Simultaneous Removal of Trichloroethylene and Hexavalent Chromium in Groundwater by Iron Powder
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Abstract. In order to investigate simultaneously removing effect of iron powder(Fe(0)) on trichloroethylene (TCE) and hexavalent chromium (Cr(\textsuperscript{VI})) , Fe(0) was used to treat TCE and Cr(\textsuperscript{VI}) of polluted groundwater at room temperature and Features on the surface of Fe(0) surface were checked by Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) and Energy-Dispersive Spectrometry. The experimental results showed that the iron powder could simultaneously remove the TCE and Cr(\textsuperscript{VI}) in the groundwater, and achieved good removal rate. After 200 days, the largest removal rate of 94.8\% was reached for TCE and 96.4\% for Cr(VI). Through analysis of the images of SEM and EDS a conclusion was acquired that the iron powder surface contained the elements of carbon, oxygen, silicon, calcium, chromium and iron.

Introduction

Hexavalent chromium (Cr(\textsuperscript{VI})) and trichloroethylene (TCE) are two kinds of common contaminants found in polluted groundwater.Excessive Cr(\textsuperscript{VI}) has a lethal effect on aquatic organisms and experiments showed that the contaminated drinking water of Cr(\textsuperscript{VI}) can cause cancer. TCE is cumulative anesthetics of central nervous system and it hurts liver, kidney and heart. Because of the dangers of Cr(\textsuperscript{VI}) and TCE on the environment some economic and effective methods must be used to deal with them. Pump and treat technologies and in situ remediation technologies are two broad approaches[1]. Pump and treat technologies has a few shortcomings: difficult to remove contaminants thoroughly; limited influence radius of drawn wells groups; should not be used for poor permeability or NAPL (Non Aqueous Phase Liquids) aquifer[2]. In situ repair included electric repair, bioremediation and chemical remediation[3]. PRB (Permeable Reactive Barrier) is a common device to process pollutants in the groundwater[4]. The packing of PRB included iron powder, activated carbon, zeolite and biological materials which could remove pollutants through adsorption, chemical precipitation, oxidation reduction and biochemical reaction[5-7]. Commonly used material was cheap iron, iron could effective adsorb and decrease a variety of heavy metals and organic matters. The use of Fe(0)-PRBs for treating polluted groundwater was close to two decades;however, more researches were still required to provide sufficient information of reactive mechanisms about combined pollution of heavy metals and organic matter and predict long-term performance of PRBs[8-10]. The purpose of this paper is to evaluate the efficiency of iron pillar towards Cr(\textsuperscript{VI}) and TCE removal, evaluate the sorts of elements on the iron surface through Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) and Energy-Dispersive Spectrometry (EDS).

Materials and Methods

The organic glass pillar , water tanks and peristaltic pump were used as experimental apparatus (Fig. 1). The height of the pillar was 60 cm, and the diameter of the pillar was 8 cm. Quartz sand of 2 cm thick was laid on the bottom of the pillar, and iron powder was filled on the quartz sand with a certain set of water space at the head of the pillar. On the side of the pillar five sampling ports were set, and the distance between two sampling ports was 10 cm. Sampling ports were closed with rubber stoppers, and syringes tied to the rubber stoppers were used to take samples. Polluted ground water
containing Cr(VI) and TCE were lifted by peristaltic pump from the bottom to the up of the pillar from the influent water tank with 10 ml/min of flow velocity. The running time of the pillar was 200 d at room temperature. About 40 mesh of iron powder with purity of 98.5% was used as reducing agent to remove Cr(VI) and TCE. The impurities of iron powder are Mn(<0.30%), Si(<0.11%), C(<0.02%) and S(<0.02%). The compositions of the experimental water were clean groundwater adding 10 mg/L of Cr (VI) and 5 mg/L of TCE. Experimental instruments included atomic absorption spectrophotometer (TAS-990 Super), Gas chromatography (Agilent 6890N), Roman spectrum (Invia 2000) and Scanning electron microscope (HITACHI). Acetylene-air flame atomic absorption spectrometry was used to measure Cr(VI), gas chromatography was used to measure TCE, EDS and Roman spectrum were used to analysis iron powder surface.

Results and discussion

Change of pH

In order to study pH change with running time pH of each sampling port was measured at regular intervals. From the bottom of the pillar sampling ports were sequence numbered Port 1, Port 2, Port 3, Port 4 and Port 5. The test results of pH are shown in Fig. 2. During operation of 28 days, pH of all sampling ports increased significantly. After 28 days, pH of Port 5 remained fast rising trend, pH of Port 4 increased slowly and pH of other ports changed little. The reaction between Fe(0) and chlorinated organic compounds (RCl) is based on the following equation [19]:

\[ \text{Fe}^0 + \text{RCl} + \text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{RH} + \text{Cl}^- \]

In this reaction process hydrogen ions are consumed. The reaction of Fe(0) and Cr(VI) is based on the following equation [20]:

\[ \text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7^{2-} + 2\text{Fe}^0 + 7\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 2\text{Cr}^{3+} + 14\text{OH}^- + 2\text{Fe}^{3+} \]

In this process hydroxyl ions are produced. Therefore, removal of TCE and Cr(VI) using Fe(0) making pH rise. Before 28 days pH increased quickly, because passivation membrane had not formed on the iron surface, and reaction rate was fast. After 28 days, some iron reactive products formed at the bottom of the pillar, covered the surface of iron, and decreased the reaction rate. Hence pH of sampling ports of bottom had not obvious change but pH of the upper ports still rose.

Changes of TCE and Cr(VI) concentration

To study TCE concentration changed over time under Long-term operation the daily average concentration of effluent TCE were measured. The curves of TCE concentration and removal rate are shown in Fig. 3. As the running time changed from 7 day to 200 day, effluent TCE concentration increased, and TCE removal rate decreased. The effluent TCE concentration changed from 0.26mg/L to 0.74mg/L, accordingly, TCE removal rate changed from 94.8% to 85.2%. According the reaction between Fe\(^0\) and TCE(Fe\(^0\)+ RCl + H\(^+\)→Fe\(^{2+}\)+ RH + Cl\(^-\)) there were not precipitations generating. But due to the presence of Cr(VI) in the pillar, reaction between Fe\(^0\) and Cr(VI) generated some iron oxides that inhibited the reaction of Fe\(^0\) with TCE. Therefore effluent TCE concentration decreased consequently. But TCE removal rate was still 80% above, the life of the iron was sustainable.
In order to study Cr(VI) concentration changed with time under long-term operation the daily average concentration of effluent Cr(VI) were measure at regular intervals. The curves of Cr(VI) concentration and removal rate are shown in Fig. 4. In the whole operating process, the effluent Cr(VI) concentration increased and Cr(VI) removal rate declined continually. During 200 day of running the effluent Cr(VI) concentration varied from 0.36 mgL to 1.74 mgL, accordingly, Cr(VI) removal rate changed from 96.4% to 82.6%.

**Fig. 3 TCE removal with running time**  **Fig. 4 Cr(VI) removal with running time**

SEM pictures of iron surface

The products attached to the iron powder surface have important effects on the reaction of iron and the pollutants. For qualitatively checking the iron powder surface before and after iron pillar running, iron powder were investigated by SEM. Fig. 5(a) is the raw iron powder pictures of different magnification before reaction. The raw iron powder surface is smooth, and has rich holes without mulches. After 200 day running the iron powder surface is covered large area of the materials and the original holes are not clearly to be seen(Fig. 5(b)). This is the reason why reaction rate reduced at the end of running.

**Fig. 5 Iron images of SEM**

(a) Raw iron (Magnification of 500 and 5000)

(b) Iron of 200d running (Magnification of 500 and 5000)
EDS detection of iron surface

In order to determine what are the elements on the iron powder surface and how much of their content, iron powder surface was detected with EDS at the end of pillar running, and EDS results are shown in Fig. 6. Fig. 6(1) shows the scanning zone of iron powder and Fig. 6(2) shows the elements spectra. From Fig. 6 we can see the iron powder surface contained the elements of carbon, oxygen, silicon, calcium, chromium and iron. Among them sources of silicon were impurities of the iron or sand from the bottom of the columns, and carbon and calcium come from influent. Oxygen and chromium come from potassium dichromate of influent. These elements of weight contents were sorted from high to low: iron (6.44%), oxygen (19.86%), carbon (6.20%), calcium (4.56%), silicon (0.58%) and chromium (0.35%).

![Fig. 6 EDS images](image)

Conclusions

Iron powder could effectively remove mixed pollution of Cr(VI) and TCE in the groundwater. The removal rate of Cr(VI) and TCE all reached 80% above with 200 d of iron pillar running. The reactions between iron and Cr(VI) or TCE consumed hydrogen ion, so effluent pH increased from the original of 7.05 to the end of 8.41 with running time increase. After reaction with Cr(VI) and TCE the elements producing on the surface of the iron powder were iron, oxygen, carbon, calcium, silicon and chromium and the iron content was the largest than others.
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