Biodegradable elevator decoration material from wheat straw
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Abstract: The main goal of this work was to use wheat straw (WS) in the production of environmentally sound material using corn based adhesives (CA). Treatments of WS with NaOH and hot-water were undertaken to evaluate the effect of such treatments on the performance of produced material. The influence of material density, starch content and varieties of starch (cornstarch, cassava starch, potato starch) on properties of materials was also investigated. Results showed that cornstarch based materials had higher flexural properties. Materials made from hot-water treated straw and cornstarch had better interface and higher flexural properties, and flexural strength and flexural elastic modulus reached peak values at starch content of 10% and material density of 0.7 g/cm³. Materials made from non-treated straw and cornstarch had lower moisture absorption. The composites developed from this work may have potential application for ceiling panels, bulletin boards and elevator decoration material.

Introduction

Straw is rich in resources, with low density, renewable nature and lustration[1], starch is biodegradable, renewable, with large sources and low price[2]. Crop straw and starch composites are provided with lightweight, cheap price, renewable and biodegradable characteristic, etc, and other composite materials can not match [3-8].

Using straw and other agricultural residues for preparation of composite materials has become the focus of world. But the crushed materials (e.g. straw powder, rice husk powder, husk powder or extracted straw fiber) of agricultural residues are the main materials of the composites [9-11], and using discarded tires, formaldehyde resins, polymers as matrix for preparation of composite[12-13]. Such composite materials have better water resistance and acoustic insulation properties, higher internal bond strength and flexibility and flexural strength, bigger fracture coefficient. But smashing agricultural residues and extracting fiber from straw waste lots of energy, and the matrix of the composites is difficult to degrade.

Energy saving and environmental protection are the world's urgent request. This research used wheat straw and cassava starch, corn starch, potato starch to prepare biodegradable materials, and focused on the influence of composite density, starch content and varieties of starch on flexural strength and flexural elastic modulus.

Material and Methods

Materials and equipments

Cassava starch, corn starch, potato starch were food grade starch, Shandong Jincheng Food Co., Ltd. products. Wheat straw was from Liuhe, Nanjing, previous year products. NaOH, AR (Analytical reagent); Na₂S₂O₃, AR; borax, AR; 30%H₂O₂, AR; FeSO₄·7H₂O, AR, the reagents above mentioned were Nanjing Chemistry reagent Ltd. products.

Pressing equipment was XLB-DC magnetic plate vulcanizing machine, Rubber Machinery Co., Ltd. Huzhou Shunli products. Performance testing machine was TMS-Pro, FTC products, US. Moisture absorption testing instrument was HPX-16085 constant temperature and moisture case,
Shanghai Xinmiao Medical Treatment Ltd. products. Stereomicroscope was SMZ1000, Nikon Japan products.

Sample preparation

Wheat straw treatments

WS was extensively washed with distilled water in order to remove impurities (mainly dust). This operation was performed several times at room temperature and under vigorous stirring. After successive washings, WS was dried in an air-circulated oven at 103°C. This material was stored in hermetic plastic containers in order to prevent microbial attack (i.e. fungi) before using it in followed treatments. Washed WS without any further treatments was used as control and was labeled CWS.

Some components of cellulose fibres represent a hydrophobic blockage for fibre wetting and they must be efficiently removed[14]. RS is rich in silica and waxes, deteriorating the properties and making WS unsuitable for textile applications[15]. In order to improve the WS wettability and performance, different treatments were applied. CWS was soaked in 2% NaOH solution, for 2 h at room temperature with occasional shaking followed by washing with distilled water for several times to leach out the absorbed NaOH until neutral was reached subsequently oven dried. The NaOH-treated CWS was labeled as NWS.

CWS was soaked in hot-water, for 2 h at 100°C followed by oven dried. The hot-water-treated CWS was labeled as HWS.

Preparation of cornstarch adhesives

Cornstarch adhesives was prepared by the dispersion of the cornstarch (CS) powder in distilled water at a CS-to-water ratio 1:10 under stirring at room temperature for 2 h with 1.2wt% sodium thiosulfate (Na$_2$S$_2$O$_3$.5H$_2$O) on dry basis of CS for preventing deterioration. The resultant adhesives were then ready to be mixed with WS.

Preparation of materials

The materials were prepared using a hot compression molding process. Treated and untreated CWS (1~2 cm length) were blended with starch adhesives in a high-speed mixer for 10 min at room temperature. The equilibrated mixtures were subsequently hot-pressed into composites in a 10 cm×10 cm steel mould equipped with stops to achieve the same thickness (4.4 mm) at certain manufacturing parameters.

Testing method

Flexural strength and flexural modulus were studied in accordance with the GB/T 21723-2008 "Wheat/rice-straw particleboard", loading speed was 10 mm/min. Rectangular sample: 100 mm×15 mm×4.4 mm. Five replications were used to calculate mean value.

Results and discussion

Comparison of flexural properties of materials
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Fig. 1 showed the flexural properties of varieties of starch based materials. From fig. 1, cornstarch based materials had higher flexural strength and flexural elastic modulus. Potato starch based materials had lower flexural strength and flexural elastic modulus. The flexural elastic modulus of potato starch based composite was 18.5% lower than flexural elastic modulus of cornstarch based composites. This could be accounted for the better stickiness, better dispersion and worse agglomeration of cornstarch. However, cassava starch and potato starch had worse stickiness and better agglomeration, and their composites had lower flexural properties.

![Graph showing the relationship between starch mass fraction and flexural properties](image1)
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Fig. 2 Relationship between starch content and flexural properties of materials. Materials were fixed at wheat straw of 40 g and density of 0.7 g/cm$^3$.

Fig. 2 showed the relationship between starch content and flexural properties of cornstarch based materials. From fig. 2, flexural strength and flexural elastic modulus increased and then decreased with increasing of starch content, and reached the peak value at starch mass fraction of 10%. The flexural properties decreased with increasing of starch mass fraction above 10%. This could be accounted for that the relative starch dosage was less, adhesive had weakened solidification and could not glue the wheat straw completely, so the flexural properties were lower at starch mass fraction below 10%. Interfacial area of straw was definite, and maximum utilized quantity of starch mantled straw existed. Excrescent starch adhesive made the composite internal structure looser at starch mass fraction above 10%, and the flexural properties decreased.

![Graph showing the relationship between density and flexural properties](image2)
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Fig. 3 Relationship between density and flexural properties. Materials were fixed at wheat straw of 40g and starch mass fraction of 10%.

Fig. 3 showed the relationship between density and flexural properties of cornstarch based materials. From fig. 3, flexural strength and flexural elastic modulus increased with increasing of material density, increased significantly with increasing of density at 0.6–0.7 g/cm$^3$, decreased slightly with increasing of density above 0.7 g/cm$^3$. This could be accounted for looser internal structure, more moisture content of composites and weakened adhesive solidification, and the flexural properties were lower at density below 0.7 g/cm$^3$. When density was 0.7 g/cm$^3$, moisture content was appropriate, starch adhesive diffuses uniformly and could glue the wheat straw completely, flexural strength and flexural elastic modulus reached the peak value. The flexural properties decreased with increasing of density above 0.7 g/cm$^3$, this was due to more compact internal structure and more difficult starch adhesive diffusion.
From fig. 2 and 3, flexural properties of cornstarch based materials from hot-water treated straw were higher, and flexural properties of cornstarch based materials of NaOH treated straw were worst. This may be due to higher SiO$_2$ content of straw themselves, and SiO$_2$ forms a non-polar surface structure in fiber, weakening adhesive adsorption. Meanwhile, there was a wax layer on straw surface, making less friction between straw and more difficult for starch adhesive to permeate through straw, it was difficult to form "gel nails" in bonding process. All above mentioned had negative impact on materials manufacture, and decreased flexural properties of materials. Hot-water treatments could reduce SiO$_2$ and wax content of straw effectively, making better cementation of straw and starch adhesive, and also preserving straw fibers, so materials from hot-water treated had higher flexural properties. However, NaOH treatments could hydrolyze straw cellulose, making straw looser and softer, lower polymerization of straw cellulose and lower flexural properties of materials from NaOH treated straw.

Conclusions

Cornstarch based materials had higher flexural properties.

Materials from hot-water treated straw and cornstarch had better interface and higher flexural properties, and flexural strength and flexural elastic modulus reached peak values at starch mass fraction of 10% and density of 0.7 g/cm$^3$.
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