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Abstract. We present a new method of investigating the so-called quasilinear strongly-damped wave equations in this paper, we concerned with the Controllability in a steady state for the Quasilinear Wave Equations with weak decay, and we use a so-called energy perturbation method to establish weak controllability of solutions in terms of energy norm for a class of nonlinear functions. This method allows us to establish the existence and uniqueness of energy solutions. We also established the existence of finite-dimensional global and exponential attractors for the solution semigroup associated with that equation and their additional regularity. We show the controllability in a steady state with the help of differential inequalities by estimating the relationship between energy inequalities and attenuating property of weak solutions. We determine a small positive number and derive differential inequalities by using a perturbation of energy.

Introduction

We consider the control problem of weak decay to the following so-called quasi-linear wave equation in a smooth bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$

\begin{align*}
  u_0 - \lambda \Delta u + u \cdot \nabla u &= f(x,t) \\
  \text{div } u &= 0 \\
  u(x,0) &= u_0, \quad u_t(x,0) = u_1
\end{align*}

Where $u_0, u_1, f$ are given functions, $\Delta u$ is a Laplacian with respect to the variable $x \in \Omega$, $u = u(t,x)$ is an unknown function, $\lambda > 0$ is a fixed positive number, $f$ are given external forces, and satisfying the following conditions:

\begin{equation}
  f \in L_2, \quad u \in L_q(\mathbb{R}^n, L_p) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{2}{p} + \frac{n}{q} \leq 1
\end{equation}

For some positive $a, p \in [1/2, 2), C > 0, and q > 0$, we have a weak solution, which fulfills additionally

\begin{equation}
  d + a |x|^p \leq f'(x,t) \leq d(1 + |x|^p), \forall s \in \mathbb{R}^3
\end{equation}

And

\begin{equation}
  u_0 - \text{div } \lambda \nabla u^2 - \Delta u_t = f(x, t)
\end{equation}

We have several techniques to prove the existence of weak decay solutions with respect to the phase space, and have additional nice properties with energy inequality for almost all times or solutions with weak decay properties for $t \to \infty$, this has been studied recently by several people, e.g. Y.M. Qin, Ebihara, Xin Liu [1-6] etc.

As a model of quasi-linear wave equation, for $N = 1/2, 1$ and $f = 0$, equation\textsuperscript{(1.1)-(1.3)}admits a global weak decay solution as large initial data, which was proved by Y.M. Qin, Xin Liu, X.G. Yang,
Lan Huang etc [3-8]. T.G Wang, Ming Zhang, M.J Wang simplified the above arguments and give the proof of control with exponential decay. From the perspective and background of physics, this represents an implementation of an axial movement of the viscoelastic material, this causes the form of above equations, in the one-dimensional case, their model of longitudinal vibration of a uniform rod with nonlinear stress function $f$. In two, three-dimensional case, they describe the viscoelastic solid of anti-plane shear action. While $n = 1$ and $f \neq 0$, S.T. Li prove the existence of weak periodic decay strong solution on the periodicity condition, X.K. Su and J.L. Zhang[6-10] proved the controllability of a smooth solution in the method of Cauchy problems in the case of smooth and small data.

Ulteriorly, while $n > \frac{1}{2}$ and $f \neq 0$, M.J. Wang and X.G. Yang gave the proof of global controllability with a smooth solution in the case of small initial data. Make use of combining $L^p$-theorem of Sobolev space and semigroup theorem of operators, Nakao [3-4] and A.F and H.B [5-7] devised certain decay rate of the energy of global solutions with large data under a specific condition which is certainly satisfied if the mean curvature of the boundary $\partial \Omega$ is non-positive. For $n > 1$ and $f = 0$, nonlinear elliptic equation with periodicity conditions was studied [10],

$$d^t(t) + k_1 \left\| w(t) - w_2(t) \right\|_p^p d(t) \leq k_2 d(t)^{q-1} + \kappa d(t)^\alpha \left\| w_1(t) \right\|_q^q$$

(1.7)

(1.8)

In the case of $\left\| w(t) \right\| \leq k_0 (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, and $\left\| w(t) \right\|_p \leq \tau (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{q}{p} - \frac{1}{2} \right)}$.

Here, $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with a smooth, $\partial \Omega$ is said to be $C^2$ class boundary, which satisfies the following uniform hyperbolic assumption:

For some constants $\rho_0, M > 0$, $\tau \in H^4[0, +\infty)$ satisfies:

$$x^t(t) + \tau_0 (1+t)^{(1+\frac{d}{2})} x(t)^{(2+\frac{d}{2})} \leq \sigma y(t)^2 + \tau_1 (1+t)^{\frac{d}{2}} y(t)^2$$

(1.9)

$$\tau (v^2) + 2\sigma_o (v^2) v + \tau^*(v^2) \leq M < \infty$$

(1.10)

Thus, we can use a so-called energy perturbation method to establish weak controllability of solutions in terms of energy norm for a class of nonlinear functions.

This method allows us to establish the existence and uniqueness of energy solutions. We also establish the existence of finite-dimensional global and exponential attractors for the solution semigroup associated with that equation and their additional regularity[9-11]. We will show the controllability in a steady state with the help of differential inequalities by estimating the relationship between energy inequalities and attenuating property of weak solutions. And furthermore, we will determine a small positive number and derive differential inequalities by using a perturbation of energy and conclude some results immediately in the next text.

**Main Results**

First, we focus on the control of weak decay stability, in order to describe the maneuverability; we define global weak solution with decay for (1.1)-(1.3):

If the initial data satisfies $u_0, u_1 \in H^2 \cap H^4_0$, and the function $\omega$ is said to be a weak solution of Problem (1.1)-(1.3), if it satisfies the following conditions:

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left\| \omega_1(t) \right\|_2^2 + \int_0^t \int_\Omega (\omega_t) d\xi dx + \int_0^t (\omega, \omega(t)) ds dy \right\}$$

(2.1)

$$\left( \omega_1, \mu \right)_0 + \int_0^t \left( \omega_1, \mu_t \right) - \left( \text{div} (\tau \nabla \omega), \mu \right) - (\Delta \omega_1, \mu) - (f, \mu) = 0$$

(2.2)
It is well known that the existence of such a weak solution with decay for all times is assured. Once this is known, one can identify this solution with the global weak one and continue this process to get that

\[ \iota t |\nabla u|_{L^2}^2 + \Lambda t^2 |\nabla u|_{L^2}^{1/2} |\nabla \nabla u|_{L^2}^{1/2} \leq \delta t^3 |\nabla u|_{L^2}^2 + \rho |\nabla \omega|_{L^2}^2. \] (2.3)

Obviously, we will construct the controllability of the local weak solution with decay for the semigroup generated by weak energy solutions:

**Theorem 1.** Under the above hypothesis and suppose that \( \omega(x,t) \) is a sufficiently regular weak solution of problem (1.1)-(1.3), the following estimates hold

\[ (c) \quad |\nabla \omega(t)|_{H^1} \leq \delta t^3 |\nabla \omega|_{L^2}^{1/2} |\nabla \nabla \omega|_{L^2}^{1/2} + C^3 \rho^2 \delta^{-2} |\nabla \omega|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda \nu(t) e^{\epsilon(t-a)} \] (2.4)

\[ (d) \quad \text{Let the assumptions (1.2) and (1.3) be satisfied with } \theta = 2, \text{ and } f(t) \leq \kappa e^{-2\mu} \text{. Then, the local weak solution with attenuation } \omega(t) \text{ of problem (1.1)-(1.3) which satisfies the additional regularity, and exists constants } M > 0, \nu > 0 \text{ such that} \]

\[ E(t) \leq Me^{3\nu t} \] (2.5)

**Theorem 2.** Let \( \omega \) be a weak solution with the decay of (1.1)-(1.3). Denote by \( \omega_0(t) = e^{-\lambda t} \) the solution of the wave equation and suppose \( \|\omega_0(t)\|_{H^2} \leq \lambda_0(1 + t)^{-\nu/2} \), \( \omega_0 \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H^4(\Omega) \), \( \omega_0 \in H^4(\Omega) \) and \( f'(x, t) \in W_0^2 \) then problem(1.1)-(1.3) hold a unique local weak solution \( \omega(t) \) with the following estimate

\[ y'(t) + \lambda_0(1 + t)^\mu y(t)^{(1 + \mu)} \leq \lambda_1 y(t)^{\mu} + \lambda_2(1 + t)^{-\eta} \nabla x \omega(t) \] (2.6)

**Remark.** Obviously, from Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, we can easy to establish the control of stability for polynomial decay to (2.3)-(2.5). In comparison to (2.2)-(2.3), we give the strong stability estimates. Add the limit \( t \to \infty \) to the dissipative estimate (2.4) for the approximations \( \omega(t) \), and together with Sobolev embedding theorem, we can immediately conclude that the limit weak solution \( \omega(t) \) also satisfies:

\[ \|\nabla \omega\|_2 + |\nabla \omega|_{L^2}^{1/2} \leq |\nabla \omega|_{L^2} + \int_0^t \|\nabla h(x)\|_{L^2} dx + (\nabla \omega, \nabla \omega) \] (2.7)

**Proofs of Main Results**

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Without loss of generality, we consider the initial-boundary value problem for the following nonlinear wave equation:

\[ u_{tt} - \text{div} \left( (a |\nabla u|^{2r+1}) \nabla u \right) - \Delta u + \Delta uu = g(x, t) \] (3.1)

\[ (g(u), \nabla v) + \Delta \omega_n + m_0 |\nabla \omega|^{\mu} \leq \varepsilon |\nabla v|^{\mu} + C_\varepsilon |\nabla \omega|_{L^2}^{\mu} + m_0 |\nabla v|_{L^2} \] (3.2)

Analogously, for some positive \( \varepsilon, \nu, \rho \),together with the Hölder inequality and the interpolation

\[ g'(t) + C g(t)^{1 + \frac{\mu}{1 + \mu}} \leq C(1 + t)^{-\nu} (1 + t)^{\frac{\nu}{1 + \nu}} g(t)^{\nu} \leq \varepsilon |\nabla \omega|_{L^2}^{\mu} + C_\varepsilon |\nabla \omega|_{L^2}^{\mu+1} \] (3.3)

Similarly as above, we derive the differential inequality as long as the local weak solution \( u(t) \) exists, inserting the above estimates, and using the energy estimate for estimating the energy norms, one can get

\[ |\nabla \omega|_{L^2}^{\mu} + \frac{\gamma}{2} |\nabla \omega|_{L^2}^{\mu+1} \leq - C_\varepsilon E(t) + C_\varepsilon g(t)^{\nu} - C_\varepsilon \Phi + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4} M |\nabla \omega|^2 \] (3.4)

On the other hand, by Young’s inequality, choosing \( \varepsilon > 0 \) small enough and \( E(t) \) is bounded, we finally deduce the following...
\[ G_0'(t) + C_\gamma G(t) \leq C_\delta M_1 (1 + t)^{-\alpha \nu} \]  
(3.5)

\[ g'(t) + C_\lambda (1+t)^{-\alpha \nu} + \|F_\nu(\gamma)\|_{H^2}^2 \leq C_\zeta e^{K (L - 1)} \left\| F_\nu(\nu)\right\|_{H^2}^2 + C_\gamma g(t) \]  
(3.6)

Using now estimates (3.2)-(3.4) together with the interpolation inequality, we infer from (3.5)-(3.6) that

\[ g'(t) + C_\delta e^{K (L-1)} \left\| F_\nu(\nu)\right\|_{H^2}^2 \leq C_\gamma g(t) \]  
(3.7)

Now fix \( L, S \). Then, taking advantage of the smoothing property together with the obviously bounded \( E(t) \)

\[ E(t) + C_\delta \Phi(0) + G_0(t) \leq 2 \Phi(t) \leq 2 g(t) + 2 KG(0)(1+t)^{-K} + C_\delta M_3 e^{-2t} \]  
(3.8)

Multiplying the both sides of (3.7) by \( e^L \) and integrate from 0 to \( t \), we derive

\[ C_\delta \Phi(s) + \int_{B(s,r)} z^2 g(u) p(x) |\nabla u_k|^2 \, dx \leq \int_{B(s,r)} \delta^2 f(u) p(x) \Delta u_k \, dx \]  
(3.9)

We finally obtain

\[ E(u(t)) \leq 2G(u(t)) \leq 2C_\varepsilon e^{-\lambda t} \leq C_\delta E(e^{-\lambda t}) \]  
(3.10)

Hence, the theorem is completed. \( \square \)

**Proof of Theorem 2.** In fact, for \( n = 3 \), \( \omega \in L_1 \cap L_p \), and \( \|v(t) - v_0(t)\| \leq \sigma(1+t)^{-\frac{\nu}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{q} \right)} \), note that the initial data is dense, hence \( \|v_0(t)\| \) attenuates exponentially fast. Indeed, using \( \omega \|\omega\|_{H^{p-2}} \) and \( \partial_x \omega \) multiply the equation (1.7) and integrate by parts over \( x \in \Omega \). One gets

\[ \delta'(t) + \lambda_1 (1+t)^{\nu} \delta(t) \leq C_\varepsilon (1+t)^{-\frac{(p-n)}{2}} \nu(t) \leq C_\varepsilon (1+t)^{-\frac{(p-n)}{2}} \nu(t) \]  
(3.11)

Where \( C_\varepsilon \) is a small positive number which will be fixed. Then we arrive at

\[ \frac{1}{2} (p-n) \delta'(t) + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \omega|^2 \, dx \leq \sigma_\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \left( |v(t)|^p + |\nabla \omega|^p \right) \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \nu (G(v), v) \]  
(3.12)

And notice that

\[ E(u(t)) = \frac{1}{2} \alpha \left\| \nabla \omega(t) \right\|^2 + \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \sigma_\varepsilon (\omega) (t) d\xi \, dx \]  
(3.13)

\[ E(\xi(t)) = \frac{p-2}{p} \|\omega\|_{L_p}^p + \frac{1}{q-1} \left( G(\omega), 1 \right) - \left( G(\omega), 1 \right) + \frac{p-2}{p} \|\omega\|^2 \]  
(3.14)

Let \( \lambda, \alpha \) be small enough, one gets

\[ \frac{d}{dt} E(\xi(t)) + \rho \|\nabla u\|^2_{L^2_L} - \alpha \|\partial_x u\|^2_{L^2_L} = \mu (\varphi'(\nabla u), \nabla u) + \beta (g(u), \omega) \]  
(3.15)

And

\[ \int |u|^p \, dx \leq C_\varepsilon \|\omega\|_{L^2_L}^p + \sigma \|\nabla u\|_{L^2_L}^p \leq C_\varepsilon \|\omega\|_{L^2_L}^p + \delta E(\xi(t)) \]  
(3.16)

Where the constant \( C_\varepsilon, \lambda \) depend only on \( \varepsilon \).

Hence by the standard Galerkin method, interpolation inequality, Young’s and Sobolev’s inequality, this section can be estimated by...
By the properties of heat kernel, we deduce that

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha \|v\|_{L^p}^{p+1} \left( \varphi' (\xi_2) + \|v\|_{L^p} \right) \leq \alpha \|u_0\|_{L^{p+2}}^{p+2} \|v_0\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} - \varphi' (\xi_1) + C \|u\|_{L^p}^{p+1} \\
\end{align*}
\]

(3.17)

By the properties of heat kernel, we deduce that

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t \left[ \frac{pm - 2n + 2p\alpha}{p+4-2n} \langle \partial_t, \nu, \nu \rangle \right] + \tau_0 \left( \left| \nabla_x \omega_1 \right| + \left| \nabla_x \omega_2 \right| \right) \leq C \varphi(t)^{\frac{1}{p+2}} + C \left( 1 + t \right)^{-\mu} \|v\|_{L^{p+2}}^{p+2} \\
\rho \|\partial_t u\|_{L^{p+\mu}}^{p+\mu} + C \|u\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} \leq \frac{1}{2} \kappa \varphi' \left( \nabla v_1 \right) \leq C \left( 1 + t \right)^{-2\mu} + C \left( 1 + t \right)^{-\mu} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{\frac{n}{2-\gamma}} \\
\end{align*}
\]

(3.18)

(3.19)

Noting the uniqueness of energy solution and the Lipschitz continuity in a weak space, there holds (2.6) and Th. 2 is completed.
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