Abstract. Cloud computing, which revolutionizes application development model, is an inevitable trend in the development of IT technology. Cloud computing providers sell Server Platform (IaaS), development environment (PaaS) or software application (SaaS) to users. As for PaaS, a separate database as a service (DaaS) is necessary, so that application developers can use as usual as normal database, rather than focus on the specific implementation of the database. In this paper, we present a general solution for a private cloud platform based on OpenStack, using MySQL database as DaaS, which can take advantage of the limited hardware resources. And we will explain how to design and implementation of DaaS, and analysis it’s characteristics, such as multi-tenant, scalability, search strategy, high availability and so on.
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flexible, and hardware consume the most. The second model is shared database and independent schema [4, 5], in which each user in the same database instance, but have separate schema. Tenants’ data between each other is logically invisible, upper application use database as easy as normal database, but backup and recovery is slightly complex. The last model is shared database, shared schema, and shared data table [4, 5], in which tenants’ data sharing in the data table level. The lowest cost, and highest resource utilization leads to various aspects of highly complex. The following figure can vividly display the different storage model of the three solutions (figure 1).
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According to actual hardware utilization and technical difficulties, we decided to use the second model to design and implement our DaaS.

### 3. Design and Implementation

MySQL database will be able to meet most needs of users. So, the service is based on MySQL. The figure 2 shows that a database cluster is treated as the basic instance, each of which consists of master and slave MySQL databases.

![Architecture of the DaaS](image)
**Scalability:** The database system can accommodate natural horizontal expansion of the database. When an instance is added, we just need to update the total amount of current database instance, marked M here, without making any other changes.

**Strategy Forwarding:** When using the DaaS, a user just need to click the button to initialize his or her database from the website. Then, according to the select strategy, the background will select an instance to create an independent schema and return all the corresponding login information for the user. Finally, the user can normally use the database, without focusing on any other things. In the system, each user of the cloud platform will have a unique ID number N. Meanwhile, each instance has its own cluster number 1, 2, 3 ... M and a flag bit, which is used to mark whether the current instance accommodates the largest number of users. When the flag is true, the instance can’t create a new schema, on the contrary, it’s feasible. Therefore, the ID number of a selected instance can be calculated as:

\[
\text{Number} = N\%(M - k) + k + 1 \quad (k = 1,2,3 \ldots M - 1)
\]

The full instances’ number increase from 1, 2, 3 to M, and the ‘k’ means that the first instance number whose flag is false.

**Multi-tenant:** The system is designed without database middleware. Because that the existing middleware rarely provides multi-tenant characteristic. What’s more, those multi-tenant-oriented middleware is too redundant, or does not meet real needs. If we make changes on some lightweight middleware, such as MySQL-Proxy [8]. In this case, inputs and outputs may not perform as expected, and the performance may also decrease (for example, to maintain multiple database connection pool requires high cost) [8]. Ignoring middleware can let the database reach native database’s reaction rate, but it will cause a little inconvenience at the same time. If you need to separate read and write or database connection pool, you make it possible from the client.

**High Availability:** As we know, a database cluster instance is treated as a unit instance. Therefore, when you design the system, you can simply add a standby master and a standby slave node (there are many same existing cluster implementations), to ensure the databases’ high availability.

**Backup:** Backup’s core function is based on MySQL database’s built-in backup function [7]. Users click on backup button from the cloud platform website, then the system will back up their data. And different users’ data are stored in different folders so that data is isolated. Of course, you can also store a user’s data into the OpenStack’s swift component.

**Security:** Since that the database can only be visited from cloud platform’s internal access, external network is naturally isolated from database environment. And the database is deployed on a virtual machine created by OpenStack [6], which can make a good use of the security features of OpenStack. Within double protection, the database service will be able to be in a relatively safe environment, without additional security measures. But, if the database is deployed on a physical machine, there may need some special measures to make sure that the database is adequate security.

**Resource Limits:** As far as we know, cloud platform is adapted for multi-tenant. User resources requires not only isolated from each other, but also be appropriately limited to prevent a malicious user occupy all resources. Database resources limit is absolutely essential. As for the database connection limit and common properties [7], MySQL’s build-in function is enough. However, there is no ready-made function for users’ data capacity limit. Therefore, we propose a solution for capacity constraints:

1) We monitor each user’s data capacity, we named Q here, for real-time, when a user’s Q exceeds a preset value (e.g.1G), we will revoke the user’s update, create and insert permissions of the database;

2) The user can still use the database, but only have query, delete permissions;

3) When the user deletes the data to a certain threshold (e.g.800M), we will regrant user’s insert, update, and create permissions of the database. Finally, the user can normally use the database.
The worker will be running in the master node of each instance, collecting the user's capacity usage. When the capacity exceeds the limit, the worker will revoke users’ appropriate permissions. But when the data is deleted, the worker will regrant the privileges. Each worker sends the data to the collector module through zeromq message queue. Then, the collector will store the data into the database, which is used by the website. In the end, the web page can display users’ capacity usage dynamically, by getting the data from the database.

The limit and monitoring module not only limits the capacity of resources, but also monitors the users’ real-time capacity usage.

**Fig.4. Web Implementation**

Fig.4 is the demo webpage. When you have clicked the initial button of the database for an application, you can see this page, which shows all the information of the database. In addition, you can directly operate the database after clicking the first button displayed in the Fig.4, because we have integrated the phpMyAdmin.

4. **Conclusion**

In this paper, a common cloud platform solution of database as a service (DaaS) was proposed, according to differences between general solutions in multi-tenant model. The design and implementation was detailed analyzed, through the scalability, security, backup, and other factors. The solution is especially fit for small-scale private cloud, because it can make a good use of hardware resources. If the cloud platform is established based on OpenStack, the proposed DaaS solution can coexist with OpenStack’s Trove components. Besides, if OpenStack’s version is old so that Trove’s features are imperfect, or hardware limited situation, the solution will be an easy and better choice. However, the solution can’t match the need of high performance or functionality, you’d better chose other solutions. Of course, the solution still needs further optimization and we will improve it in the next step.
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