One Oriented-Web Performance Test Scheme Based on PerformanceRunner
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The web application performance testing is gaining wide attention due to popularization of Web application rapidly. To improve performance test efficiency, this paper chose domestic performance test tool PerformanceRunner to study, and presented one oriented-web performance test scheme based on PerformanceRunner. To illustrate how to carry out performance test, a CRM system was chosen as example to study. Test script and test scene were designed, and test result was analyzed. The result shows that this scheme is an excellent choice for performance test engineer.
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1. Introduction

Performance is one of the most important aspects concerned with the quality of software. It indicates how well a software system or component meets its requirements for timeliness [1]. As the use of web applications is growing, maintenance of web applications is mandatory. Web performance testing is performed to determine the behavior of web applications under workload. Because of the limitations of manual testing for web applications, automated testing tools are used to determine the performance metrics like throughput, response time, and download time of web applications. These tools provide the quality of service analysis and help to evaluate the service performance in real time network[2].

In this paper, we introduce PerformanceRunner (we call PerformanceRunner as PR below) features, and focus on how to use PR to optimize test script. It is mainly facing high-load performance test. PR can
provide concurrent pressure test, monitor test and test report. PR also supports http and socket protocol, and is suitable for B/S and C/S architecture.

2. Related works

Patel M C proposes a framework for performance analysis and performance evaluation for performance driven development. They have discussed comparative analysis of various software performance testing tools and their limitations and a new approach for software performance testing. [1].

N Thakur et al. aim to provide an evaluation and comparative analysis of six web performance testing tools namely JMeter, NeoLoad, WAPT, Loadster, WebLOAD and LoadComplete. They conclude that NeoLoad is the best tool among the selected tools based on the parameters considered for evaluation of the tools[2].

Deng Xiaopeng et al. analyze the factors influencing testing for Web applications from such cases as its architecture, techniques, elements of its composition, running environment, running mechanism, design process and development process. Main aspects for web application testing in design, development, running and evolution steps are summarized. [3].

RUI Su-juan et al. presents some important measures in the progress, such as response time, throughput capacity, customer behavior[4].

ZHOU Lu-yang et al. use the UAT technology to guide and manage the whole testing flow and do the functional testing, stability testing and pressure testing research. The final result turns out that this UAT method can manage the whole testing process for Web applications in high efficient way[5].

Zhang Xiaobo sets up a software performance environment, plans and designs the scripting code so that he can guaranty the accuracy of the result effectively. He uses LoadRunner to analysis data to find system’s bottlenecks[6].

SONG Shi-tao presents an effective performance testing process model for a Web application system, based on LoadRunner, egue and PTGM performance testing models. It covers the whole process from project plan, testing policy, to result analysis and test report output. [7].

D Kelkar et al. focus on the study and evaluation of differences in responses given by tools used for performance testing. The objective is to understand the behavior of various performances testing tools and determining the accuracy of responses. They compare JMeter and LoadRunner and recommend to go ahead with HP LoadRunner as it is very stable and robust. [8].

I Arora presents an extensive Literature Survey of performance Automation Testing tools. He presents a list of most widely used performance testing tools for measuring web application performance and load stress capacity. This study
has highlighted various main features of software testing tools which are essential for opting the right tool at right time[9].

3. Case Study

A customer resource management(CRM) system is selected as example to study. The CRM system is based on B/S architecture[10]. function test requirement is described, and how to implement performance test with PR is explained.

3.1. Function Test Requirement

The root requirements is called CRM, and sub requirements under the root requirement is constructed, and the second level sub requirements under the first sub requirement is construced. The first level Test Sub Requirement test requirement contains system login, customer manage, contact manage, document manage and reservation manage. System login requirement contains the second level test sub requirement that includes login module. Customer manage requirement contains the second level test sub requirement, such as add customer, potential customer, signatory customer, terminated customer and customer management [10].

3.2. Performance Test Requirement

As case study, the test CRM system only focuses on two modules: login model and add customer model. There are only two conditions to satisfy the performance requirements: Login module can support 50 user login system at the same time within 3 seconds. Add customer module can support 10 add customers operation within 1 second.

3.3. PerformanceRunner Generation

CRM login module and add customer module s recorded by PR generation, and the test script is optimized to enhance reusability.
(1) Login module: Firstly, login system test script is recorded. The script includes some steps, such as, open CRM login page, input username and password into text field, press the login button to enter CRM, and click quit system link. Test script needs to simulate 50 users click the login button at the same time, so startLogin rendezvous is added before click login button script line. In order to analyze bottleneck of login model, two transactions were added into test script. The part of before click login button script is encapsulated into beforeLoginButton transaction, and the part of after click login button script is encapsulated to afterLoginButton transaction. The beforeLoginButton transaction and afterLoginButton transaction execution time cost is compared,
we can analyze where the bottleneck is. There are some of the scripts displaying as below:

```javascript
pr.startTransaction("beforeLoginButton");
//...some http_getVerb script
pr.endTransaction("beforeLoginButton");
pr.startTransaction("afterLoginButton");
pr. rendezvous("startLogin");
//...some http_getVerb script
pr.endTransaction("afterLoginButton");
```

(2) Add customer module: the add customer script is recorded firstly. The scripts includes login system, click add customer link to open page, input company name into text field, and input confirm button to add customer. The script needs parameterization technology to memorize company name into data pool. New company name is parameterized as whpu1-whpu10. It is just as Fig.1 showing. So the test script can simulate add customer operation 10 times continuously. It enhances the reusability of PR test script. There is part of parameterization test script showing as below:

```javascript
Fig.1. Input Data Parameterization of PerformanceRunner
for(ParameterData pd : pr.getParameterDataList("Action.xls").subList(0, 9)){
    //http_getVerb script
    //http_getVerb script
}
```

3.4. PerformanceRunner Execution

PerformanceRunner execution is the place where PR execute performance test. Performance test execution is started, and different performance test requirement is simulated. We will introduce how to implement login module and add customer module. After finish scene design, scene start to execute and the result is showed in execution in real time.

(1) **login** module scene design: new scene **CRMLogin** first. After define 50 virtual user, configure launch them at the same time. It won’t end until all the 50 virtual login complete. Fifty virtual users launching within one second is
simulated. Fig. 2 shows login module execution result. From Fig. 2 we can conclude that login module satisfy the performance requirement. It is successful to launch 50 virtual users within 3 seconds.

(2) Add customer module design: new scene CRMAddCustomer first, and configure only one user. One virtual user within 3 seconds is started. The virtual user will execute add customer ten times. From observing running graphics, add customer module also satisfy performance demands.

![Fig. 2. Scene Execution Monitor Graph for CRM Login Module](image)

3.5. PerformanceRunner Analyzer

PR analyzer can make many reports to support test engineer write their test report. PR can provide VUser graph, transaction graph, transaction response time graph, hits number per second graph and so on. Login module transaction performance summary graph is shown as an example. It is found out from Fig. 3 that beforeLoginButton transaction performance is better than afterLoginButton transaction performance. If the login module performance is bad, the worse transaction should be optimized.

![Fig. 3. Comparison between BeforeLoginButton Transaction and AfterLoginButton Transaction](image)
4. Conclusion

Due to software development scale getting larger, performance problem becomes more serious than before. We present one performance test scheme based on PerformanceRunner to enhance performance test efficiency. We use generation, execution and analyzer to help test engineer to find bottleneck of system, and then enhance system reliability.
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