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Abstract-- His study aims to Determine the effect of the problem posing method and problem solving method to students' ability to think creatively, issues that are the focus of this research is due to the low level of students' ability to think creatively. Both models of learning are equally focused on solving the problem, the difference between the two is; in Problem Solving learning models, the issue raised came from teachers, while on Problem Posing learning models, the issue raised came from students themselves. This research is a quasi-experimental research design nonequivalent control group design. The study population was all students of class XII HS SMA Negeri 1 Lembang and a sample of 113 people. Data analysis using SPSS version 21 with statistical hypothesis testing through parametric. The average difference test (paired sample test). Results of the study found There are significant differences increase of creative thinking ability of students’ use of the methods of problem posing with problem solving.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In order to meet the golden generation of Indonesia in 2015. Indonesia circuiting the technological developments that increasingly unstoppable. The development is inseparable from the development economics. has been presented that one of the objectives of economic subjects is to equip learners with the ability to think logically, analytical, systematic, critical, and creative, and the ability to cooperate. Based on these objectives seen that the ability to think creatively is one important point in the implementation of economic learning in school.

Phenomenon in the field so far has shown that the learning process is still a lot of problems. From interviews with educators, in the process of studying economics in class XI SMAN I Lembang has weaknesses that affect the ability to think creatively economy learners. Based on the results of prior research, researchers get the test results of students of teachers of economics, it turns out these results indicate a value less than satisfactory. The learning process is less varied to make students bored in the following study, have an impact on the lack of creative ability of students in the following study, has resulted in a lack of motivation in participating in the learning economy which results in students being lazy so the effect on thinking skills of learners towards learning materials teaching that must be mastered. Problem-solving skills close relation to creative thinking. Kiesswetter (in Hafitria, 2015, p. 3) argues that in his experience, flexible thinking which is a component in creative thinking ability is one of the most important, perhaps even the main one, which must be owned by a good problem-solver.

Besides learning method problem posing as a method of filing of the problems associated with the ability of teachers to motivate students through the formulation of challenging situations so that students can ask questions that can be solved economy and result in the increased ability to solve problems. This study uses problem solving as a method to enhance the students' ability to think creatively. Curriculum 2013 is a form of intervention (intervention) The Government of Indonesia in improving the quality of human resources through various programs or school activities in place since 2013.

The learning model Problem Solving and Problem Posing are two among the many models of learning that involves the activities of students as well as their creativity in the learning process. Problem Solving learning model has an idea as a learning process that requires students to solve problems, which can be made-up by the educator or the real fact that in the environment then solved in the classroom, in various ways and techniques. Both models of learning are equally focused on problem solving, students are encouraged to be active so that the information not only from teachers, but students are also required to construct their own knowledge with their new or update their previous knowledge.

From some of the above, the researchers are encouraged to create learning that is able to develop models of learning problem solving. To that end, the researchers used a model of learning problem posing and problem solving, because both the learning model is the submission of the problem and solving the problem. From the second model of learning proficiency level, the researchers wanted to prove learning model which is better applied in class XII SMA Negeri 1 school year 2015/2016. Based on these descriptions authors conducted a study entitled "Effect of Filing Application Method Problems and Troubleshooting Methods Of Creative
II. METHODOLOGY

The methodology used in this research is a quasi-experimental research or "quasi-experiment consisting of two groups of research is experimental class were studying with learning methods Problem Posing and Problem Solving and grade control with type design in this study is the design Nonequivalent (pretest and posttest) Control group design. Data analysis using SPSS version 21 with statistical hypothesis testing through parametric.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This research was conducted in SMA Negeri 1 Lembang class XI second semester of the academic year 2015-2016, using three classes consisting of two experimental class and first class control. For the experimental class, the first class given learning method of filing problem (Problem Posing) and the second class given learning method solving (Problem Solving). As for the class get control of learning methods konvensionalDari research has been carried out, each of the students in the experimental group were asked to provide feedback on teaching methods that have been done. Here is presented a response to the students' learning method that has been done.

In Figure 1 students' response to the learning method of filing problem (Problem Posing) overall giving positive feedback. This is evidenced by the number of students who answer "yes" to a question asked teachers.

Table 1 and Figure 3 shows the results of pretest and posttest creative thinking ability of students who use the method of filing problem (Problem Posing). From the table looks average pretest at 12.21, and after being given treatment increased by 0.62 which was categorized into 18.65.

TABLE 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Students</th>
<th>Pretest</th>
<th>Mean Postest</th>
<th>N-Gain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>12.21</td>
<td>18.65</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>16.97</td>
<td>16.24</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Figure 2, the overall response of the students were given a positive terhada this method. Evidenced by the number of students who responded by answering "yes". At each question item.

Table 2 and Figure 4 shows the results of pretest and posttest creative thinking ability of students to use problem-solving methods (problem solving). The table shows the average pretest at 15.15, and after being given treatment which increased by 0.15 lower to 16.65 uncategorized.

Table 2 and Figure 4 shows the results of pretest and posttest creative thinking ability of students to use problem-solving methods (problem solving). The table shows the average pretest at 15.15, and after being given treatment which increased by 0.15 lower to 16.65 uncategorized.
TABLE II. RESULTS PRETEST AND POSTTEST IN ITS CLASS EXPERIMENTS USING TROUBLESHOOTING METHODS (PROBLEM SOLVING) AND CLASS CONTROLS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Students</th>
<th>Mean Pretest</th>
<th>Mean Posttest</th>
<th>N-Gain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>15.15</td>
<td>16.65</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>16.97</td>
<td>16.24</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4. Results Pretest and Posttest In Its Class Experiments Using Troubleshooting Methods (Problem Solving) and Class Controls

Testing the hypothesis in this study was conducted to determine whether the hypothesis is accepted or rejected. In this study there were five hypothesis testing that will be proposed, namely:

1) **First Hypothesis Testing**

The first hypothesis in this study there is an increased ability of creative thinking of students who use the method of submission of an issue (problem posing) before and after treatment (treatment). The results of data processing for the test can be seen in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Students</th>
<th>Mean Pretest</th>
<th>Correlate</th>
<th>t-hitung</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pretest PP</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>12.44</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>-10.893</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posttest PP</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>18.67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From Table 3 above shows that the average value of creative thinking ability of students in the group filing the problem (problem posing) before being given treatment at 12.44. Lower when compared with the ability to think creatively after a given treatment amounting to 18.67. This shows that the learning method of filing problems (problem posing) can improve students' ability to think creatively. From the results of hypothesis testing, the value of t-count equal to -10.893 with a significance value of 0.000 <0.05. In accordance with the criteria of testing the hypothesis that H0 rejected and Ha accepted, meaning that there are significant differences creative thinking ability of students to use learning methods filings problems (problem posing) before and after treatment (treatment).

2) **Second Hypothesis Testing**

The second hypothesis in this study there is an increase in creative thinking ability of students to use problem-solving methods (problem solving) before and after treatment (treatment). The results of data processing for the test can be seen in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Students</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Correlate</th>
<th>t-hitung</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pretest PS</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>15.15</td>
<td></td>
<td>-3.339</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posttest PS</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>16.65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From Table 4 above shows that the average value of creative thinking ability of students in group problem solving (problem solving) before being given treatment equal to 15.15. Lower when compared with the ability to think creatively after a given treatment 16.65. This shows that the learning method problem solving (problem solving) can improve students' ability to think creatively. From the results of hypothesis testing, the value of t-count of -3.399 with a significance value of 0.002 <0.05. In accordance with the criteria of testing the hypothesis that H0 rejected and Ha accepted, meaning that there are significant differences creative thinking ability of students to use learning methods solving (problem solving) before and after treatment (treatment).

3) **Third Hypothesis Testing**

The third hypothesis in this study there is an increased ability of creative thinking of students who use the method of submission of an issue (problem posing) and learning methods lecture after treatment (treatment). The results of data processing for the test can be seen in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Students</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>t-hitung</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N-Gain Kontrol</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-0.53</td>
<td>-9.767</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-Gain PP</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>6.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From Table 5 above shows that the average value increase of creative thinking ability of students in the group filing the problem (problem posing) after being given treatment amounting to 6.23. Higher when compared to the increase in the ability to think creatively lecture after lecture given treatment using the method of -0.53. This shows that the learning method of filing problems (problem posing) higher in enhancing the ability of creative thinking of students compared with the lecture method. From the results
of hypothesis testing, the value of t-count of -9.767 with a significance value of 0.000 <0.05. In accordance with the criteria of testing the hypothesis that H0 rejected and Ha accepted, meaning that there are significant differences increase creative thinking ability of students to use learning methods filings problems (problem posing) with improved teaching methods lecture after treatment (treatment).

4) Fourth Hypothesis Testing

The fourth hypothesis in this study there is an increase in creative thinking ability of students to use problem-solving methods (problem solving) and learning methods lecture after treatment (treatment). The results of data processing for the test can be seen in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE VI. FOURTH HYPOTHESIS TEST RESULTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-Gain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kontrol</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From Table 6 above shows that the average value increase of creative thinking ability of students in group problem solving (problem solving) after being given treatment amounting to 4.44. Higher when compared to the increase in the ability to think creatively lecture after lecture given treatment using the method of -0.53. This shows that the learning method problem solving (problem solving) higher in enhancing the ability of creative thinking of students compared with the lecture method. From the results of hypothesis testing, the value of t-count of -8.175 with a significance value of 0.000 <0.05. In accordance with the criteria of testing the hypothesis that H0 rejected and Ha accepted, meaning that there are significant differences increase creative thinking ability of students to use learning methods filings problems (problem posing) with improved methods of teaching problem solving (problem solving) after treatment (treatment).

Studies associated with the process is inseparable from the use of methods studies one of a learning method that can be used in the implementation of Curriculum 2013 is a learning method of filing problems (problem posing) and learning methods fixers (problem solving). Based on research both methods are proven to improve students' creative abilities. As it is expressed by Momon Sudarman (2013, Pg. 48) that the problem-solving mode based learning (problem solving, based, learning) is part of conditioning effort among students for creative and critical thinking bias.

The learning method of filing problems (problem posing) and learning methods solving (problem solving) can be used to improve the ability to think creatively students in the learning economy. Learning scenarios in teaching methods should be applied systematically for encouraging students to think systematically in problem solving alternatives.

IV. CONCLUSION

The results of this study concluded that the application of the learning method of filing problem (Problem Posing) and learning methods solving (problem solving) is a learning method that can be used to enhance the students' ability to think creatively.

In particular, based on the formula of the problem and the hypothesis of the proposed research and the results of data analysis and discussion presented, it can be concluded as follows:

1) There are significant differences increase creative thinking ability of students who uses the method of filing problem.

2) There are significant differences increase creative thinking ability of students' use of problem-solving methods.

3) There are significant differences increase students' ability to think creatively that uses the methods of filing a problem with the lecture method.

4) There are significant differences increase creative thinking ability of students' use of problem-solving method (method).

5) There are significant differences increase students' ability to think creatively that uses the methods of filing with the problem solving methods.
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