Tri-frequency Microcosmic Fractal Dipole Antenna with PBG Structure Used for 2G, 3G and 4G Systems
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Abstract. Basing on the requirement of the second generation, the third generation and the fourth generation mobile communication systems, a tri-frequency microcosmic fractal dipole antenna is designed with a novel PBG structure. A sample of this antenna has been tested after simulation analysis and discussed the influence of the performance of this antenna by changing the PBG parameter in detail. The simulated and tested results show that this antenna has low return loss, wide working bandwidth and hemisphere direction radiation characteristic, at three working center frequencies of 0.92 GHz, 1.80 GHz and 2.58 GHz. Utilizing fractal structure in PBG, the antenna performance can be improved and the working bandwidth can be broadened effectively. This antenna can completely covered the GSM, TD-SCDMA, WCDMA and TD-LTE communication frequency band; it successfully achieved the compatibility of the second generation, the third generation and the fourth generation mobile communication systems.

Introduction

With the development of mobile communication technology, the second generation (2G), the third generation (3G) and the fourth generation (4G) mobile communication systems will coexist for a long time [1-2]. This requires that the mobile communications antenna should have the multi-band compatibility function, cover the working bands of GSM, TD-SCDMA, WCDMA, TD-LTE and other mobile communications standard. At present, the GSM standard communication bands are 0.905-0.915 GHz, 0.950-0.960 GHz, 1.710-1.785 GHz and 1.805-1.880 GHz [3], the TD-SCDMA standard communication bands are 1.880-1.920 GHz and 2.010-2.025 GHz, the WCDMA standard communication bands are 1.880-1.920 GHz and 2.010-2.025 GHz, the WCDMA standard communication bands are 1.200-1.980 GHz and 2.110-2.170 GHz [4], the TD-LTE standard communication bands are 2.300-2.390 GHz and 2.555-2.655 GHz [5].

Dipole antenna has been applied widely in mobile communication systems, due to wide working band, low cost and whole direction radiation characteristic [6-8]. However, there are still some deficiencies to be overcome such as weak radiation ability and working only in single-frequency band [9-11].

For the dipole antenna, microcosmic fractal structure is an effective improved structure. The dipole antenna arm has a certain width, the small fractal structure is used in each small square area of the antenna arm, and this microcosmic fractal structure can improve the bandwidth performance of the antenna. Mirror compensation structure has the same structure and size as metal dipole antenna radiation patch, and it is installed symmetrically to metal dipole antenna. By reasonably adjusting the spacing, can make the radiation signal of metal dipole antenna and mirror compensation structure in-phase.
stacking, it can greatly improve the antenna's return loss performance and radiation performance, extend the antenna's working bandwidth. Exploiting some photonic band gap (PBG) structures on the grounded plane of antenna, the radiation signal generated by the antenna arms can let metal PBG structures produce induction radiation. The radiation characteristics of antenna could be strengthened by the induction radiation of PBG [12-13].

Combining microcosmic fractal structure, mirror compensation structure, PBG structure with dipole antenna, we designed a tri-frequency microcosmic fractal dipole antenna with minimized size and better properties for 2G, 3G and 4G systems, taking ceramic material with high dielectric constant as the substrate.

**Brief Introduction of Hilbert Fractal Structure**

The iteration process of Hilbert fractal structure is shown as Figure 1. The initial unit is a vertical three-segment line which composed with three segments with equal length. To get first-order Hilbert Fractal structure, divide each segment into three parts with equal length and construct a small three-segment line which has 1/3 length of initial unit. Clockwise substitute the small three-segment line with the former part of the first segment, the middle part of the second segment and the rear part of the third segment. To get second-order Hilbert Fractal Structure, similarly iterate each three-segment area of the first-order Hilbert Fractal Structure. Iterating as this way, we can get high-order Hilbert fractal structure. Hilbert fractal structure with certain line width has strong space filling ability, and it suitable for the fractal transformation of the small square metal region on the antenna [14-16].

![Figure 1. The iteration process of Hilbert fractal structure](image)

**The Structural Design of the Tri-frequency Microcosmic Fractal Dipole Antenna with PBG Structure**

Setting the relative dielectric constant and thickness of ceramic substrate as \( \varepsilon_r = 20 \) and \( h = 4 \) mm respectively, we have designed a tri-frequency microcosmic fractal dipole antenna with PBG structure, the size of antenna is 30 mm × 30 mm. The schematic diagram of the antenna structure is shown in Figure 2.

We use the radiation of dipole antenna structure to cover the 0.905-0.915 GHz and 0.950-0.960 GHz frequency bands. The length of dipole antenna arm meets the formula (1).

\[
l_0 = \frac{c}{4\sqrt{\varepsilon_r f_0}}
\]

(1)

In these formula, light velocity \( c = 3.0 \times 10^8 \) m/s, ceramic substrate relative dielectric constant \( \varepsilon_r = 20 \). After calculating, when \( f_0 = 0.90 \) GHz, the \( l_0 = 18 \) mm. In order to reduce the antenna's size, the antenna arm has been folded, the horizontal arm's length is 9 mm, and the longitudinal arm's length is 9 mm. We use the mirror compensation structure to improve the antenna's radiation performance.
In order to improve the antenna's working bandwidth, we use the microcosmic fractal structure in the dipole antenna arm; replace dipole antenna arm's small squares area which the size is 1.5 mm × 1.5 mm with 3rd-order Hilbert fractal structure which line width is 0.1 mm. In the macroscopic view, the antenna's radiation patch remained the shape of folded dipole antenna; in the microscopic view, each 1.5 mm × 1.5 mm small squares metal area is a micro 3rd-order Hilbert fractal structure. The metal radiation area inside have self-similar structure, the antenna's working bandwidth will extend greatly.

A novel PBG structure is introduced on the grounded plane to improve the performance of antenna. This PBG structure can be regarded as metal square slices periodic distribution in ceramic substrate. The PBG structure is constituted by four big metal square slices and five small metal square slices, these metal square slices will
absorb the radiation energy generated by the dipole antenna arms, and produce new radiation signals by induction radiation. We use the radiation of the PBG structure to cover the 1.710-1.785 GHz, 1.805-1.880 GHz, 1.880-1.920 GHz, 1.920-1.980 GHz, 2.010-2.025 GHz, 2.110-2.170 GHz, 2.300-2.390 GHz and 2.555-2.655 GHz frequency bands. The frequency of induction radiation signals $f_r$ and the edge length of metal square slice $L$ should satisfy the formula (2).

$$L = \frac{c}{4f_r \sqrt{\varepsilon_r}} \left[ \frac{c}{2f_r h} \left( \frac{\varepsilon_r + 1}{2} \right)^{1/2} + 0.264 \right]$$

Assigning the light velocity $c = 3.0 \times 10^8$ m/s, the $\varepsilon_r = 20$ and $h = 4$ mm, when $f_r = 1.80$ GHz, the $L = 7.75$ mm; when $f_r = 2.60$ GHz, the $L = 4.72$ mm. So we use big and small metal square slices which sizes are 8 mm × 8 mm and 4 mm × 4 mm respectively.

**The Simulation Analysis of the Performance of Antenna**

By finite-difference time-domain method (FDTDM), the simulated return loss and radiation pattern of designed antenna, with $\varepsilon_r = 20$ and $h = 4$ mm, are given in Figure 3 and Figure 4 respectively.

![Figure 3](image_url)

*Figure 3. The simulated return loss value of antenna*
Figure 3 shows that there are three working center frequency, 0.90 GHz, 1.75 GHz and 2.60 GHz respectively, at which the return loss values $S_{11}$ are $-27.22$ dB, $-22.31$ dB and $-22.36$ dB respectively. For VSWR less than 2, the absolute working bandwidths are up to 0.667 GHz (0.584 ~ 1.251 GHz), 0.688 GHz (1.398 ~ 2.086 GHz) and 1.112 GHz (2.086 ~ 3.198 GHz), also the relatively working bandwidth up to 72.69%, 39.49% and 42.09% respectively. All the results show that the return loss characteristic and the working bandwidth can satisfy the request of 2G, 3G and 4G systems.

According to E-plane radiation pattern and H-plane radiation pattern at 0.90 GHz, 1.75 GHz and 2.60 GHz, it is obvious that this antenna has hemisphere directional radiation characteristic. The radiation pattern at different frequency have different rotation angle, it is due to the radiation signal in lower frequency band is generated by the dipole antenna arms, but the radiation signal in middle and higher frequency bands are generated by the big and small metal square slices of PBG structure.

In order to make better use of this antenna in real process, the influences of the square PBG slice size on the performance of antenna have been discussed in detail. While changing the square PBG slice size, we keep $h = 4$ mm and $\varepsilon_r = 20$, made a series of simulation calculating, and the result is listed in Table 1.

The simulated results show that appropriate square PBG slice size would be benefit for the working bandwidth and radiation characteristic of antenna. When the size of small square slice close to the dipole antenna arm's width, like $3$ mm $\times$ $3$ mm, the gain of antenna is to be enhanced, it especially for lower frequency band.
Table 1. Influence of the PBG slice size on antenna performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>big square slice size (mm)</th>
<th>small square slice size (mm)</th>
<th>working frequency 1 (GHz)</th>
<th>$S_{11}$ (dB)</th>
<th>bandwidth (GHz)</th>
<th>working frequency 2 (GHz)</th>
<th>$S_{22}$ (dB)</th>
<th>bandwidth (GHz)</th>
<th>working frequency 3 (GHz)</th>
<th>$S_{33}$ (dB)</th>
<th>bandwidth (GHz)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7x7</td>
<td>3x3</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>-37.44</td>
<td>0.776</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>-21.20</td>
<td>0.867</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>-21.35</td>
<td>0.843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7x7</td>
<td>4x4</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>-35.02</td>
<td>0.750</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>-22.68</td>
<td>0.862</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>-21.45</td>
<td>0.734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7x7</td>
<td>5x5</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>-28.38</td>
<td>0.678</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>-22.86</td>
<td>0.735</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>-21.64</td>
<td>0.635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8x8</td>
<td>3x3</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>-21.06</td>
<td>0.771</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>-20.74</td>
<td>0.836</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>-22.07</td>
<td>1.279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8x8</td>
<td>4x4</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>-27.22</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>-22.31</td>
<td>0.688</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>-22.36</td>
<td>1.112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8x8</td>
<td>5x5</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>-27.20</td>
<td>0.663</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>-22.47</td>
<td>0.685</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>-22.47</td>
<td>1.092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9x9</td>
<td>3x3</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>-30.26</td>
<td>0.742</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>-20.63</td>
<td>0.801</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>-23.01</td>
<td>0.747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9x9</td>
<td>4x4</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>-26.91</td>
<td>0.657</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>-21.59</td>
<td>0.687</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>-23.05</td>
<td>0.570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9x9</td>
<td>5x5</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>-25.94</td>
<td>0.653</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>-22.11</td>
<td>0.616</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>-23.31</td>
<td>0.564</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Fabrication and Test of Antenna Sample

According to the design mentioned above, we fabricate the tri-frequency microcosmic fractal dipole antenna sample, which is shown in Figure 5.

We use RF integrated vector network analyzer to test the return loss of the antenna, with the result is shown in Figure 6; we set up an open area test site (OATS) to test antenna's radiation pattern characteristics, with the result is shown in Figure 7.

Figure 5. The photo of antenna sample

Figure 6. The tested return loss value of antenna
The tested results show that there are three working center frequency, 0.92 GHz, 1.80 GHz and 2.58 GHz respectively, at which the return loss values $S_{11}$ are $-25.85$ dB, $-22.66$ dB and $-21.32$ dB respectively. For VSWR less than 2, the absolute working bandwidths are up to 0.567 GHz (0.586 ~ 1.153 GHz), 0.544 GHz (1.648 ~ 2.192 GHz) and 0.592 GHz (2.192 ~ 2.784 GHz), also the relatively working bandwidth up to 65.21%, 28.33% and 23.79% respectively. According to E-plane radiation pattern and H-plane radiation pattern at 0.92 GHz, 1.80 GHz and 2.58 GHz, this antenna has hemisphere directional radiation characteristic.

In a whole, the tested and simulated results are similar, but the tested radiation characteristic, bandwidth performance and radiation patterns with a little worse. It is due to that the manufacturing error and welding in engineering processes cause the antenna to have lost matching a little.

**The Design of Improved Antenna Structure**

To improve the antenna performance in further, we tried an effective ways and did some comparative analysis. This way is to apply fractal structure in the designed antenna's PBG structure. Here, each square slice of PBG structure is replaced by a 2nd-order Cantor fractal structure, the scheme of which is shown in Figure 8.
Figure 8. The iteration process of Cantor fractal structure

By simulation, two curves in Figure 9 present the return loss of antenna with 2nd-order Cantor fractal structure and without fractal structure in square PBG slices respectively.

It can be seen that the application of fractal structure can improve the radiation characteristics of antenna and broaden the working bandwidth obviously. Applying this improved structure, the antenna have three working center frequency, 0.90 GHz, 1.75 GHz and 2.64 GHz respectively, at which the return loss values $S_{11}$ are $-25.04$ dB, $-20.83$ dB and $-24.84$ dB respectively. For VSWR less than 2, the absolute working bandwidths are up to 0.946 GHz (0.506 ~ 1.452 GHz), 0.722 GHz (1.452 ~ 2.174 GHz) and 1.164 GHz (2.174 ~ 3.338 GHz), also the relatively working bandwidth up to 96.63%, 39.82% and 42.24% respectively.

Figure 9. The return loss values of designed antenna with 2nd-order Cantor fractal structure and without fractal structure

Conclusions

First of all, the structure design of a tri-frequency microcosmic fractal dipole antenna with PBG structure is introduced in this paper. Simulated and tested results show that this antenna has a small size, large bandwidth, good return loss characteristic and hemisphere direction radiation characteristic. This antenna can completely covered the 0.905-0.915 GHz, 0.950-0.960 GHz, 1.710-1.785 GHz, 1.805-1.880 GHz, 1.880-1.920 GHz, 1.920-1.980 GHz, 2.010-2.025 GHz, 2.110-2.170 GHz, 2.300-2.390 GHz and 2.555-2.655 GHz communication frequency bands, it successfully achieved the compatibility of the GSM, TD-SCDMA, WCDMA, TD-LTE mobile communications standard. More discussion suggests that appropriate square PBG slice size would be benefit for the bandwidth and radiation characteristic of antenna. At last, the application of Cantor fractal structure in PBG structure has been tried for the designed antenna successfully. This antenna can be applied in the 2G/3G/4G mobile communication systems, and thus has broad application prospects.
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