THE SITUATIONAL BEHAVIOR ORIENTATION OF INSTRUCTIONAL SUPERVISION: A MULTISITE STUDY
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Abstract: This study was aimed at disclosing the instructional behavior orientation at public middle schools in Blitar, East Java, Indonesia. The focuses of this study were: (1) design of the instructional supervision behavior orientation, (2) implementation of the instructional supervision behavior orientation, and (3) outcomes of the instructional behavior orientation. This research employed qualitative approach with multisite study design. Data were collected by using interview, document-tation, and obser-vation. Data were analyzed by using the combination of inter-active and modified analysis. Results of this research revealed that the instruc-tional supervision behavior orientation turned out to be the situational orientation of instructional behavior comprising 4 (four) orientation, i.e. Showing directly, Showing persuasively, Participating interactively and Trusting delegative-ly. Such orientations turned out to significantly relate to teachers’ competency and performance enhancement.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In persuit of smartening the nation life through the obligation of participating in the basic education for all Indonesian citizens, quality education has become the primary attention of policy makers and educators. The main factor influencing the quality of education has been identified as the teachers quality. Therefore, teachers professional development has been very essential. One of the professional support which has been considered successful in developing teachers’ quality is instructional supervision. Instructional supervision has become one of the types of teachers’ professional development [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [10]. Researches has shown that instructional supervision has played important roles in education. Zepepa (2007) founded that instructional supervision was ighly needed, however, it was not implemented effectively [11]. Paynes founded that teachers were highly expected to be involved in all aspects of instructional supervision by collaborating with the administrators. Kutsyruba found out that teachers highly needed having instructional supervision which fulfill their professional needs [12].

Karyono found out that instructional supervision was meaningful due to having positive relation with the teachers’ performance [13]. Factually, there was interesting phenomenon at middle schools in Blitar city, East Java Indonesia. The instructional supervision involved principals, vice principals, senior teachers, and subject matters supervisor from the municipal department of education and culture. Unique supervisory behavior orientation turned out to be obvious which relatively different from what the so-called the Glickman’s directive controlled and informational, non-directive, and collaborative. The practices likely had the elements of the so-called Hersey, Blanchard, and Johnson situational leadership [15]. There were likely four types of the instructional supervision behavior orientation applied by supervisors at middle schools in Blitar and were obviously related to the teachers’ competence and performance enhancement ass seen in the preliminary study. To disclose this phenomenon, the researchers conducted this research which specifically looking over behavior orientation of instructional supervision.

Based on the contexts, this research was aimed at disclosing the behavior orientation of instructional supervision and was formulated into the following focuses: (1) design of the instruc-tional supervision behavior orientation, (2) implementation of the instructional supervision behavior orientation, and (3) out-comes of the instructional behavior orientation. This research was expected to highly beneficial for municipal education department authority, supervisors, principals, and teachers for references of the professional reflection to innovate instructional supervision best practices and for the universities to make used of this research results for developing appropriate educational trainings and programs to enhance the quality of education through teachers’ professional development using supervision.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Instructional supervision has become important attention since 800 at which year and forward up to the 1400s, there appeared the so-called close supervision on religious and moral development in England [6]. In 1630s, the similar kinds of supervisions appeared in the New England which was aimed at controlling the moral and religious education. In 1800s, the instructional supervision moved to the so-called supervision of instruction to oversee the compliance of school operation in local school district and general supervision as well as special supervision to help education superintendent of the primary and middle schools to
ensure the classroom instruction and subject matters teaching [6].

Supervision in these years were called by Lucio and McNail as administrative inspection [16]. In 1910s to 1920s, the instructional supervision moved to supervision to lead and help teachers [17], in [6], Supervision for improvement of Instruction with visitation, observation, and teachers' rating [19], in [6]. In these era, the instructional supervisions were called to be supervision by specialists which focused on overseeing the subject matters instruction [16]. In 1920s to 30s to 60s, there appeared supervision for improvement of teaching act that was employed to improve teaching and learning activities (Burton, 1922, in [6], supervision for stimulations of instruction to stimulate teaching and learning activities [17], in [6], and scientific supervision as the tool to improve teaching and learning activities by using scientific methods [6]. In this era, Lucio and McNeil mentioned as the democratic human relation [16]. Meanwhile, Wiles and Bondi stated as the cooperative supervision [20].

In 1930s-1960s, there also appeared supervision as group processes [6] which enforced to the personality to influence group learning in the classrooms, supervision as indidenous instructional team [6] which used internal master teachers, creative supervision [6] which employed creative method, clinical supervision [21]; [22]; and [14]. Such supervisory development improved years after years up to the innovation of Glickman, Gordon, and Ross-Gordon [14] with the so-called the SuperVision as Developmental. The latter type of instructional supervision focused on the teachers’ professional development through the operational assistance using supervision which outlined the complete guides of supervisors requirements, functions, tasks, unification of target factors and outcomes. Which can be shown in the following pictures.

To effectively attain the outcomes, Glickman, Gordon, and Ross-Gordon introduced the so-called four types of Instructional supervisory behavior orientation [14]. These superisory behavior orientation included directive controlled, directive informational, non directive, and collaborative behavior orientation. The behavior continuum of these behavior tended to use responses such as listening, clarifying or explaining answers, encouraging, reflecting, presenting, problem solving, negotiating, demonstratting, directing, and reinforcing [2]. Each of the orientation has different continuum. The directive controlled and informational behavior tend to work on the part of the supervisor, the non directive behavior orientation tends to work on the part of the teachers, and the collaborative behavior orientation tend to work on the part of supervisors and teachers. These shall be managed according to the teachers condition for maximum outcomes. To be more effective Glickman advised to combined with the analysis of teachers’ commitment and teachers’ abstraction comprising teachers of quadrant 1, quadrant 2, quadrant 3, and quadrant 4 [2]. Looking at the work of using theachers’ condition and behavioral style, the researcher found out to have comparative method of approaching teachers as followers by using situational [15] by using flowers readiness and leadership styles having the so-called telling, selling, participating, and delegating for the readiness 1 readiness 2, readiness 3, and readiness 4. Both methods employed the teachers or followers development.

III. METHODS

Based on the research focuses, the researchers explored and describe natural phenomenon to disclose what happens on the instructional supervisory behavior orientation in the contexts of research which were three different middle schools in Blitar, East Java, Indonesia. This research was descriptive, meaningful, and unintentionally focused on the quantitative data. Therefore, this employed qualitative approach [23] or qualitative research [24], or descriptive qualitative study [25]. Due to having three different sites to research, this employed a multisite study [26]. The samples were taken by using purposive random sampling with snowballing techniques and consisted of district supervisors, principals, senior teachers, teachers, and students of middle schools in Blitar, East Java, Indonesia. Data were collected by using interview, documentation, and observation. The interview was a type of in depth interview.

The documentation concerned with the documentation of instructional supervision in the three sites. Whereas, the observation concerned with the descriptive, focused, and and selective observation.
Open ended with recording method was used for the interview and for the purposes of data reduction and analysis interview contact summary format was used [27]. The contact summary was also used for documentation. Besides contact summary, field notes were used for observation. Codings were also used for the purpose of data collection and data analysis. The data analysis was done by using interactive method [27], and inductive modified analysis [28]. To ensure reliability and validity, measures of credibility, dependability, confirmability, transferability, and transferability were employed by using triangulation, audit trail, thick description, and process reflection were done. The analysis consist of within site analysis and cross site analysis. The following was the research framework.
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**Figure 2**
Framework of the research design

### IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The research revealed that there were four types of instructional supervision behavior orientation applied at middle schools in Blitar. Each of the orientation had unique supervisory communication action the supervisors. Each of them was applied by analyzing the condition of teachers in terms of the seniority, willingness & motivation, and capability. Using the combination of SuperVision as development and situational leadership references, the instructional behavior orientation styles could be formulated as situational instructional super-vision behavior orientation with four types namely showing directively, showing persuasively, participating interactively, and trusting delegatively. In terms of the seniority, willingness & motivation, as well as capability, the teachers' condition was divided into four types, which were: (1) Type 1 were those who were junior and/or senior teachers having low motivation and willingness and low capability (for the senior teachers, this mostly concerned with new knowledge and technical skills required), (2) Type 2 were those who were junior and/or senior teachers having high willingness and motivation with inadequate capability, (3) Type 3 were those who were mostly senior teachers, motivated, willing, and capable with needs of guidance, and (4) Type four were those who were senior teachers highly motivated, willing, and highly capable.

These were applied in the following model. The research results also showed that the practice of situational instructional supervision behavior orientation related effectively on the: (1) increase of teachers' motivation, willingness, and commitment, (2) increase of teachers capability in terms of the competences and performances, and (3) attainment of better learning outcomes.

Looking at these results, the situational instructional behavior orientation considered the teachers' background on the seniority, motivation, willingness, and capability. These may similar with what Glickman said as commitment and abstraction [2]. However, these were different in nature and had similarity in determining the knowledge as a part of capability which was the same as abstraction. In terms of the teachers' analysis, these were likely similar with what Hersey, Blanchard, and Johnson as readiness in situational leadership; however, these were different in nature [15]. The identification of the willingness and motivation were similar to situational leadership. Meanwhile, the four types of the orientation and the communication actions were likely similar with the so called directive controlled, directive informational, non-directive, and collaborative as in the SuperVision as developmental [2]. However, these were different in nature due to the consideration were the practical techniques looking at the real situation at the schools.
V. CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

This research concluded that the instructional supervision orientation that was developed on the basis of the teachers’ seniority, willingness, motivation, and capability and using appropriate situational teachers-supervisors connection communication actions provide professional assistance that effectively related to the teachers’ increase in motivation, willingness, commitment, capability in terms of the competencies and performances as well as the attainment of the better learning outcomes.
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