Recent advances in excavation, design and support methods: A case study of Mangdechhu project, Bhutan
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Abstract—Construction of hydro power projects in the Himalayan region poses various problems which can have direct implication on the cost and time schedule of the projects. Varying geological conditions necessitate use of modern methodologies for ensuring stability and overall time bound completion of the project. The 720 MW Mangdechhu HE project being undertaken by the MHPA in the Himalayas in the northern parts of Bhutan, is one such project. Here the project authorities have addressed the adverse geological conditions by adopting various technologies for achieving scheduled completion of the project while ensuring stringent quality norms and minimal cost overrun. The Project has encountered adverse geological conditions during excavation of the various appurtenant structures. The stability of the structures has been studied in detail by the aid of numerical modeling, and support measures evaluated. Accordingly, best engineering techniques have been adopted to ensure long term safety of the structures. This paper exclusively deals with these recent rock engineering solutions such as pre-exavation grouting, diaphragm wall etc. for overcoming construction related problems while ensuring quality norms and also maintaining the construction schedule dates for commissioning of the project by March 2018.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The 720 MW Mangdechhu HE project is a run off the river project, located on the Mangdechhu River in central Bhutan. The project comprises a 112m high Concrete Gravity Dam with four spillways, two 340m long, 14m wide 17m high underground Desilting Chambers, a 13.5 km long, 6.5m dia. Head Race Tunnel, an open to air, 152m deep and 13.5m diameter surge shaft, two numbers pressure shafts of 3.5m diameter and 1910 m length of stepped configuration between El. 1650 and 1014m and finally bifurcating into four nos. of 2.5m diameter circular penstocks, a 155m long, 23m wide, 41m high underground power house cavern housing 4nos. Pelton turbines of 180 MW each and a 1.33 km long Tail Race Tunnel. The project construction was initiated in the year 2012 and is now nearing completion with targeted generation expected in March 2018.

II. LOCATION AND REGIONAL GEOLOGICAL SETTING:

Geographically the project is spread in an area encompassing approx. 2.5 sq km, comprising a part of the Central Himalayas within rocks of both the Thimpu Gneissic Complex and the Cheka Formation which are both significant litho domains of Bhutan and cover wide regions of the country. The rock mass in the area is dominantly gneissic with interbedded biotite/muscovite schist’s in the Thimpu Gneissic complex while quartzite’s with interbedded schists are dominant in the Cheka formation. Foliation, the major discontinuity, is generally sub horizontal by virtue of which strong structural control is exercised in all underground excavations with the roof sections being prone to slabbing. This feature has thus been responsible for major tunneling problems particularly in reaches associated with high water table where water ingress resulted in creation of both continuous over-breaks and cavity formations. The Geological ground conditions were to some extent divergent from DPR level predictions which led to engineering problems in both surface and underground excavations, consequently so as to maintain and meet schedule and restrict escalation of project cost, Innovations in design, excavation and support methodologies had to be introduced in the construction of various engineering structures. The methods and practices thus adopted are briefly described below:

III. CONCRETE DAM ABUTMENT AND FOUNDATION:

To accommodate the 112m high concrete dam in a narrow, confined gorge of 150m width necessitated deep cutting of both abutments which led to creation of cut-slopes which in certain sections had a depth of 192m. The design philosophy was to limit excavation in rock while resorting to removal of overburden and dress the slope for best stability. However variations in topography, presence of natural undercuts at bed level, excavation encroachments in higher level vegetation occasionally led to creation of slope stability problems. This aspect was further augmented by presence of certain adversely oriented discontinuity sets, having large strike persistence
(sometimes of the order of 30m) which exercised control on the stability of the abutments.

These joints displayed openings upto 50cm and were found to exist well within the confines of the abutment thus necessitating removal to depth. To study the collective effect of all these negative features and ensure stability of the abutments, NIRM was requested to carry out numerical modelling studies on a periodical basis. During this period the cut slopes were monitored rigorously by the aid of an instrumentation program, while the slopes were treated by the aid of 12m deep consolidation grouting, 25m deep tendons, rock bolts and shotcrete. The FOS of the rock slope sections were evaluated prior to treatment and subsequently Never the less some minor slope failures did take place both in the rock and overburden slopes in close vicinity to the concrete dam, due to incessant rainfall during monsoons.

The overburden failures were treated by the aid of with installed support system and found to be well within the desired limits. Non frame stabilization method by the aid of 5m long soil nails installed in a grid supplemented by wire mesh and jute matting. The non-frame method is an active support technique for stabilizing slide zones in both homogenous and heterogeneous medium and is contrastingly different from the passive support techniques such as concrete retaining walls and gabions presently being resorted to for dealing with potentially active slides. Non frame reinforces slopes by transporting resisting force from bedrock to topsoil being structured by a number of imbedded steel bars (soil nails) connected to each other by a triangular wire net.

IV. SURGE SHAFT:

The 13.5m dia., 152m deep open to air surge shaft and its 72m high open cut back slopes have been excavated in very poor to fair rockmass of the Cheka Formation comprising thinly foliated inter bedded sequence of biotite schist and quartzite. Deep seated weathering within the schist bands and fracturing of the quartzite had contributed to very poor tunneling conditions in the Surge

Fig.1. Geological section along axis of Concrete Gravity Dam depicting rock support

Fig.2. Cross section at Dam Axis showing Dam Blocks and depicting slump joints

Fig.3. Dam excavation in progress depicting slump joints

Fig.4. Plan view of open joints
Shaft, Valve Chamber and the Penstock Erection Galleries, for which special excavation and support methodology had to be devised comprising pre and post excavation grouting, 9 to 12m deep rock anchors, shotcrete and ISMB 250 steel ribs at 0.5m spacing. In view of the greater schist component in the rock mass constituting the surge shaft, pre grouting around the periphery with OPC having a water cement ratio of 1:1 at significantly high pressures was required to achieve consolidation of the weathered medium followed by 9 to 12m long rock anchors and shotcrete of 100mm thickness. The excavated profile was supported by ISMB 250 steel rib sections at 0.5m interval anchored to the rockmass by the aid of 36mm dia., dowels of 3m length. Simultaneously with the excavation peripheral grouting was taken up radially in the form of two concentric rings around a zone, 4m and 7m away from the periphery of the shaft to a depth of 100m.

Coring of the grouted rock mass was carried out and the engineering parameters tested at IIT Delhi, for ascertaining the efficacy of the grouting. Improvement in engineering parameters was noted as follows: Microscopic thin section studies revealed that all fractures and cracks in the specimens were filled with cement grout with good contact at the rock/ grout interface without voids. Thickness of grout fill ranged from 3mm to 20 cm. Increase in density (both dry and saturated) from 2.56 to 3.12 gm /cc indicating that grout fill had clearly improved the physical properties of rock.

The Brazilian strength values for Quartz Mica schist for both ungrouted and grouted samples were found to increase from 8.17MPa to 15.44 MPa respectively indicating that the grout in fractures improved the tensile behavior of the rock mass. The shear factors i.e. cohesion and friction angle for grouted quartz mica schist specimens were found to be 5.373 KPa and 40° respectively indicating a marked improvement from the
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Fig. 8. Typical section depicting soil nail arrangement

Fig. 9. Geological L section of Surge Shaft showing rock support

Fig. 10. Grout takes for R-12 Peripheral Grouting (Bags)

Fig. 11. Grout takes for R-12 Peripheral Grouting (Intake/m)
Fig. 12. Grout takes for R-15 peripheral grouting (Bags)

Fig. 13. Grout takes for R-15 peripheral grouting (Intake /m)

Fig. 14. Grout takes for pre excavation grouting (Bags)

Fig. 15. Grout takes for pre excavation grouting (Intake /m)

TABLE I: ROCK TYPE AND ENGINEERING PARAMETERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rock type</th>
<th>Engineering parameters</th>
<th>Treated</th>
<th>Untreated</th>
<th>% Increase</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quartz mica schist</td>
<td>Tensile strength (MPa)</td>
<td>15.44</td>
<td>7.49</td>
<td>106.3</td>
<td>Increase of 106 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Water content (%)</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>-14.0</td>
<td>Reduced by 14% indicating filling of voids by grout, hence reduction of available volume for accumulation of water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dry density (g/cc)</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>Increased by 6% indicating void filling by grout and consolidation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Saturated density (g/cc)</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>Increase in saturated density is attributed to increase in dry density.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cohesive strength (KPa)</td>
<td>5.37</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>Increase from 0 to 5.373 KPa, indicates increase in cohesion after grouting which along open joints was zero and is attributed to filling of void by grout. Increase in cohesive strength from zero to 5.373 KPa after grouting resulted in improving shear strength of the rock mass and thus increasing stability of the structure with response to shearing stresses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Angle of internal friction (degree)</td>
<td>40.36</td>
<td>33.38</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>Increase of 21% has resulted in remarkable increase in shear strength ensuring better stability of the structure with respect to shearing stresses</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Higher average grout intake between 0.1796 to 0.1799 is attributed to amphibolite rock mass characterized by presence of open joints, grout intake reduced with decrease in extent of amphibolite rock mass as highly to moderately weathered biotite schist with thin bands of amphibolite schist (low intake at 0.1796) is attributed to sheared rock mass with presence of thick clay bands. Slightly to moderately weathered biotite schist with clay infilling along joints results in improving shear strength of the rock mass and is attributed to filling of void by grout. Increase in shearing stresses resulted in improving cohesive strength from zero to 5.373 KPa after grouting resulting in improving shear strength of the rock mass and thus increasing stability of the structure with response to shearing stresses.
earlier recorded observation of 0 KPa along N080° E. The Caverns are located on the left bank of Mangdechhu on the upstream of Yurmuchhu – Mangdechu Confluence with a superincumbent rock cover of almost 200m. Both the caverns have been excavated in hard, strong to very strong quartzites of the Cheka Formation with intermediate biotite schist lenses and pegmatite veins. It was noted that the encountered geological conditions differed considerably from the DPR level studies wherein the cavern was assessed to generally be excavated in 77% class III (fair) and 23% class II (good) rock mass, while the encountered geological conditions suggested almost 85% class III (fair) rock mass and 15% Class IV (i.e. poor) rock mass.

During the excavation of central gullet and upstream and downstream slashing (EL: 1052.00m to 1045.00m), 87% of total length was excavated in Fair (Class III) category of rock mass and 13% in Poor (Class IV) category of rock mass. During further benching of the Cavern i.e. from EL: 1045m to 1021m, 85% Class III (Fair) rock mass and 15% Class IV (Poor) rock mass has been encountered.

During the excavation of central gullet of Power House Cavern and Transformer Cavern, a 1.5 m thick/wide shear zone comprising of sheared and fractured rockmass (maximum width/thickness of fractured rock mass upto 8m) and attitude N280°-290°/15° to 20°, was intercepted between RD 125m to 155m (between EL 1052m to 1044m) and RD 70 to 90m (EL: 1056.50 1048.50m) respectively. The disposition of the shear zone was such that it was expected to intersect the crane beam columns of both the Caverns at varying elevations and to intersect the entire length of the Caverns while also bisecting the intermediate rock pillars.

A. The presence of shear/fractured zone in various reaches of both the Powerhouse and Transformer Caverns was expected to have the following implications during excavation:

- Instability of the crown portion of both Power House and Transformer Cavern indicated failure in the initial studies.
- Settlement in the crane beam columns
- Instability of the downstream crown area above the service bay corresponding to levels at EL: +1046m.
- Instability of the rock column between the two caverns corresponding to the area above & between the Bus ducts.

B. Transformer cavern:

- Instability of the crown from RD 70m onwards, particularly at the junction with the fractured pegmatite band encountered between RD 75-135m.
- Settlement in the crane beam columns, particularly along the upstream wall. Instability between the inclined bus duct openings in the upstream wall.

In view of the presence of the shear zone and its disposition affecting both Caverns coupled with formation of cavities, it was collectively decided by MHPA and the consultant to reassess the stability of both Caverns while also revalidating the rock support and excavation methodology thereof. The following 2 options were thoroughly studied/investigated viz;

1) Shifting of the Power House and Transformer Caverns: By shifting about 40m laterally towards Valley side whereby the Crown of the Caverns could be relatively free from the effect of the shear zones.

II) Non-shifting of the Power House and Transformer Caverns: The longitudinal shifting as proposed at Serial No. (I) as above, would result in the Shear/Fractured Zone intersecting the Unit-IV Turbine Foundation and such an alternative may attract subsidence in future during the operation and maintenance of the Power House. Therefore, it is felt prudent to treat the Shear/Fractured Zone in-situ, instead shifting the Power House and Transformer Caverns by 40m. The Technical discussions hovered around the likely deformations in crown and also in vertical walls incase project goes for non-shifting i.e. proposal at Serial No. (II). To develop the confidence, it was decided in the Technical Co-ordination Committee (TCC) meeting to go for 3D Numerical Modelling through NIRM, Bengaluru.

Considering the presence of weak rockmass associated with the shear zone and to effectively deal with frequent loose fall from these zones it was decided to provide steel ribs support (ISMB 350 @0.5m C/C) between RD 0 to 35 and RD 100 to 155 at the Power House cavern and between RD 0 to 20 and RD 60 to 120m (ISMB 350 @1m C/C) in the Transformer Cavern. Accordingly, NIRM was approached for carrying out 3DEC modelling studies of the underground Caverns, while the work of redesigning the support system based on the stability studies, were taken up progressively with the ongoing excavation. The actual deformation observed and recorded at site during excavation was closely monitored and compared with predicted/anticipated displacements in the 3DEC numerical modelling studies. The maximum deformation as anticipated at Crown of Machine Hall was 27.8mm whereas maximum deformation observed at site was only 13mm (as on 30.06.15). Similarly the anticipated deformation at U/s and D/s wall of Machine Hall was assessed as 78.69mm and 61.49 mm whereas maximum deformation observed was 13.6 mm and 39.7mm respectively (as on 30.06.15).

The 3DEC modelling studies in brief suggested that:

The FOS reduced marginally for areas in the proximity of the excavated Caverns however the rock mass in the fractured zones associated with the shear zone, in particular for the bus duct pillars was found to be very low i.e. less than 1 which could be attributed to the low strength of the fractured rock mass and also to the tensile stresses acting in these areas.

The application of scooping out 1.5 to 3m of fractured zone and back filling by concrete (M30), around the excavated Caverns significantly improved the FOS. The above remedial measures were found to be quite successful in eliminating failure zones at the face of the Caverns. Similarly 12m long, 32mm dia. rock bolts were installed at the crown portion of both Power House and Transformer Caverns at a spacing of 3m center to center. Shotcrete thickness was also increased from 200mm to 250mm on the roof and wall of PH Cavern and TH Cavern. Significant areas in the rock pillar between Power House Cavern and Transformer Cavern indicated failure in the initial studies.
The requirement for review of support system in the Bus Ducts and application of suitable remedial measures were taken up accordingly. Subsequently stitching of the rock pillar between the Bus Ducts by aid of 36mm dia., 17m long rock bolts at the spacing of 1.5m c/c was proposed and implemented at site. After stitching the rock pillars between individual Bus Ducts, the displacement was observed to have reduced considerably thereby improving the stability.

The rib sections provided at different locations at both the Caverns were found to be effective in distribution of the movements/ loads in roof thus improving the stability of the rockmass around the Caverns. The report also concluded that in the presence of ribs, the displacement in the wall also reduced as the ribs were found to control load transfer on the haunch.

Additional geo-technical instruments (MPBX, up to 20m depth and Load cell with 100 ton capacity) were also installed for monitoring deep seated deformation as suggested in the 3DEC report.

The cross section of Power House and Transformer Caverns showing details of rock support system installed at site is given below:

Shear Zone treatment:

The shear/fractured zone was treated progressively during course of excavation of both the Caverns. The shear/fractured rock mass wherever exposed was scooped out upto a maximum depth of 1.5 to 3m and filled with rich concrete of greater strength (M30). Consolidation grouting (stage grouting of 3m sections) was also carried out upto the depth of 20m using micro fine/ultrafine cement with grout mix 5:1 to 0.8:1 (ratio by weight of water and cement) and maximum pressure upto 30kg/cm². In order to control grout leakages in fracture rock mass inflatable packers were also used.

Consolidation and contact grouting:

Power House Cavern: The Machine Hall Cavern was strengthened by aid of consolidation grouting upto the depth of 6m. 45mm dia., grout holes were drilled at a spacing of 1.5m c/c in a staggered manner and grouting with micro fine cement having 2:1 to 1:1 water cement ratio was carried out. Similarly consolidation of Transformer Hall Cavern medium was carried out with the aid of 6m depth grout holes. Maximum pressure of 14kg/cm² was applied during consolidation grouting.

The actual deformation observed and recorded at site during excavation was closely monitored and compared with predicted/anticipated displacements in the 3DEC numerical modeling studies. The maximum deformation as anticipated at Crown of Machine Hall was 27.8mm whereas maximum deformation observed at site was only 13mm (as on 30.06.15). Similarly the anticipated deformation at U/s and D/s wall of Machine Hall was assessed as 78.69mm and 61.49 mm whereas maximum deformation observed was 13.6 mm and 39.7mm respectively (as on 30.06.15). The graphical presentation of instrumentation for monitoring the rate of deformation vis-à-vis excavation sequences comparing anticipated deformation and actual deformation observed at site during course of excavation of both the Caverns has been detailed in the figures provided below.

VI. POTHEAD YARD

The 160m long, 42m wide Pothead Yard has been accommodated about 40m downstream of the MAT portal, at an elevation of 1083m at the base of the hill constituting the left bank of the Mangdechhu. The proposed Pothead yard is aligned in NNW – SSE direction, to facilitate creation of a sufficient wide bench at this location, the back slopes of the structure have been dressed to a height of 120m i.e. from EL 1205m at top to EL 1083m at the base by the aid of excavation of 8 nos. 15m height benches of width 3m ina slope whose gradient varies from 37° to 53° i.e. from 1:1.25 between EL 1203 and EL 1123 to 1:2 between EL 1123 and EL 1083m respectively, the average slope gradient being 40°. The typical slope geometry is depicted in a cross section at RD 10m as given below:

The Pothead Yard is aligned almost parallel to the strike of the bed rock. The bed rock generally dips towards valley with moderate to gentle dips (22° to 40°). Such exposed foliation dip surfaces are visible along the presently excavated benches and approach road. The parallelism between strike of the foliation and cut slopes...
along with open character of the discontinuities was expected to induce failure along the foliation planes (planar failure) thus resulting in instability of the rock cut slopes. To ensure the stability of the cut slopes, extensive preand post excavation grouting both in the berms and the slope was carried out to fill the open discontinuities planes and rock anchors of 7.5 to 9 m length 36mm diameter were provided between EL 1205 to 1138m while 12 m length anchors were provided below EL. 1138. The cut slopes were covered in shotcrete of thickness of 150mm with welded wire mesh and the excavation monitored by the aid of 10 m to 20m depth multipoint bore hole extensometers and load cells. The stability of the cut slopes was also assessed by the aid of numerical modeling studies carried out by NIRM under dynamic conditions to assess the planned support system and suggest recommendations if any. The stability analysis was carried out along five sections using Discreet Element Numerical Model (UDEC) which is a two dimensional numerical program based on the distinct element method for discontinuum modeling. The factor of safety analyzed along five sections is tabulated below.

The predicted displacements obtained along five sections by numerical modeling were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>FOS value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section AA’</td>
<td>1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section BB’</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section CC’</td>
<td>2.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section DD’</td>
<td>2.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section EE’</td>
<td>1.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section BB’: A maximum displacement of 90mm was observed at EL. 1078. The bench slopes between EL. 1091 to 1139 experienced displacement in the range of 70-80mm, while the bench slopes between EL. 1139 to EL.1160 experienced displacement in the range of 60-70mm.

Section CC’: A maximum displacement of 45mm was observed at EL.1134m, while the bench slopes between EL. 1078 and 1134 experienced displacement in the range of 30-40mm. Section EE’’. The modeling results revealed the maximum displacement of 70mm at EL. 1103m, while Section DD’’. A maximum displacement of 25 to 30mm was observed along the entire slope face between EL.1078 to EL. 1113m.

The bench slopes between EL. 1103 and 1205 experienced displacement in the range of 50-60mm. Typical graphical representations of displacements and load measured at various Chainages and levels by aid of MPBX and load cells are given below. Meanwhile, during Oct 2015 some hair line cracks were observed in the shotcrete covering the berms and interconnecting slope faces between EL 1108 to 1158m, mainly concentrated towards downstream of RD 110m where weaker rockmass prevailed and natural slope gradients were gentler.
The appearance of these cracks raised apprehension of settlements in the weaker rock sections towards downstream and which called for immediate revalidation of support measures. Meanwhile upon the excavation reaching below 1090m, an approximately 50cm to 1m wide shear plane was encountered with dip towards downstream, as this feature could have repercussions on the stability issue, it was considered prudent to introduce 25m long cable anchors as an additional measure to provide support to the toe of the excavation between El 1093m and 1140m. In addition secondary and tertiary grout holes along the berms were also introduced for better consolidation of the rock mass.

The efficacy of the grouting is displayed in the photos below. Pre and post grouting water pressure tests carried out in 3m stages, during secondary stage grouting of cable anchor drill holes, confirmed the elimination of voids and consolidation of rock mass as is evident by the values displayed in the Table III.

From the above results inference could be drawn that the support measures resorted to were adequate for ensuring stabilization of the structure, which was further reinforced by the results of the stability analysis carried out by NIRM.
### Table III. Showing Water Permeability Test Results for Cable Anchor Installation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RD (m)</th>
<th>55</th>
<th>60</th>
<th>65</th>
<th>70</th>
<th>75</th>
<th>80</th>
<th>85</th>
<th>90</th>
<th>95</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>105</th>
<th>110</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Depth (m)</td>
<td>Lugeon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 - 3</td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td>&gt; 100/2.5*</td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 - 6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>62.8/12.9*</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 - 9</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>81.6/0.4*</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>17.74</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 - 12</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.97</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-15</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Post grouting Lugeon values

### VII. Conclusion:

Successful completion of Excavation in Underground Machine Hall Cavern and also of Transformer Cavern, in spite intersecting the shear zones in the walls of both caverns, is attributable to numerical modelling analysis coupled with the rigorous instrumentation during excavation phase of Mangdechhu Project. Similar to above, the deep excavations carried out in the Dam Abutments/Surge Shaft Back Slope along with the excavation in the Surge Shaft itself/deep open cut excavation in Pothead Yard are also contributing in the success of excavation phase in Mangdechhu Project. MHPA was cautious right from the beginning regarding analysis/instrumentation during the excavation of caverns/deep slopes and preferred 3D numerical modelling along with instrumentation. Hydro project structures are very sensitive to failures of slopes/caving in the Underground excavations and therefore, it would always be advisable to go for Numerical analysis with carefully selecting the process using the specific software especially 3DEC and FLAC 3D. Simulation of the ground conditions i.e. the rock joints / properties etc. are required to be incorporated to predict the behaviour of the Stress Contours which is to be again to be verified through the instrumentation. Validation of prediction and comparison of results i.e. numerical analysis versus actual behaviour is the key for success.
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