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Abstract—Beijing is the capital of China and the very large economic center of the country. This has a significant impact on the modern development of the urban economy. The development of an urban economy is a complex comprehensive systematic task. An effective solution to the problems is of great importance for the economic development of both the capital region and the country as a whole. Analysis has shown changes in the ratio of the structure of the economic sectors and in the employment structure in favor of the tertiary sector of the urban economy. There have been changes in the ratio of numbers of employees in governmental and non-governmental sectors in Beijing. Beijing is still the center of attraction for labor resources. The main reason is the search for the most favorable economic conditions (employment in the labor market, higher wages, opportunities to master a new profession, to improve skills, etc.)
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I. INTRODUCTION

The study of the features of economic development in China’s largest cities is an urgent issue as in recent decades profound changes in the world economy have occurred in the context of globalization and with the direct participation of the PRC. Nearly half of the population inhabits cities and towns; this is where the main production potential of the state is located.

At present China ranks first in the world in terms of GDP at purchasing power parity (21.27 trillion US dollars, ahead of the United States (18.56 trillion US dollars) in 2016) and is among the world leaders in the aggregate macroeconomic indicators [1]. China is the world leader in the production of many industries. This country has a number of urban centers, with the potential to compete with many of the global cities of the world.

China’s major urban centers function as a segment of the network of global cities in the world. The purpose of the study is to present an integrated concept of the features of economic development in China’s largest cities and of the employment structure in sectors of the urban economy (using Beijing as an example).

Scientific and theoretical grounds for the study were papers on global cities by leading Russian and foreign researchers. The data and statistical basis of the study includes: databases of international organizations (UN, IMF, World Bank); reports of analytical and consulting agencies on issues of urban development (Global Metro Monitor, Global Urban Competitiveness Report, Global Power Cities Index, etc.); statistical yearbooks, collections of works and reports on the development of urban economies issued by the State Statistical Bureau of China; the periodical press materials available on the Internet.

In September 2015 in New York a plan of action “Transforming Our World: The Agenda for Sustainable Development for the Period up to 2030” [2] was adopted at the 70th Session of the UN General Assembly. The document highlighted 17 global targets for future international cooperation (the 11th target was Sustainable Cities and Towns). In October 2016 in Quito, Ecuador, a new Agenda for urban development was adopted at the UN Conference on Housing and Sustainable Urban Development (Habitat III) [3]. In the fall of 2016 the Global Report of UNESCO “Culture: Urban Future” (on the role of culture in sustainable urban development) was published [4].

II. CHINA’S POSITION IN THE GLOBAL ECONOMY

A. The positions of China’s largest cities in the ranking of global cities of the world

At the turn of the 1980s and 1990s a theory of global cities was formed in academic literature. A number of foreign researchers (J. Friedman, S. Sassen, et al.) expressed ideas about the specifics of development of the greatest cities in the world in terms of globalization. The cities are called global precisely because of the growth of their importance in global economy.

The amount of scientific and applied research in the field of global cities continues to grow. Currently, rankings options of global cities have been presented on a regular basis by such major international structures as The Economist (Economist Intelligence Unit), A.T. Kearney, The Mori Memorial Foundation Institute for Urban Strategies (MMF), PWC, Knight Frank and others.

It is important to note that the large cities of China according to a whole range of criteria are comparable to the largest cities in the world and some of them have been...
classified as global (Hong Kong, Beijing, Shanghai, Guangzhou, Shenzhen, etc.) (Table. 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of ranking</th>
<th>Towns total</th>
<th>Chinese towns (rank)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Global Power Cities Index, 2016</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Hong Kong (7), Shanghai (12), Beijing (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Power City Index, 2013</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Hong Kong (11), Shanghai (12), Beijing (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.T. Kearney Global Cities Index 2016</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>Hong Kong (5), Beijing (9), Shanghai (20), Guangzhou (71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The 2025 Global City Competitiveness Ranking (forecast: The Economist Intelligence Unit, 2012)</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>Hong Kong (4), Shanghai (38), Beijing (49), Shenzhen (69), Tianjin (81), Qingdao (82), Dalian and Suzhou (83), Chengdu (86), Guangzhou (89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cities of Opportunities, 2012</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Hong Kong (8), Beijing (17), Shanghai (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Urban Competitiveness 2012</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>Hong Kong (19), Shanghai (36), Beijing (55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Cities Index and Emerging Cities Outlook, 2012</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>Hong Kong (5), Beijing (14), Shanghai (21), Guangzhou (60), Shenzhen (65), Chongqing (66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global City Competitiveness Index, 2012 (The Economist Intelligence Unit)</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>Hong Kong (4-5), Beijing (39), Shanghai (37), Shenzhen (52), Guangzhou (64), Tianjin (75), Dalian (82), Chengdu (83), Suzhou (84), Chongqing (87), Qingdao (91), Hangzhou (93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Urban Competitiveness Report, 2011</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>Hong Kong (10), Shanghai (37), Beijing (56), Shenzhen (71), Macau (93), Guangzhou (120), Tianjin (165), Dongguan (195) in the first 200 in the ranking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Cities Index, 2011</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Beijing (8), Hong Kong (17), Shanghai (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.T. Kearney Global Cities Index 2008</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>Hong Kong (5), Beijing (12), Shanghai (20), Guangzhou (52)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Chubarov I.G. The geographical features of the formation of global cities in the PRC [5]; Global Urban Competitiveness 2012 [6]; Kearney A.T. Global Cities Report 2016 [7]; Global Power Cities Index 2016 [8]; The Economist Intelligence Unit 2012 (The 2025 Global City Competitiveness Ranking) [9].

The role of China’s largest cities has been gaining strength rapidly in the world economy that is determined by a large set of both domestic and external factors. The total gross regional product (GRP) in three cities of China (Hong Kong, Shanghai and Beijing) has already exceeded the total GRP of major global cities in Europe (London and Paris) [6].

Many of China’s largest firms are now among the 500 largest corporations in the world (103, second place behind the United States – 134, 2016). At the same time in the number of headquarters of the 500 largest TNCs (Fortune Global 500), Beijing, the capital of China, as of 2016 has already surpassed all the world’s major cities (57 headquarters), leaving behind (the 2nd place) Tokyo, the capital of Japan (38 headquarters), followed by Paris, New York and London (17 headquarters). In addition, in Shanghai 10 multinationals from the Fortune Global 500 have already placed their headquarters (and in Seoul too) [10].

Chinese cities are part of the global urban network. They are dynamically developing. Moreover, China’s integration into the world economy has allowed a number of Chinese cities to become global centers.

B. Specifics of the structure development and formation in the modern economy of Beijing

The role of cities in the economy is essential as the majority of financial and commodity markets are located in urban areas. In order to identify the economic role of a city the functioning of its spatial structures and the impact of these structures at urban, national and international levels should be examined. The city has its own special role in the areas of production, consumption and management. The concept of “functions of the city” is commonly used as a spatial economic analytical tool. In large cities with many enterprises offering a variety of functions, it is difficult to single out any specific leading industry. The actual operation of enterprises requires multifunctionality.

In our case, we study Beijing, the capital of China, and it, of course, is a multifunctional city. In addition, it is the capital of the state. Therefore, management functions are still of high importance here. Besides, Beijing functions as a redistribution hub in regard to economic information and other data. We shall consider further changes in the structure of Beijing’s economy.

The development of Beijing is greatly enabled by such factors as available labor resources (including qualified staff), political stability in the country, efficient and flexible bureaucratic institutions, the strengthening of China’s position in the global economy (including the country’s integration into international economic processes, with headquarters of the largest TNCs present in the city).

The Development and Life Index allows a comprehensive monitoring of the development of administrative units in the country. The index is based on the method of calculating the index of human potential and a number of other indices. Beijing is placed first in ranking (with a very large margin of less-developed regions of China) [11].

Beijing is still the center of social life in China. Within it are all the most important national-level government departments. Beijing is the main recipient of investments in fixed assets (over 80%). The location in the city of all the country’s leading educational and scientific institutions and social and cultural organizations is also closely associated with the status of the capital.

One of the advantages in Beijing is the available “soft power” and the developed production services. Accordingly, Beijing should strive to occupy the position of a financial center, a center of innovation, and an administrative center [12].

The structure of the capital’s economy in comparison to other Chinese global centers has pronounced “post-industrial” features as a result of rapid de-industrialization and for environmental reasons too. The volume of Beijing’s GRP is growing. The share of the tertiary sector in Beijing’s urban GRP is increasing. The volume of production in the primary and secondary sectors in value terms has increased twice since 2015, and in the service sector – three times (Table 2).
representatives of different forms of ownership may perform
Before the transition, the state acted as an employer. Now,
Beijing foresees changes in the number of people employed in
sector. The development of various sectors of the economy of
dependent on the extent of the development of the service
sector, however, the role of the industrial sector is significant
definitively say that it has been mainly created by the service
employment in Beijing. The proportion of employed people in
The dynamics of the employment structure in economy
sectors of Beijing
The availability and quality of labor resources is of great
importance for the economy of any country. China is
categorized by contradictions between the population growth
and overall poorly qualified labor force, and between the pace
of economic development in different regions of China. The
unemployment rate in large cities is much lower than in the
overall country (and especially in less developed provinces in
China), which defines significant internal migration flows.
There is also a big difference between urban and rural areas in
the educational attainment of labor resources [13].
It may be noted that there is a stable structure of
employment in Beijing. The proportion of employed people in
the secondary sector industries is low, while the share of
employment in the tertiary sector is quite high, with the share
of this sector growing (Table 3).

There have been alterations in social and labor relations.
Before the transition, the state acted as an employer. Now,
representatives of different forms of ownership may perform
this role. As a result of such changes the ratio of people
employed in the state and non-state sectors of the economy has
transformed in Beijing (Table 4).

Although the share of employment in state-owned enterprises
in Beijing dropped by 2014 (47.7%), during the period analyzed there was an increase in the number of employees in public enterprises (an increase from 5702.6 to 7558.6 thousand people). The development of the non-state (private and individual) sector of the economy is a positive process.

With the deepening of economic reforms, the channels of
searching for work have become more diverse. A policy of
reducing the number of employees in state enterprises is being
implemented in order to enhance economic efficiency [14].
Incentives to create and develop non-state enterprises increase
the demand for labor. Most non-state enterprises are small or
medium-sized. They have multiple channels of employment,
flexible means of employment and relatively low employment
requirements. These characteristics are especially important
when attracting labor.

Working conditions and guarantees provided at state and
non-state enterprises and organizations are different. It is
worth noting that the level of wages in the non-state sector is
above the level of pay in the state sector. Throughout the
country, the employment in the state sector is more stable, and
the employees have paid vacations and guaranteed sick leave.

The structure of employment in the service sector is
dominated by real estate, renting and business services (18%,
2014), wholesale and retail trade (over 12%), information
transmission, computer services (over 10%), followed by
finance, IT-industry and science. Speaking about prospects of the
future, it is essential that Beijing is becoming the center of software
development in China [12]. Being aware of the massive labor force and generally not too high levels of education of the population, we can say that experts working in the field of
finance, IT-industry and science are the highest paid. But jobs
are easier to find in large cities.

Beijing is a modern city, a concentrated center of finance,
information technology, science, education, innovation culture,
production and trade.
III. CONCLUSION

The development of Beijing’s economy is a complex comprehensive planning task. An effective solution to the problem is of great importance to enhance the economic development of the capital of the PRC. The impact of globalization is seen as a positive process for Beijing.

The ratio in the industrial structure of Beijing’s economy benefits the tertiary sector. In modern conditions, the growth of the economically active population is determined by various factors of the population’s life and labor conditions. As shown by numerous studies carried out in Beijing, the main reason for the growth of the economically active population is still searching for the most favorable economic conditions, such as: employment in the labor market, higher wages, opportunities to get a new profession, to improve skills, etc. That is why major cities act as centers for attracting labor resources, particularly Beijing that generates an expanding demand for labor.
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