New passivity criteria for discrete-time neural networks with leakage and time-varying delays
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Abstract. In this paper, the problem of passivity of discrete-time neural networks with leakage and time-varying delays is investigated. By constructing a novel Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional and reciprocally convex method, some sufficient passivity conditions are obtained in the forms of linear matrix inequalities. In order to illustrate the effectiveness of the proposed results, a numerical example is presented.

1. Introduction

It is well known that the neural networks are very important since they have been widely applied in various areas, such as combinatorial optimization, pattern recognition and so on [1-2]. On the other hand, time delay is unavoidable in real systems and they often bring about instability, oscillation or divergence in neural networks. Therefore, a lot of interesting results on stability analysis for delayed system have been obtained in the literature [3-7].

It should be mentioned that many neural networks are focused on continuous-time cases. However, discrete-time systems are more suitable for computer computation and simulation. Thus, it is necessary and important to research the dynamics of discrete-time systems, and a large number of results have been achieved [4-5]. For instance, Kang et al. [4] proposed the stability criteria for the discrete-time system with time varying delay by means of Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional theory, reciprocally convex approach and linear matrix inequalities technique.

Passivity is a significant concept that represents input-output feature of dynamic systems. In the past several decades, the passivity theory has found successful applications in various areas such as signal processing, stability and fuzzy control. Thus, the passivity problem for time-delay neural networks has received considerable attention [1-2, 6]. In [1-2], the problem of passivity for continuous-time neural networks with time-delay was studied by using the linear matrix inequality technique. In [6], some sufficient passivity conditions have been obtained for discrete-time stochastic neural networks with time-varying delays in terms of linear matrix inequality by utilizing the Lyapunov functional method. However, there are still a lot of rooms for further decreasing the conservatism.

Motivated by the above discussion, the problem of passivity for discrete-time neural networks with leakage and time-varying delays is studied in this paper. By employing a new Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional and reciprocally convex approach, some improved conditions are obtained in terms of linear matrix inequalities. Finally, a numerical example is provided to illustrate the effectiveness of the proposed method.

2. Problem statement and preliminaries

In this paper, we consider the following discrete-time neural networks with time-varying delays:
\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
x(k+1) = Cx(k-\delta) + Af(x(k)) + Bf(x(k-\tau(k))) + u(k) \\
y(k) = f(x(k)), \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \\
x(j) = \psi(j), \quad j = -\rho, -\rho + 1, \ldots, -1, \quad \rho = \max(\delta, \tau_M)
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

Where \(x(k) = [x_1(k), x_2(k), \ldots, x_n(k)]^T\) is the neural state vector of the system, \(C = \text{diag}(c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_n)\) is a self-feedback connection matrix. \(A = (a_{ij})_{n \times n}, B = (b_{ij})_{n \times n}\) are the connection weight and the delayed connection weight matrices. \(f(x(k)) = [f_1(x_1(k)), f_2(x_2(k)), \ldots, f_n(x_n(k))]^T\) is the nonlinear activation function, \(u(k)\) is the input, \(y(k)\) represents the output of the network. \(\tau(k)\) denotes the discrete time-varying delay and satisfies \(\tau_m \leq \tau(k) \leq \tau_M, k \in N\), where \(\tau_m, \tau_M\) are known positive integers.

**Assumption 1** The transformed neuron activation functions satisfies:

\[
l_j \leq \frac{f_j(s_1) - f_j(s_2)}{s_1 - s_2} \leq l_j', \quad f_j(0) = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, n
\]

Where \(l_j, l_j'\) are known real constants.

To obtain our results, we need introduce the following definition and lemma.

**Definition 1** [6] The system is said to be passive if there exists a scalar \(\gamma > 0\) satisfying

\[
2 \sum_{i=0}^{k_0} y^T(i)u(i) \geq -\gamma \sum_{i=0}^{k_0} u^T(i)u(i)
\]

For all \(k_0 \in N\) and under the zero initial condition.

**Lemma 1** [2, 6] For any matrices

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
T & N \\
N^T & T
\end{bmatrix} > 0,
\]

\(\tau_m, \tau_M, \tau(k)\) satisfying \(\tau_m \leq \tau(k) \leq \tau_M\), and vector function \(x(k + \cdot) \rightarrow R^n\), such that the sums concerned are well defined, then

\[
-\tau_{mn} \sum_{i=k-r_m}^{k-1} \eta^T(i)T\eta(i) \leq \alpha^T(k)
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
-2T + N^T + N & T - N^T & T - N \\
T - N^T & N & -T \\
T & -T & N
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Where \(\alpha(k) = [x^T(k - \tau(k)), x^T(k - \tau_m), x^T(k - \tau_M)]^T\).

3. **Main results**

For the sake of simplicity of matrix and vector representation, \(e_i \in R^{10 \times 1} (i = 1, 2, \ldots, 9)\) are defined as block entry matrices (for example \(e_i = [I \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0]^T\)). The other notations are defined as

\[
L_1 = \text{diag} \left( L_1', L_1', \ldots, L_1' \right), \quad L_2 = \text{diag} \left( \frac{L_2 + L_2'}{2}, \ldots, \frac{L_2 + L_2'}{2} \right), \quad \eta(k) = x(k+1) - x(k), \quad \tau_{mn} = \tau_M - \tau_m
\]

\[
\xi^T(k) = [x^T(k), x^T(k - \delta), x^T(k - \tau_m), x^T(k - \tau_M), f^T(x(k)), f^T(x(k - \tau(k))), f^T(x(k - \tau_m)), f^T(x(k - \tau_M))]
\]

\[
\eta^T(k), u^T(k)
\]

**Theorem 1** For given integers \(\tau_m, \tau_M\), the discrete-time neural networks (1) is passive, if there exist matrices \(P > 0, \quad R_i > 0(i = 1, 2, 3), \quad Q = \begin{bmatrix} Q_{11} & Q_{12} \\ \cdot & Q_{22} \end{bmatrix} > 0, \quad Z_1 > 0, \quad Z_2 > 0\), two positive diagonal matrices \(S_i = \text{diag} \left( s_{i1}, s_{i2}, \ldots, s_{in} \right), i = 1, 2\), matrices \(U_{i1}, U_{i2}, U_{i3}, N\), and the scalar \(\gamma > 0\), such the following matrix inequalities hold:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
Z_2 \\
N \\
Z_2
\end{bmatrix} > 0, \quad \Omega_1 + \Omega_2 < 0.
\]
Where
\[ \Omega_1 = \left[ e_2 C + e_6 A + e_7 B + e_9 \right] P \left[ e_2 C^T + e_6 A^T + e_7 B^T + e_9 \right]^T + e_1 \left( R_1 + R_2 + R_3 \right) e_1^T - e_2 R_2 e_2 \]
\[ - e_3 R_3 e_3^T + (\tau_{\text{min}} + 1) \Pi_1 Q \Pi_1^T - (\tau_{\text{min}} + 1) \Pi_2 Q \Pi_2^T + e_4 \left( \tau_{\text{min}} Z_1 + \tau_{\text{min}} Z_2 \right) e_8 \]
\[ - \Pi_3 \left[ -Z_1 \quad Z_1 \right] \Pi_1^T - \Pi_4 \left[ -2Z_2 + N^T + N \quad Z_2 - N^T \quad Z_2 - N \right] \Pi_4^T \]
\[ - \Pi_1 \left[ S_2 L_1 \quad S_2 \right] \Pi_1^T - \Pi_2 \left[ S_2 L_1 \quad S_2 \right] \Pi_2^T \]
\[ \Omega_2 = \left[ e_1 U_1 + e_2 U_2 + e_3 U_3 \right] \left[ e_2 (C - I)^T + e_6 A^T + e_7 B^T + e_9 \right]^T \]
\[ \Pi_1 = [e_i, e_3], \Pi_2 = [e_i, e_3], \Pi_3 = [e_i, e_3], \Pi_4 = [e_i, e_3, e_i] \]

**Proof** Consider the following Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional: \( V(k) = \sum_{i=1}^{4} V_i(k) \)

\[ V_1(k) = x^T(k) P x(k) \]
\[ V_2(k) = \sum_{i=k-k_\tau}^{k-1} x^T(i) R_i x(i) + \sum_{i=k-k_\tau}^{k-1} x^T(i) R_x x(i) + \sum_{i=k-k_\tau}^{k-1} x^T(i) R_y x(i) \]
\[ V_3(k) = \sum_{i=k-k_\tau}^{k-1} \left( x(i) \right)^T Q \left( x(i) \right) + \sum_{i=k-k_\tau}^{k-1} \left( f(x(i)) \right)^T Q \left( f(x(i)) \right) \]
\[ V_4(k) = \tau_{\text{min}} \sum_{j=k-\tau_m}^{k-1} \eta^T(i) Z_j \eta(i) + \tau_{\text{min}} \sum_{j=k-\tau_m}^{k-1} \eta^T(i) Z_j \eta(i) \]

Then, taking the differences of \( V_i(k) \) along the trajectories of the system (1), it yields
\[ \Delta V_1(k) = x^T(k+1) P x(k+1) - x^T(k) P x(k) \]
\[ = \left[ C x(k) + f(x(k)) + B f(x(k)) + u(k) \right]^T P \]
\[ \left[ C x(k) + f(x(k)) + B f(x(k)) + u(k) \right] - x^T(k) P x(k) \]
\[ \Delta V_2(k) = x^T(k) \left( R_1 + R_2 + R_3 \right) x(k) - x^T(k) \left( R_1 + R_2 + R_3 \right) x(k) - x^T(k) \left( \tau_{\text{min}} \right) R_x x(k) \]
\[ - x^T(k) \left( \tau_{\text{min}} \right) R_x x(k) \]
\[ \Delta V_3(k) = \left( \tau_{\text{min}} + 1 \right) \left[ x(k) \right]^T Q \left( x(k) \right) - \left[ x(k) \right]^T Q \left( x(k) \right) \]
\[ - \left[ f(x(k)) \right]^T Q \left( f(x(k)) \right) \]
\[ \Delta V_4(k) = \eta^T(k) \left( \tau_{\text{min}} \right) Z_j \eta(k) - \tau_{\text{min}} \sum_{i=k-\tau_m}^{k-1} \eta^T(i) Z_j \eta(i) - \tau_{\text{min}} \sum_{i=k-\tau_m}^{k-1} \eta^T(i) Z_j \eta(i) \]

By Jensen inequality and Lemma 1, we can get
\[ - \tau_{\text{min}} \sum_{i=k-\tau_m}^{k-1} \eta^T(i) Z_j \eta(i) \leq \left[ x(k) \right]^T \left[ Z_1 \quad Z_1 \right] \left[ x(k) \right] \]
\[ \left[ Z_1 \quad -Z_1 \right] \left[ x(k) \right] \]
\[ - \tau_{\text{min}} \sum_{i=k-\tau_m}^{k-1} \eta^T(i) Z_j \eta(i) \leq \alpha^T(k) \]
\[ -2Z_2 + N^T + N \quad Z_2 - N^T \quad Z_2 - N \]
\[ \alpha^T(k) \]
\[ \alpha^T(k) \]
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Where $\alpha(k) = \begin{bmatrix} x^T(k - \tau(k)) & x^T(k - \tau_m) & x^T(k - \tau_u) \end{bmatrix}^T$

From Assumption 1, for the diagonal matrices $S_k = \text{diag}(s_{1k}, s_{2k}, \cdots, s_{nk}), k = 1, 2$, we can receive the following inequalities:

\[
\begin{align*}
-\left( \begin{array}{ccc} x(k) \\ f(x(k)) \end{array} \right)^T \begin{bmatrix} S_1 & -S_1L_2 \\ \cdot & S_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x(k) \\ f(x(k)) \end{bmatrix} & \geq 0 \\
-\left( \begin{array}{ccc} x(k - \tau(k)) \\ f(x(k - \tau(k))) \end{array} \right)^T \begin{bmatrix} S_2 & -S_2L_2 \\ \cdot & S_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x(k - \tau(k)) \\ f(x(k - \tau(k))) \end{bmatrix} & \geq 0
\end{align*}
\]

(11)

(12)

On the other hand, for any matrices $U_1, U_2, U_3$ with appropriate dimensions, we can get

\[
2 \left[ x^T(k)U_1 + x^T(k - \delta)U_2 + \eta^T(k)U_3 \right] \\
\left[ (C - I)x(k - \delta) + Af(x(k)) + Bf(x(k - \tau(k))) + u(k) - \eta(k) \right] = 0
\]

(13)

Therefore, from (5) to (13), we have

\[
\Delta V(k) - 2y^T(k)u(k) - \gamma u^T(k)u(k) \leq \xi^T(k)[\Omega_1 + \Omega_2]\xi(k)
\]

From (3), we can conclude that

\[
\Delta V(k) - 2y^T(k)u(k) - \gamma u^T(k)u(k) \leq 0
\]

(14)

Then, we have

\[
2 \sum_{i=0}^{k} y^T(i)u(i) \geq \sum_{i=0}^{k} \Delta V(i) - \gamma \sum_{i=0}^{k} u^T(i)u(i), \quad \sum_{i=0}^{k} \Delta V(i) = V(k_0 + 1) - V(0) \geq 0
\]

So, we can get

\[
2 \sum_{i=0}^{k} y^T(i)u(i) \geq -\gamma \sum_{i=0}^{k} u^T(i)u(i), \text{ for all } k_0 \in N.
\]

(15)

The proof is completed.

4 Numerical example

Example 1 Consider the system (1) with the following parameters

\[
C = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.6 \end{bmatrix}, A = \begin{bmatrix} 0.001 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.005 \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} -0.1 & 0.01 \\ -0.2 & -0.1 \end{bmatrix}.
\]

The activation functions are taken as

\[
f_1(x) = f_2(x) = \tanh(x).
\]

For this example, in simulation let

\[
x(0) = [0.3, -0.2]^T, u(k) = \begin{bmatrix} \sin\left(\frac{\pi k}{2}\right), \cos\left(\frac{\pi k}{2}\right) \end{bmatrix}^T, \tau(k) = 6 + 4\sin\left(\frac{\pi k}{2}\right), \delta = 0,
\]

the state trajectories without input $u(k)$ and with $u(k)$ can be seen in Figs 1 and 2.

5 Conclusions

In this paper, the problem of passivity analysis for discrete-time neural networks with leakage and time-varying delay has been investigated. The presented sufficient conditions are based on the Lyapunov method and the reciprocally convex technique. Finally, a numerical example is given to show the effectiveness of the proposed criteria. It should be worth mentioning that the proposed way can be extensively applicable in many other areas.
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