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Abstract—While the mixed ownership reform of state-owned enterprises is deepening, there are still lots of corruption, conspiracy and other actions against the interests of enterprises. This paper studies whether the introduction of private capital investors to achieve the purpose of co-variance under difference levels of supervision system. In conclusion, private investor will steal state-owned assets under state-owned holding and the possible increases as the ratio increases.
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I. INTRODUCTION

It is necessary for companies to introduce private companies for reducing unfavorable factors and developing state-owned enterprises. Building an efficient modern enterprise system is an important goal of the mixed ownership reform of state-owned enterprises. The CPC Central Committee and the State Council issued the "Guidance on Deepening the Reform of State-owned Enterprises" in 2015. It is clear that the development of mixed ownership economy is an important way to deepen the reform of state-owned enterprises, and made a very clear deployment.

Foreign views on mixed ownership reform are not the same. Mohammed Omran argues that the privatization of state-owned enterprises has not significantly improved the role of state-owned enterprises, however, having a positive effect on the privatization of enterprises involved—the spillover effect of state-owned enterprises [1]. Pablo Arocena and Diana Oliveros recognize that the efficiency of state-owned enterprises after privatization is significantly improved, and made a very clear deployment.
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The firm’s performance is the linear function of the operator’s behavior

\[ \pi = a - a_s + \theta \]

Where \( \theta \) is an exogenous random various, which obeys
the normal distribution with mean \( \mu \) and variance. The operator takes both of these actions to pay the cost. Let the operator’s normal effect cost function:

\[
c(a) = \frac{1}{2} b^2 a^2
\]  

(2)

Where \( b \) is the cost factor for the operator to speculate. In general, the two behaviors of the operator are independent or irrelevant (negligible). Therefore, this paper assumes that the operator’s total behavior cost function is:

\[
c(a, a_s) = \frac{1}{2} b^2 a^2 + \frac{1}{2} b_s a_s^2
\]  

(3)

Supervision of the behavior of capital investment

Assuming that the supervision of private capital contributors is \( P \), the greater the intensity of supervision, the greater the likelihood that the operator’s behavior is found. Therefore, it is possible to define the intensity of supervision as the possibility that the operator’s speculation is found. In other words, when the operator takes speculation, the probability of being found is \( P \).

The supervision needs to pay the cost of supervision. Let the supervisory cost function be:

\[
c(P) = \frac{1}{2} MP^2
\]  

(4)

Which is set to supervise the cost factor and \( M \geq 0 \).

If the operator’s speculation is found, will be subject to certain penalties. The intensity of punishment and the operator was found in the size of the speculative behavior, set the penalty strength function.

\[
G_s = f_s a_s
\]  

(5)

Where \( G_s \) is the rate of punishment for the operator’s speculative behavior, that is, the intensity of the penalty.

B. Participate in the Utility Function of the Parties

1) The utility function of the operator

Assuming the enterprise to the operator’s reward incentive contract:

\[
S = \alpha + \beta \pi
\]  

(6)

Among them, \( \alpha \) indicates the fixed wage income of the operator, \( \beta \) indicates operators share the proportion of corporate output.

Set the operator’s speculative behavior of gray income:

\[
S_s = k_s a_s
\]  

(7)

Where \( k_s \) is the utility coefficient of speculative behavior, expressing the difficulty of speculation, smaller speculative coefficient means greater difficulty, \( 0 \leq k_s \leq 1 \).

Assuming that the operator takes a rent-seeking behavior to seek a conspiracy between the two parties, if the private capitalist agrees to collusion, he will not exercise the supervision. Set the operator in accordance with the “one share” the proportion of rent-seeking, then the rent-seeking cost.

\[
R_s = \lambda m S_s = \lambda m k_s a_s
\]  

(8)

Among them, \( R_s \) for the rent-seeking costs, that is, private capitalist bribery to obtain the gray income for the operator rent-seeking strength coefficient.

Assuming that the operator is risk aversion, the utility function of the operator has the same absolute risk aversion. When the private

Capitalists choose conspiracy, the operator’s expected utility is \( U_y \), deterministic equivalent income is:

\[
U_y = S + S_s - c(a) - \frac{1}{2} \beta^2 \rho \sigma^2 - \lambda m S_s
\]  

(9)

Where \( \beta^2 \rho \sigma^2 \) is the risk cost of the operator, \( \rho \) is the operator’s risk aversion coefficient; \( \rho \geq 0 \); and \( \rho \sigma^2 \) can be regarded as the operator’s risk cost coefficient.

\[
U_n = S + S_s - c(a) - \frac{1}{2} \beta^2 \rho \sigma^2 - PG_s
\]  

(10)

2) Utility function of private investor

Fama put forward the conclusion that reputation will produce utility, and the theory of reputation utility is the idea of solving the problem of principle-agent [6]. Private capital investors will also have a reputation for the effectiveness of consideration. If assuming that private capitalists take into account their expected reputation utility, they will choose not to conspiracy with the operators, set the capital contribution to their expected reputation for \( \zeta \).

Assuming private investors in the choice of conspiracy behavior, their expected reputation for the effectiveness of \( \zeta \), that is, regardless of their credibility.

For private investors, the pursuit of the interests of enterprises is not to maximize, but to maximize their own interests. Therefore, with the operator collusion or not the benefits will affect the number of private capital investors choose to conspiracy.
Set the choice of conspiracy, the private capitalists expect utility, for $U_y$:

$$U_y = \lambda(\pi - S) + \lambda mk_s a_s$$

(11)

Not set the choice of conspiracy, the expected utility of the private investor is $U_n$:

$$U_n = \lambda(\pi - S) - \frac{1}{2} MP^2 + \lambda PG_y + \zeta$$

(12)

III. GAME MODEL AND ANALYSIS

As mentioned earlier, both the operator and the private contributor choose the optimal behavior aimed at maximizing their utility.

A. The Optimal Selection Model of the Operator

1) When the operator and the private capital of the conspiracy

The operator’s optimal behavior selection function is:

$$\max U_y = S + S_s - c(a) - \frac{1}{2} \rho \beta^2 \sigma^2 - \lambda m S_i$$

s.t. $a, a_i \geq 0$

(13)

The optimal level of effort for the operator is:

$$a^* = \frac{\beta}{b}$$

$$a_i^* = \max\left(\frac{k_i - \beta - \lambda mk_i}{b_i}, 0\right)$$

2) When the operator does not conspire with the private capital

The operator's optimal behavior selection function is:

$$\max U_n = S + S_s - c(a) - \frac{1}{2} \rho \beta^2 \sigma^2 - PG_s$$

s.t. $a, a_i \geq 0$

(14)

Get the best level of effort for the operator:

$$a^* = \frac{\beta}{b}$$

$$a_i^* = \max\left(\frac{k_i - \beta - P f_i}{b_i}, 0\right)$$

At the time $a_i = 0$, speculation, rent-seeking behavior, collusion behavior will not happen. By the operator’s optimal behavior can be seen to reduce $k_s$, improve $f_s$, $b_s$, $\beta$, P can reduce or even eliminate the occurrence of speculative behavior.

B. Public-funded Investor’s Behavioral Choice Model

When the operator has speculative behavior, private capital investors will be based on collusion with the operator to bring their own income to decide whether to choose conspiracy.

1) Collusion, the supervision of private investors to choose the intensity of $P = 0$, its expected utility is:

$$U_y = \lambda(1 - \beta) \left[ \frac{\beta}{b} \left(\frac{k_i - \beta - mk_i}{b_i} + \theta\right) - \lambda \alpha + \lambda mk_i \left(\frac{k_i - \beta - mk_i}{b_i}\right) \right]$$

(15)

2) When the conspiracy, the private capitalists choose the optimal supervision efforts to maximize their utility:

$$\max U_n = \lambda(\pi - S) - \frac{1}{2} MP^2 + \lambda PG_s$$

s.t. $a^* = \frac{\beta}{b}, a_i^* = \frac{k_i - \beta - P f_i}{b_i}$

(16)

The optimal supervision of the capital contribution is:

$$p^* = \frac{\lambda f_s (1 + k_s - 2 \beta)}{2 \lambda f_s^2 + b_s M}$$

(17)

$$U_y = \lambda(1 - \beta) \left[ \frac{\beta}{b} \left(\frac{k_i - \beta - mk_i}{b_i} + \theta\right) - \lambda \alpha \right]$$

$$- \frac{\lambda f_s (1 + k_s - 2 \beta)}{2 \lambda f_s^2 + b_s M}$$

$$- \frac{\lambda f_s^2 (1 + k_s - 2 \beta)}{2 \lambda f_s^2 + b_s M}$$

(18)

C. Selection Model of Optimal Compensation Contract

The choice of the optimal compensation contract, that is, the optimal determination by the state-owned funders to determine the target and the “co-change” the same goal, that is, to maximize the performance of enterprises as the goal, and set up private capital to participate in supervision.

$$\max (\pi - S)$$

(19)

s.t. $\alpha = \frac{\beta}{b}, \alpha_i = \frac{k_i - \beta - P f_i}{b_i}$

$$p = \frac{\lambda f_s (1 + k_s - 2 \beta)}{2 \lambda f_s^2 + b_s M}$$

The incentive coefficient of the optimal compensation contract of the operator is:

$$\beta^* = \frac{1}{(\frac{1}{b} + \rho \sigma^2)} \left(\frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{b_s} - \frac{2 \lambda f_s^2}{2 \lambda f_s^2 + b_s^2 M}\right)$$

(20)
Substituting $\beta^*$ into (16) and (19) respectively, you can get in the optimal compensation contract, private capital investors choose to collusion with the operator of the maximum utility value.

D. An Analysis Model of Consent Behavior of Private Investors

Under the optimal compensation contract, set up a private investor conspiracy behavior selection function $f(\lambda)$:

$$f(\lambda) = U^*_n - U^*_y$$

(21)

When $f(\lambda) > 0$, indicating that the maximum efficiency of non-conspiracy of private capital investors is higher than the conspiracy situation, obviously will make the choice of unconventional behavior; otherwise, when $f(\lambda) < 0$, the private capital investors will choose conspiracy behavior.

Substituting the parameters into (21), we can see that it is a complex multiples function. As the other parameters change, there will be a variety of trends in the $[0,0.5]$ range, and multiple fictitious behavior thresholds may occur. Parameters that affect the value include: $s_f, b_s, m, \rho \sigma^2, b, \zeta, k_s$ and so on.

IV. SIMULATION OF THE MODEL

The initial value of the main parameters and their values are shown in the following table. The selection and analysis of the initial values take into account the performance of the enterprises. The value of the various behaviors must be guaranteed to be greater than zero. The proportion of state-owned shares is greater than 50%, that is, the proportion of individual private capital contribution can not reach the proportion of holding, otherwise the model of the optimal contract failure. Under the simulation analysis, the possibility of M collusion of private capital is analyzed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Initial value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$k_s$</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$b_s$</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m$</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$b$</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_s$</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\zeta$</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda$</td>
<td>——</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. CONCLUSION

According to $f(\lambda)$ change trend can be divided into the parameters of small, medium and large three representative of the situation. In order to visualize the results of the analysis, the graphs are used to give the analysis results. The abscissa in the graph shows that the intersection with the abscissa is the critical value of the conspiracy. In the case of a large supervision coefficient, that is, the supervision system is not perfect, the penalty coefficient is small, the introduction of private capital not only can not play a balance effect, but will induce private capital investors to steal state-owned assets.

China’s state-owned enterprises mixed ownership research is a worthy of in-depth study of the problem. It is worth nothing that this paper does not consider the proportion of private ownership than the shareholding ratio of state-owned shares, and more than two investors did not in-depth study of the situation, these are to be made later in-
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