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Abstract—In the field of industrial control, the dynamic mathematical model of the main equipment or process of the system is established by the field data, which has become the hot spot of system identification at present. Due to various reasons from the industrial production process and means of measuring, the time delay is usually unavoidable in the process control system, if the phenomenon of time delay is not paid attention, which may lead to a sluggish response or even trigger instability. In this paper, the maximum likelihood estimation of time delay is proposed for first order linear single-input-single-output (SISO) time delay system to estimate the time delay parameter. Comparative simulation experiments are provided to verify the justifiability and effectiveness of the proposed method.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Time delay is usually unavoidable in control systems, if the phenomenon of time delay is not paid attention, which may lead to a sluggish response or even trigger instability[1]. Therefore, the time delay estimation (TDE) draws more and more attention and becomes one of the most important topics in the field of system identification.

In recent years, various methods for TDE have been reported in the literature. According to the target source and the detection system, the time delay estimation problem can be divided into two types: active time delay estimation and passive time delay estimation[2]. In the field of control and signal processing, most methods have been suggested for active time delay estimation, such as time-delay approximation methods, explicit time-delay parameter methods, area and moment methods, and higher-order statistics (HOS) methods. In time-delay approximation methods, the time delay is not an explicit parameter in the model. In [3], Kurz and Goedecke estimated time delay by measuring the time delay to the start (the beginning of the nonzero part) of an estimated impulse response of the system. Carter[4] found the maximum of the cross-correlation between input and output, which was a common method. In [5], Horch and Isaksson studied the phase of the discrete-time all-pass part (DAP methods). Bjöklund and Ljung[6] found that DAP methods could fail completely in some cases. The reason was that the noise moving zeros across the unit circle. They proposed an improved method named “zero guarding” to make DAP methods more robust to noise. In explicit time-delay parameter methods, the time delay is an explicit parameter to be estimated in the model. Estimating time delays via state-space identification methods, in which the delay model was selected with the lowest loss function[9]. Elnaggar et al. developed a variable regression estimation technique to provide direct delay estimation, which was suitable for estimating industrial engineering[10]. The modified area and moment method for the first order system was described to estimate the time delay, which avoided the second order moment integration[11]. Nikias and Pan[12] proposed a time delay estimation method based on third-order statistics. The above methods estimate the time delay parameters based on the model structure of linear system. The model based methods always need a prior of the studied system, such as type of the system model, which limits the generalization of those methods.

In this paper, a method is proposed for first order linear single-input-single-output (SISO) time delay system to estimate the time delay parameter. We construct a likelihood function with the input and output data of the system and time delay parameter as independent variables, and obtain the time delay parameter by maximizing log-likelihood function of time delay.

II. 2-COPULA

When the marginal distributions of multiple random variates are known, the coupling relationship between those random variates can be described by Copula, i.e. Copula connects the marginal distributions of the random variates to the joint distribution. This section states 2-Copula. See [13,14] for more details.

A. 2- Copula Definition

2-copula is a function $C:[0,1]^2 \rightarrow [0,1]$ whose definition field is $[0,1]^2$ with the following properties:

1. $\forall u, v \in [0,1], C(u, 0) = C(0, v) = 0, C(u, 1) = u, C(1, v) = v$;

2. $\forall u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2 \in [0,1]$, when $u_1 < u_2, v_1 < v_2$ is

$$C(u_2, v_2) - C(u_1, v_2) - C(u_2, v_1) + C(u_1, v_1) \geq 0$$

The significance of the Copula is that it characterizes the dependent structure between multiple random variates. Suppose $X_1, X_2$ represent random vectors whose distribution functions are recorded as

$$F(x_1, x_2) = P(X_1 \leq x_1, X_2 \leq x_2), x = (x_1, x_2) \in R^2$$ (1)

The marginal distributions of the random variates $X_i$ are recorded as

$$F_i(x) = P(X_i \leq x), i = 1,2.$$ (2)
According to theorem (Sklar)\cite{15}, there is a unique 2-Copula $C: [0,1]^2 \rightarrow [0,1]$, for all $x \in R^2$,

$$F(x_1, x_2) = P(X_1 \leq x_1, X_2 \leq x_2) = C(F_1(x_1), F_1(x_2)). \quad (3)$$

It can be seen that the joint distribution of two random variables can be constructed through two separated steps, i.e. one step is the marginal distributions of the random variates, the other step is the dependent structure between the random variates, that is the Copula.

### B. 2-Copula Estimation

When the Copula between random variates is unknown, it is necessary to estimate Copula in some way. In [16-21], various Copula estimation methods are introduced, which are roughly divided into two categories. The first category is a priori knowledge of the marginal distributions type of known random variates and the type of Copula. In this kind of problem, the undetermined parameters are estimated by maximum likelihood estimation and other methods (see e.g. [18,20]); The second category only knows the type of partial distribution (marginal distributions or joint distribution type) or has not prior knowledge of the distribution type. In this kind of problem, Copula can be estimated by empirical formula or several types of distribution are artificially designated as a priori, and the undetermined parameters are estimated through based on Bayesian method. Finally, it selects the final distribution type using some criteria such as AIC, BIC, DIC (see e.g. [17,22,23]).

In practical problems, if we do not know the distribution of random variates at all, we can get many observations of random variates, that is the Copula.

**Suppose** $X_1, X_2$ are real value random variates, where the random variate $X_1$ is input and the random variate $X_2$ is output. The set of observations for the random variates $X_1, X_2$ at a fixed time $t_0$ are recorded as $D_j = \{x_j^i\} \quad (j = 1,2)$. When $X_1, X_2$ delay time $k_j t_0$ ($k_j$ taken as an integer, $j = 1,2$), they are recorded as random variates $X_1[k_1], X_2[k_2]$, then the set of observations for the random variates $X_1[k_1], X_2[k_2]$ are recorded as $D_j[k] = \{x_j^{i+k}\} \quad (j = 1,2)$. When the sampling data are enough, it can be assumed that $X_1, X_2$ and $X_1[k_1], X_2[k_2]$ have the same marginal distributions. The Copula of $X_1[k], X_2[k]$ is not only related to the marginal distributions of $X_1, X_2$, but also related to the time delay between them. In general, if the time delay between $X_1, X_2$ is different, the Copula of $X_1, X_2$ is different. Thus, the Copula of $X_1, X_2$ can be represented as function form via their marginal distributions and time delay, i.e. $C(F_1(X_1), F_2(X_2), k_1, k_2)$. Since the time delay between $X_1, X_2$ is relative time delay and the degree of freedom of $k_1, k_2$ is 1, we can take $k_1 = 0$. Therefore, the time delay between $X_1, X_2$ is $k_2$. For the convenience of writing, we use $k$ instead of $k_2$ in the following equation.

The density of 2-Copula is

$$c(u_1, u_2, k) = \frac{\partial c(u_1, u_2, k)}{\partial u_1 \partial u_2}. \quad (6)$$

According to theorem (Sklar)\cite{15}, the density $f$ of the 2-dimensional distribution function $F$ can be represented as

$$f(x_1, x_2) = c(F_1(x_1), F_2(x_2), k)f_1(x_1)f_2(x_2) \quad (7)$$

where $f_1(x_1)$ and $f_2(x_2)$ represent the marginal probability density functions of the random variates $X_1, X_2$. The random vectors $X = (X_1, X_2)$ are subjected to multiple independent samples to obtain their log-likelihood function

$$L = \sum_{i} \log f(x_1^i, x_2^{i+k}) \quad (8)$$

In order to be able to solve the impact of time delay on the system, we construct the following log-likelihood function

$$L(k) = \sum_{i} \log f(x_1^i, x_2^{i+k}) \quad (9)$$

According to the equation (7), $L$ can be decomposed into the following two parts

$$L(k) = L_{dependence} + \sum_{j=1}^{2} L_j \quad (10)$$

where

$$L_c = \sum_{i} \log c(F(x_1^i), F(x_2^{i+k}), k). \quad (11)$$

$L_c$ is the log-likelihood contribution in from dependence structure in data represented by the copula $C$. $L_c$ varies with the time delay $k$; $L_j$ has the following form

$$L_j = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sum_{i} \log f_2(x_2^{i+k}), & j = 1 \\ \sum_{i} \log f_1(x_1^i), & j = 2 \end{array} \right. \quad (12)$$

$L_j$ is the log-likelihood contributions from each margin: observe that $\sum_{j} L_j$ in (10) is exactly the log-likelihood of the sample under the independence assumption. When the sample
are enough, the value of this part varies little with the time
delay $k$. Theoretically, with taking the partial derivative of the
time delay $k$ of $L$ and the partial derivative is zero, the
following equation for time delay is obtained as
\[
\frac{\partial L_k}{\partial k} + \sum_{j=1}^{2} \frac{\partial L_j}{\partial k} = 0 \quad (13)
\]
The solution of the equation is the maximum likelihood
estimation value of time delay. But equation (13) is difficult to
express as an explicit equation for time delay $k$. In order
to facilitate the calculation of time delay, we turn to solve the
following optimization problem
\[
\hat{k} = \arg \max_k (L_k + \sum_{j=1}^{2} L_j) \quad (14)
\]
When the sample are sufficient, the value of the second term
of the objective function of the optimization problem (14)
fluctuates little with the change of point $k$. In extreme cases,
there is no obvious knowledge of $X_3, X_2$, we can think that $k$
is uniformly distributed. The value of the second term of the
objective function of optimization problem (14) does not change
with the change of point $k$. The objective function of the
optimization problem is simplified as
\[
\hat{k} = \arg \max_k (L_k) \quad (15)
\]
At this point, the solution of the optimization problem to
satisfy the equation $x^i_1 = g(x^i_2, x^i_k)$ is $\hat{k}$.

Therefore, the time delay between random variables can be
calculated using equation (15).

IV. SIMULATION

A. First Order Linear System

Consider the following first order linear continuous-time
SISO system with a time delay, Simulink simulation block
diagram is as follows

![First Order Linear System Block Diagram](image)

**FIGURE I. FIRST ORDER LINEAR SYSTEM**

The model of first order inertial time delay system is as follows
\[
G(s) = \frac{Ke^{-\tau s}}{Ts+1} \quad (16)
\]
where $\tau$ is the time delay parameter to be estimated. In order to
further verify the correctness and applicability of the above
method, where the two different relatively large parameters are
selected to fix model. The first group parameters take $K = 1.94, T = 0.5, \tau = 20$, and the second take $K = 9.4, T = 4.2, \tau = 30$.

Using MATLAB programming to achieve the above method,
the time delay parameter of the model is estimated. The specific
estimation process can be simply described as: the first is that
the data are collected, in which the input is the uniformly
distributed random signal, the output is the output of the model
and the sampling period is 1s; Then adopts the above equation
(15) to estimate the time delay parameter of the model via the
collected data.

![Time Delay Estimation Results](image)

**FIGURE II. OUR METHOD FOR TIME DELAY ESTIMATION**

Fig. 2 shows the log likelihood estimation of input and
output for different delay times. In Fig. 2(A), the maximum
value of the log likelihood function is 20s, and the value of the
log likelihood function is smaller when the time delay is less
than 20s and greater than 20s; In Fig. 2(B), the maximum value
of the log likelihood function is 30s, and the value of the log
likelihood function is smaller when the time delay is less than
30s and greater than 30s. As shown in Fig. 2, the time delay is
20s in Fig. 2(A) and 30s in Fig. 2(B). The obtained of time delay
value is consistent with the time delay parameter value selected
in $G(s)$, which proves the effectiveness of the proposed method.

Comparison to other time delay identification methods in
Fig. 3: Our method (A), Exhaustive method (B), PSO method
(C) and System Identification Toolbox (Process models) (D).
Where the value of parameters in $G(s)$ is $K = 1.94, T = 2.5, \tau = 20$.

![Comparison of Time Delay Identification Methods](image)
TABLE I. COMPARISON TO OTHER METHODS IN FIRST ORDER LINEAR SYSTEM.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Time delay estimation</th>
<th>error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Our method</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhaustive method</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSO method</td>
<td>20.2159</td>
<td>0.0108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Identification Toolbox</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. First Order Linear System with Noise

Consider the case of noise in first order linear system, Simulink simulation block diagram is as follows

where the value of parameters in $G(s)$ is $K = 1.94, T = 2.5, \tau = 20$, $\tau$ is the time delay parameter to be estimated.

Comparison to other time delay identification methods in Fig. 5: Our method (A), Exhaustive method (B), PSO method (C) and System Identification Toolbox (Process models) (D).

Fig. 3(B)-(D) depict measured data and identification result with exhaustive, PSO and System Identification Toolbox (Process models) methods. The time delay parameter identification results are summarized in Table 1.
Fig. 5(B)-(D) depict measured data and identification result with exhaustive, PSO and System Identification Toolbox (Process models) methods. The time delay parameter identification results are summarized in Table 2.

Table II. Comparison to other methods in first order linear system with noise identification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Time delay estimation</th>
<th>Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Our method</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhaustive method</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSO method</td>
<td>20.4705</td>
<td>0.0235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Identification</td>
<td>19.992</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toolbox (Process models)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From Table 1-2 we can find that our proposed estimation method obtained best time delay precision and error performance.

V. CONCLUSION

This paper is concerned with the time delay parameter estimation of first order linear SISO time delay systems. The time delay parameter is estimated by the maximum log-likelihood function of time delay. For the linear delay system identification, the good statistical properties of the time delay parameter can be obtained and the influence of noise disturbance is small, which proves the effectiveness of the proposed method. This method is based entirely on the input and output data of system, which is more practical for the complex systems in industry. When the time delay parameter is estimated, the input signal needs to have a rich change in the model. Future work will focus on extending the proposed idea for MIMO and nonlinear time delay systems.
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