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Abstract. The bicycle-sharing is the latest business model currently. How to reasonably select the parking site is the key factor for diversified products occupying the market. Random change process concerning the quantity of bicycles in the parking site is the unique attribute. Different from the traditional location model, the article uses the Markov process for modeling of dual-index, namely serving and coverage capabilities of the parking site, the LaGrange and heuristic algorithm to solve the parking site of bicycles and also the Matlab program for analog computation and analyses the experimental results.

1. Introduction

As a new pattern of sharing economy, the bicycle-sharing refers to a business model for daily "last 3km" traffic pain point of residents. From 2007 to 2014, the bicycle-sharing has been mainly based on the bicycles with piles. The fixed location for taking and returning bicycles has increased the complication degree of bicycle utilization without solving the problem of "last 1km" resulting in low usage rate and flow rate of bicycles with piles. Along with the fast development of mobile Internet, in 2014, the Internet-based bicycle-sharing led by OFO emerged at the right moment and more convenient bicycles without piles started to replace the bicycles with piles. Since the end of 2016, the Internet plus bicycle-sharing represented by "OFO bicycle" and "Mobike" become hot in major cities solving the "last 1km" problem regarding the urban public transportation system.

The "Internet plus" bicycle-sharing brings not only great convenience for people but also new problems that the supervion on bicycle-sharing according to policies and regulations is still in the blank state with incomplete market management, serious disorderly parking of bicycles and more difficult management on urban space. With the fast development of bicycle-sharing, corresponding administrative rules are required to gradually standardize the urban parking site of bicycles. The article regards the parking site of bicycles as a service station and uses the coverage model and Markov process to study the coverage capability at the parking site and circulation and stability of bicycles there different from the location research on traditional service station. The parking site shall be selected scientifically to improve the use ratio of bicycles and their service ability at the site, reduce the later artificial management expense and optimize the economic sharing benefit.

The research on the location problems originated very early, and the literatures\textsuperscript{[1-7]}are the domestic and overseas review literatures related to location introducing the overall development and conclusion of location; and as one of typical location models, the MCLP (namely the maximum covering location problem) has wide application prospect in the location. In the practical application, MCLP model is mainly used to solve the location problems of emergency service facilities. The MCLP model is used in the literature\textsuperscript{[8]} studying the location problems of medical resources in remote and border areas; and it is applied in the literatures\textsuperscript{[9, 10]} for the location problems of realistic emergency medical vehicles. The application of coverage model in the site selection and planning of emergency service facilities is reviewed in the literature\textsuperscript{[11]}. Based on the Markov process, the warehouse location problems are studied in the literature\textsuperscript{[12]}. In the existing literature researches, there are few researches on site selection for public facilities, terminal corporate service stations, etc. In the site selection for terminal services sites of bicycle-
sharing enterprises, the serving capability, response speed and coverage scope are important factors to obtain the market share. Different from traditional service station, the parking site of bicycles covers small area, which cannot be used as the absolute decision factor; According to above contents, the article proposes a mathematic site selection model based on dual-index of covering and serving abilities for the economic sharing features, and uses the LaGrange and heuristic algorithm for calculation methods.

2. Establishment of Models

2.1 Serving capability evaluation function

Considering the site selection features of bicycle-sharing, in order to avoid the one-way flow of bicycles in the parking site resulting in the vehicle gathering or loss there, the article uses the Markov process to study the parking site. The changes of vehicle quantity at the parking site (in the course of parking and use) are regarded as a random fluctuation, so as to constitute the Markov process with discrete time and state. It is assumed that the quantity of all bicycles in the initial state at the parking site is \( \delta \), and the state is \( \tau_n, n = 0,1,2,3 \ldots \) after recording the nth fluctuation if not considering the time factors. During the data collection stage, it is assumed that the total quantity of bicycles at each parking site exceeds 0 and \( \delta \) in the spatial state of sample is regarded as the null point, namely the initial state of \( \tau_0 = 0 \), to obtain the sample space of \( p_t(-n,1-n \ldots,-2,-1,0,1,2 \ldots,n-1,n) \) and one bicycle added in the service station as per the probability \( p \) and one bicycle lessed as per the probability \( q \), where \( p + q = 1 \) and \( \mu_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n} y_k \), representing the average bicycle quantity at the parking site after \( N \) steps with \( y_k \) referring to each change of bicycle.

\[
P\{y_k = 1\} = p, P\{y_k = -1\} = q, k = 1,2, \ldots, n
\]

\[
E\{y_k\} = P\{y_k = 1\} * 1 + P\{y_k = -1\} * (-1) = p - q
\]

\[
E\{\mu_n\} = E\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{n} y_k\right\} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} E\{y_k\} = n(p - q)
\]

\( E\{\mu_n\} \) shows the average bicycle quantity at the parking site after \( N \) steps; and the mass point reaching \( \tau_n = m \) after \( N \) steps indicates that the mass point fluctuates \( n+m \) steps forwards and \( n-m \) steps backwards, so as to obtain the one-dimensional state quantity distribution;

\[
P(\tau_n = m) = \left(\frac{n}{m+n}\right)\left(\frac{n+m}{2}\right)^n \frac{n-m}{2}^n q \frac{m}{2}^n ; \quad m = n, -n+2, -n+4, \ldots, -n, 2, n; m \leq n
\]

When \( m \in \left[-\frac{\delta_0}{2}, \frac{\delta_0}{2}\right] \) (\( \delta_0 = \mid E\{\mu_n\} \mid \) refers to the quantity of bicycles at the parking site in the initial state), the parking site is regarded in the stable state with the probability \( P_m \) of mass point in the stable state as the serving capability evaluation function of such parking site;

\[
P_m = \sum_{j=-\frac{\delta_0}{2}}^{\frac{\delta_0}{2}} P(\tau_n = j)
\]

\[
= \sum_{j=-\frac{\delta_0}{2}}^{\frac{\delta_0}{2}} \left(\frac{n+j}{j+n}\right)\left(\frac{n+j}{2}\right)^n \frac{n-j}{2}^n \frac{1}{q^n} ; \quad j = n, -n+2, -n+4, \ldots, -n, 2, n; j \leq n
\]

2.2 Coverage capability function

The bicycle-sharing coverage capability at the parking site is determined by the distance of demand point. Based on the response speed of service station measured by the time satisfaction function in the literature [13], it is assumed that \( t_{ij} \) is the shortest route for potential site selection \( j \) of demand point \( i \), \( L_i \) is the longest route for satisfied evaluation on potential site selection of demand point \( i \), and \( U_i \) is the shortest route for very unsatisfied evaluation on potential site selection of demand point \( i \). Where \( L_i \leq U_i, f(t_{ij}) \) refers to the satisfaction degree of demand point \( i \) to the coverage capability of service station \( j \).
3. Analyses for LaGrange an Heuristic Algorithm

There are lots of algorithms to solve the location problems of facilities, and the LaGrange and heuristic algorithm is one of them with high efficiency in solving the discrete type site selection. The basic principle of the LaGrange and heuristic algorithm is gradually removing or broadening the constraint conditions causing difficulties in solving the objective function and then absorbing these constraint conditions into the objective function by penalty parameters or Lagrange’s multiplier. After the changes, the objective function still keeps linear, and former problem will be solved more easily after the difficulty constraints are absorbed. The literatures [14-16] introduce the application of the LaGrange and heuristic algorithm in site selection and optimization of combination.

According to the relaxation principle, we relax the constrained expression (6) in the problem P1 into the objective function (5) to obtain:

\[
(P2) \quad \text{Max} \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in J} s_i [\omega_{ij} f(t_{ij}) + \omega_j P_{jn}] Y_{ij} + \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i (1 - \sum_{j \in J} Y_{ij})
\]

\[
= \text{Max} \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in J} (s_i [\omega_{ij} f(t_{ij}) + \omega_j P_{jn}] - \lambda_i) Y_{ij} + \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i
\]

s.t. \quad \text{constrains}(7), (8), (9) and (10)
Obviously, for the problem P2, we can obtain its optimal solution and optimal value. When \( \lambda_i \) is non-negative, the optimal solution of P2 is the upper limit of the optimal value of problem P1, taking as \( Z_i^U \). In addition, \( J^* \) is defined as the index set of the optimal solution of problem P2 \((X_j = 1)\), taking \( J^* = \{j / X_j = 1\} \).

\( Z_L \) is defined as the lower limit of the optimal solution of problem P2; and in the problem P2, the constrained expression (6) is relaxed to the objective function and the result cannot be determined as the optimal solution of problem P1. However, for any demand point, the potential point with the optimal dual evaluation \( \varphi_i \) on time satisfaction and stable potential point under the problem P2, namely:

\[
Z_L = \sum_{j \in J^*} \varphi_i = \sum_{j \in J^*} \max\{s_i \{\omega_i f(t_{ij}) + \omega_j P_jn\}\}
\]

According to above conclusions, we can obtain the upper and lower limits of the optimal value of problem P1, namely \( Z_U \) and \( Z_L \) respectively. Then the sub gradient algorithm is used to approach the optimal solution. \( k \) represents the iterations, \( Z_U^k \) and \( Z_L^k \) respectively indicate the upper and lower limits of the optimal value after the kth iterations, and \( \lambda_i^k \) represents the corresponding Lagrange multiplier; \( X_i^k \) and \( Y_i^k \) are the optimal solution of problem P2; \( t^k \) indicates the iteration step length, \( LB \) shows the the maximum lower limiting value after the stop of kth iterations, \( UB \) shows the the minimum lower limiting value after the stop of kth iterations, and \( \alpha^k \) refers to the parameters of the kth iteration step length. Where,

\[
t^k = \frac{\alpha^k (Z_U^k - LB)}{\sum_{i \in I} (1 - \sum_{j \in J^*} Y_i^k)^2}
\]

\[
\lambda_i^{k+1} = \max\{0, \lambda_i^k - t^k (1 - \sum_{j \in J^*} Y_i^k)\} \quad \forall i \in I
\]

If any one of the following four conditions is set, the iteration is terminated:

1. \( \sum_{j \in J^*} Y_{ij} = 1 \quad \forall i \in I \); (2) \( UB - LB \leq 0.3 \); (3) \( t^k \leq 0.0001 \); (4) \( k = 400 \).

4. Computational Experiments

For the convenience of computation, we use the Mat lab program to calculate the model; and the original value is set for each parameter of the model as follows. We consider the number of demand points as 200, 400, 600, 800 and 1,000; the demand quantity of demand points is generated randomly as per the density of normal distribution \( N(200, 100^2) \), and the distance between the demand points and potential points is generated randomly as per the density of normal distribution \( N(130, 70^2) \); \( L_i \) \( \forall i \in I \) is generated evenly and randomly within \([10, 100]\), \( U_i = L_i + d_i \) \( \forall i \in I \), \( d_i \) \( \forall i \in I \) is generated evenly and randomly within \([10, 50]\), and the sensitivity coefficient \( k_i \) \( \forall i \in I \) is generated evenly and randomly within \([0.5, 1.5]\); the above random numbers remain non-negative; the sample space \( \rho_1 \) conforms to the Poisson distribution with the same parking and taking probabilities; in the expression (3), \( n = 50 \); in the expression (11), \( \omega_{ij} = \omega_j = 0.5 \) \( \forall i \in I, \forall i \in J \); in the expressions (13) and (14), the initialization parameter \( k = 1 \); \( UB \leftrightarrow +\infty \); \( LB \leftrightarrow -\infty \); and \( \lambda_i^1 \leftrightarrow s + 1/2 (s - s_i) \) \( \forall i \in I, \alpha^k \leftrightarrow 2 \).

Figure 1 shows the difference between site selection results under single and double indexes when \((n, m, p) = (400, 30, 15)\), representing the influence on the decision for parking site under the consideration of serving capability index. Due to the length of the article, operating results are not post. The operating results under different input parameters indicates the increase of objective function value along with the increase of quantity in demand points, potential points and site points satisfying the realistic rule due to different increments. From Figure 2, solving this model by LaGrange an heuristic algorithm has better efficiency with the mean deviation of upper and lower limiting value at about 2.3%. Due to the great difficulties in obtaining real data and many parameters involved in the model and randomly generated, there is certain error in solving the real data. However, based on a large amount of data, after adjusting the search parameters of the algorithm, an optimal solution can be obtained.
5. Summary

The article establishes the serving capability evaluation function and coverage capability function model, selects the best bicycle-sharing parking site through the LaGrange heuristic algorithm, provides the theoretical basis for the determination of bicycle-sharing parking site, and determines the large-scale parking layout from the whole, which is in favor of the reasonable use of resources. Of course, multiple problems exist for further discussion and research, such as the data involved in the article generated randomly by computer basically with insufficient authenticity; the Markov model used for further research on the flow rate of bicycles between regions; more analysis for the serving capability of parking site according to the population density and traffic conditions at the parking site and determination of bicycle quantity there, which will be our future research emphasis.

References


[7]. Qiao Lianbao, Classification and review on the covering facility location problem, Logistics Sci-Tech, 2015(03): p59-66


