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Abstract. When the EMU (Electric Multiple Units) is passing by the windbreak wall gap, the crosswind strikes at its surface, causing the aerodynamic performance exceeds the standards and making influence on the train safety and the passenger comfort. Therefore, the study of this problem is particularly important. Based on the basic control equations of three dimensional, incompressible, unsteady, viscous flow, standard $k – \varepsilon$ equation as well as dynamic grid technology, this paper studies the aerodynamic characteristics of the EMU passing by the windbreak wall gap with the speed of 200km/h under 25m/s crosswind, obtains the change law of the cross force coefficient and the overturning moment coefficient of the train. The results shows that the values are almost stable before the train passing by the windbreak wall gap. But when the train is passing by the gap, the values reach the maximum and then reduce rapidly to the minimum. After passing by the gap, the values return to steady again. Among them, the cross force coefficient and the overturning moment coefficient of the head car are the maximum, and the values are 0.1488 and 0.0718 respectively. So the safety of the head car is the worst.

Introduction

The environment in western China, especially Lanzhou-Xinjiang high-speed railway, is extremely terrible. Strong wind and sand do great harm to the high speed train. So building windbreak wall to defense along the railway is necessary [1,2]. In order to facilitate the workers to clean the gravel, clutters and to maintain the railway equipment, the gap must be set in the middle of the windbreak wall. When the EMU (Electric Multiple Units) is passing by the windbreak wall gap, the crosswind impacts on the surface of train and generate huge pressure, and the value reaches the peak in a very short time. The pressure impacts on the surface of the trains, making a great influence on the security, and it results in the aerodynamic characteristics over standards during the train is passing by those places. So the researches of aerodynamic characteristics on this problem have practical meaning.

In recent years, the researches on the aerodynamics of EMU have received quickly development, especially the aerodynamic characteristics under the crosswind. The literature [3] recommends aerodynamic parameter’s change with the moving of the windbreak’s height and location, and points out that the windbreak wall has the best height. The literature [4] studies the influence of the drafty windbreak’s structural parameters on the wind load along the Nan-Jiang railway viaduct. The literature [5] does the numerical simulation on the aerodynamic force of the EMU and hole-type windbreak wall under the condition of the strong crosswind and high-fill embankment. Those literature about the aerodynamic characteristic only research on the windbreak of different shapes.
Up to now, any literature about the aerodynamic characteristic during the trains passing by the windbreak wall gap haven’t been published. So it has a very important sense to study the project.

Based on this analysis, this paper builds the three-dimensional flow-field computational model of five cars, and does numerical study on the aerodynamic characteristics of the trains with the speed of 200km/h passing by the windbreak wall gap under 25m/s strong crosswind, provided reliable bases for enterprises to research and develop.

The Flow Filed Algorithm

When the EMU is passing by the windbreak wall gap, the structure of flow field around the train has been changed, belonging to the three-dimensional unsteady, viscous, incompressible turbulent flows. The governing equations of the air flow include the continuity equation, the Reynolds-averaged Navier-Stokes equations (RANS), and the turbulence model equations. In this paper, the standard $k-\varepsilon$ turbulence equation is chosen, and the near wall surface is treated by the wall function method. The SIMPLE algorithm are applied to solve discrete equations, the convection term is based on the second-order upwind scheme, and the viscous term is based on the second-order central differential scheme.

Model and the Grid

Model. Build the computational model of five cars. The pantograph and bogie are also simplified, as shown in Fig. 1.

The boundary of external flow field should be far away from the train in order to reduce its influence. The literature [6] shows that, the outside flow field’s length is at least three times of the train’s, and its width is at least ten times of the train’s. All of those determined the entire computational domain size which is 826m×300m×100m, as shown in Fig. 2. At the initial time, the tail of EMU is 100m away from the entrance. After having ran 248m, it starts passing by the windbreak wall gap. When the train is 100m far from the exit, the calculation is over. The height of the windbreak wall is 3m, the width is 1.5m [7], and the length of the gap is 4m [8], as shown in Fig. 3.

Fig. 1 The computational model of five cars

Fig. 2 The whole calculated flow field and the windbreak wall structure
In order to study the change law of pressure on the body surface, the pressure monitoring points on the center of car-body are set.

Points n1 to n5 are equally distributed in the middle of the train which belong to the side of the windbreak wall, and points w1 to w5 are equally distributed in the middle of the train on the opposite side, as shown in Fig. 4.

Meshing. In the definition of the dynamic grids, the moving boundary is defined by type-function, and the updating way of the dynamic mesh is layering method. The surrounding flow field of the train is static grids, meshed with tetrahedral. The front and rear of the flow field are dynamic grids, using hexahedral grid to mesh. The other parts are external flow field, belonging to static grids too. So the whole flow field can be divided into four parts. Meshing each part respectively, then the interfaces between the four parts are defined by INTERFACE for data changing. The number of grids is 11.23 million, the quality is well.

Pressure and Velocity Vector Diagrams

The operating conditions are as follows: under 25m/s crosswind, the train passes the windbreak wall gap with the speed of 200km/h.

This paper first studies the no crosswind condition. The research shows that the pressure on the train which running with the speed 200km/h is relatively stable when passing by the windbreak wall gap. The nose tip pressures of the train changes between 1790-1820Pa. Comparing with the theoretical value, the nose tip pressure maximum relative error reaches 5.29%, but less than 10%, which shows that the calculated results are reliable. The next step is to simulate the 25m/s crosswind conditions.

The middle car 1 is just located in the windbreak wall gap at the time of 5s. Fig. 5 is the
horizontal pressure contour at 5s (4m from the ground). Fig. 6 is the local horizontal section velocity vector diagram at 5s (4m from the ground).

![Horizontal pressure contour at 5s (4m from the ground)](image)

![Local horizontal section velocity vector diagram at 5s (4m from the ground)](image)

It can be seen from the pictures above, when the body passes by the windbreak wall gap, the airflow can strike directly to the body surface, generating higher pressure and then forming sudden huge pressure difference on the both side of the body. As a result, the cross force increases suddenly, resulting in that train has the overturning tendency toward the side without windbreak wall.

**Contrast and Analysis of the Monitoring Points’ Pressures of the EMU**

The whole process of the train passing by the windbreak wall gap is described as follows: at the initial time, the tail of the EMU was 100m away from the entrance. Then the train began to pass by the windbreak wall gap at 4.45s. At the time of 6.796s, the train left the windbreak wall gap. The whole process lasted 2.346s. It was finished until the head of EMU was 100m away from the exit at the time of 9s.
Fig. 7 shows the monitoring points’ pressures histories in the five cars.

![Fig. 7](image)

(a) Head car                (b) Middle car 1              (c) Middle car 2
(d) Middle car 3                       (e) Tail car

Fig. 7 Pressure histories at corresponding central monitoring points on both sides of the train

Fig. 7 shows that pressure histories of measuring points on different cars have the same trend. As the cars passing by the windbreak wall gap at different times, pressure peaks on measuring points appear a little delay with the cars farther from the head car. Fig. 7(a) is the pressure contrasting between w1 and n1 of the head car. Before the head car passes by the gap, the maximum pressure difference on both sides is about 170.97Pa. In the process of the head car passing by the gap, the pressure on the windbreak wall side reaches maximum, and the value is 95.2Pa at 4.77s. The pressure on the other side is -304.97Pa. Pressure difference is 400.17Pa, increasing by 134% than before.

**Analysis of Cross Force Coefficient and Overturning Moment Coefficient**

Define cross force coefficient as $C_x$, overturning moment coefficient as $C_{m_x}$

$$C_x = \frac{F_x}{\frac{1}{2} \rho V^2 A_x}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

$$C_{m_x} = \frac{M_x}{\frac{1}{2} \rho V^2 A_x H_g}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$C_x$: cross force coefficient; $F_x$: cross force; $\rho$: air density; $A_x$: reference area, here represents...
train’s cross section(m$^2$); $C_{m}$: overturning moment coefficient; $M_{a}$: overturning moment; $H_{g}$: reference height, here represents train’s height (m).

In Fig. 8, cross force coefficient and overturning moment coefficient histories are shown in different cars. It is seen that the curves are relatively stable before different cars arrived in windbreak wall gap. When they are passing by the gap, the two coefficients reach the maximum value, then begin to down to the minimum, and finally return to stable states.

![Fig. 8 Cross force coefficient and overturning moment coefficient histories in different cars](image)

In Fig. 8(a), the change law of the cross force coefficient and the overturning moment coefficient are shown for head car when the train passes by the windbreak wall. The head car begins to arrive at the gap at 4.456s, and at the time of 4.982s the tail of the head car has just left the gap. When the head car has not arrived at the gap, the maximum value of cross force coefficient is 0.0848, and the maximum overturning moment coefficient is 0.0409. During the time that the head car arrives at the gap, the two coefficients increase. The cross force coefficient reaches maximum 0.1488 at 4.595s, increasing by 75.5%. The overturning moment coefficient reaches maximum 0.0718 at 4.605s, increasing by 75.6%. After the head car has just left the gap, the cross force coefficient reduces to a minimum -0.0477 at 5.08s, and the overturning moment coefficient reduces to a minimum -0.0207 at 5.09s. But soon the two coefficients rise gradually until they remain stable. The specific values of each body are shown in Table 1 and Table 2.

In conclusions, the train runs with the speed of 200km/h under 25m/s crosswind. The maximum cross force coefficient of middle car 2 is 0.0502, the maximum overturning moment coefficient is 0.0249. Compared with the values of middle car 2, the maximum cross force coefficient of other bodies are higher respectively 196%, 13.7%, 70.9%, 61.4%, and the maximum overturning moment...
coefficient are higher respectively 189%, 13%, 87.1%, 75.5%. So the head car’s maximum cross force coefficient and maximum overturning moment coefficient are 0.1488, 0.0718 respectively. As a result, the safety of the head car is the worst.

### Table 1  Contrast of cross force coefficient

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>body</th>
<th>the maximum value before the gap</th>
<th>The maximum value in the gap</th>
<th>the minimum value after the gap</th>
<th>growing rate(%)</th>
<th>relative increasing rate (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Head car</td>
<td>0.0848</td>
<td>0.1488</td>
<td>-0.0477</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td>196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle car 1</td>
<td>0.0275</td>
<td>0.0571</td>
<td>-0.0372</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle car 2</td>
<td>-0.0415</td>
<td>0.0502</td>
<td>-0.1062</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle car 3</td>
<td>-0.0308</td>
<td>0.0858</td>
<td>-0.0961</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>70.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tail car</td>
<td>-0.0237</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>-0.1022</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>61.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Growing rate(%)=(the maximum value in the gap - the maximum value before the gap) / \( \frac{1}{\text{the maximum value before the gap}} \) \times 100(%)

Relative increasing rate(%)=(the maximum value in the gap of N car - the maximum value in the gap of Middle car 2 ) / the maximum value in the gap of Middle car 2 \( \times 100 \) (%)

### Table 2  Contrast of overturning moment coefficient

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>body</th>
<th>the maximum value before the gap</th>
<th>The maximum value in the gap</th>
<th>the minimum value after the gap</th>
<th>growing rate(%)</th>
<th>relative increasing rate (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Head car</td>
<td>0.0409</td>
<td>0.0718</td>
<td>-0.0207</td>
<td>75.6</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle car 1</td>
<td>0.0137</td>
<td>0.0281</td>
<td>-0.0812</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle car 2</td>
<td>-0.0206</td>
<td>0.0249</td>
<td>-0.0538</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle car 3</td>
<td>-0.0126</td>
<td>0.0466</td>
<td>-0.0483</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>87.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tail car</td>
<td>-0.0072</td>
<td>0.0437</td>
<td>-0.0504</td>
<td>707</td>
<td>75.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: as well as the note of the Table 1

### Conclusions

In this paper, the aerodynamic characteristics of the EMU passing by the windbreak wall gap can be analyzed, making some conclusions as follows:

1. When the train is passing by the windbreak gap with the speed of 200km/h, comparing with the theoretical value, the nose tip pressure maximum relative error reaches 5.29%, but less than 10%, which shows that the calculated results are reliable.

2. Under 25m/s crosswind, the train passes by the windbreak gap with speed of 200km/h, the change law of the cross force coefficient and the overturning moment coefficient are almost the same. When each body of the train runs before the windbreak wall gap, the cross force coefficient and the overturning moment coefficient are almost stable, but when they are just passing by the gap, these values reach the maximum and then reduce rapidly to the minimum. After passing by the gap, they return to steady.

3. When the train is passing by the windbreak wall gap, the head car’s maximum cross force coefficient and maximum overturning moment coefficient are 0.1488, 0.0718 respectively. As a result, the safety of the head car is the worst.
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