B-2t)+2(D-2t))L_i} \\
\tau_u = \frac{N_u}{(2(B-2t)+2(D-2t))L_i} \\
\tau_{3S_u} = \frac{N_{3S_u}}{(2(B-2t)+2(D-2t))L_i}
\]

where $N_e$ is the elastic limit interface bearing capacity, which is shown in Fig. 1 as point A, $N_u$ is the ultimate interface bearing capacity, which is shown in Fig. 1 as point B, $N_{3S_u}$ is the post-peak residual interface bearing capacity, which is shown in Fig. 1 as point D ($N_r = N_{3S_u}$), B is the length of the steel wide side, D is the length of the steel deep side, $t$ is the thickness of the steel tube, and $L_i$ is the length of the steel-concrete interface.

Using the test results, values of $\tau_e$, $\tau_u$, and $\tau_{3S_u}$ were calculated for each specimen; these are shown in Table 1. The average value of $\tau_u$ in the normal condition (Group TCA) was 0.29MPa, while for the lubricated specimens (Group TCB), this value was 0.08MPa. This clearly indicates that the lubrication has adverse effect on bond strength.

Interface bond strength can be considered as the combination of three different mechanisms: chemical adhesion, microlocking and macrolocking. The existence and magnitude of each mechanism changes as the relative slip between the concrete and the steel develops. Therefore, it is necessary to examine the bond strength versus slip curve to determine the development of each mechanism. As shown in literature [18], the common features of all the load-slip curves are an elastic stage and a transitional portion before the bond strength reached the peak point. The differences occur after the first peak point (ultimate bond stress). For the present tests, only TCB9 exhibited an increasing branch after the first peak point (Type3), whereas previous studies [1], have shown that most bond stress-slip curves exhibited this feature. This may be due to differences in the degree of irregularity of the steel inner surface influencing the development of the interface bond strength.

Based on the above analysis, a new four-part model is proposed, as shown in Fig. 2. The model utilizes key points from the measured curves (given in Table 1), connected by linear portions. It has an initial elastic portion until the bond stress reaches the elastic bond strength. Next, the transitional portion of the $\tau$-$S$ curve is represented with a second linear portion. After the bond stress reaches the first clear peak, the load decreases or increases linearly until the slip reaches a value of $3S_u$; the slope of this part is determined by the magnitudes of $N_u$ and $\tau_{3S_u}$. Beyond this point, the relationship between $\tau_u$ and $S$ is such that the bond stress does not change with increasing slip. Comparisons between the simplified $\tau$-$S$ constitutive model and the experimental $\tau$-$S$ curves are shown in Fig. 3. The simplified model may be seen generally to provide a reasonable representation of the measured curves.
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4. Conclusion

Previous research has focused mainly on the bond in circular and square CFST columns. However, some evidence suggests that square CFST columns possess lower bond strengths than circular CFST columns. In this paper, a series of previous push-out tests were cited to investigate the bond strength and τ-S model for Rectangular CFST columns. Based on the previous laboratory testing
results[18], three equations are designed to calculated are average elastic limit bond strength $\tau_e$, average ultimate bond strength $\tau_u$ and average post-peak residual bond strength $\tau_{3\mu}$, respectively. Moreover, a new simplified four-part model has been proposed to describe the $\tau$-$S$ response. The simplified model may be seen generally to provide a reasonable representation of the measured curves. Although the bond strength equations are given in this study, the calculated data is based on the measured data, it is necessary to do further research on the curve fitting prediction with only both geometrical parameters and material parameters of rectangular CFST columns.
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