Conditions for Minimum Dynamic Loading of Multi-brake Hoists
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Abstract - Hoists are equipment with unsteady service. Due to this, there is a considerable dynamic loading on the hoist and its elements, sometimes involving failure of equipment. On the other hand, technological development is going on. Modern control elements are widely used to realize economic and comfortable driving behavior. In this work the review is given of using modern technology with regard to reducing loads on crucial components of the hoist in order to prolong lifetime or to get a more economic design. The article presents the calculations in order to optimize the load of the hoists (lifting machines) proposed for different modes of their use. These calculations can be used to construct the braking hoisting units of a new design.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Matters of mining equipment reliability are increasing with particular importance due to the strengthened tendency of growth of volumes of coal mined in Kuzbass (Western Siberia, Russia) \cite{1-3}. Today they are the object of primary attention of the Government \cite{4-5} as the matter of labor security and safety \cite{6-7} as well as tightening of the requirements for the owners of the coal companies \cite{8}.

Hoists are mechanical systems with different spots of load introduction \cite{9, 10}. They are used in all sectors of the mining industry – both underground \cite{11, 12} and in open cast \cite{13} mining, also it’s an important element of the work safety \cite{14, 15}.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The load itself, motors and brakes introduce forces and torques, all differing over time. Due to these variations the elements of the hoist are exposed to dynamic internal forces. An example is the torque in the gearing input shaft during a braking process out of hoisting of the load (Figure 1). Tremendous torque peaks occur, e.g. as result of the change from hoisting to braking and the peak involved with this change of condition. EN 13001-2 proposes dynamic factor of up to $\phi = 3.0$ for systems with significant clearance \cite{16}. It would be of advantage to reduce or even to remove such loading peaks. Following conditions for minimum dynamic loading of hoist elements are shown.

This is a text with more detailed information to publication \cite{17}. Definitions of the used qualities static load torque $M_{st*}$, load factor $LF$, mass factor $MF$ and braking factors $BF_{HB}$ and $BF_{SB}$ are introduced there.

Static load torque $M_{st*}$:

$$M_{st*}^* = \frac{m_{SWL} \cdot g \cdot r}{i_G \cdot i_s}.$$  

Load factor $LF$:

$$LF = \frac{m}{m_{SWL}}.$$  

Mass factor $MF$:

$$MF = \frac{\theta}{\theta + LF \cdot m}.$$  

Braking factor $BF_{HB}$:

$$BF_{HB} = \frac{M_{HB}}{M_{st*}}.$$  

Braking factor $BF_{SB}$:

$$BF_{SB} = \frac{M_{SB}}{M_{st*}}.$$
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Figure 1: Dynamic torque in input shaft of gearing hoist during braking out of hoisting

Typical quantities are load factor LF = 0.1...1.0, mass factor MF = 0.8...0.95 and brake factor BFSB = 1.7...2.2.

III. DISCUSSION

For a closer look on the behaviour a loss-free, partly redundant hoist with safety brakes is considered (Figure 2).

Central element of the hoist is the gearing. The load is suspended by a load attachment device and a rope drive with 8/2 reeving. Both ropes are running onto a drum each, which are coupled with the gearing output shafts. On the board disc of each rope drum a safety brake is located. The hoist is driven by two motors which are connected to the gearing input shafts. On the motor shafts axis a service brake is located each.
The reference hoist is described by the following data:

- Motor speed: \( n_1 = 1500 \text{ min}^{-1} \)
- Hoisting speed: \( v_H = 45 \text{ m/min} \)
- Mass, motor shaft: \( \theta_1 = 20 \text{ kgm}^2 \)
- Mass, rope drum shaft: \( \theta_2 = 500 \text{ kgm}^2 \)
- Mass, load attachment device: \( mLAM = 10 \text{ t} \)
- Mass, SWL: \( mSWL = 52 \text{ t} \)
- Radius, rope drum: \( r = 0.5 \text{ m} \)
- Gearing ratio: \( i_G = 26.2 \)
- Rope drive ratio: \( i_S = 4 \)

Service brake torque: \( MBB = 5.8 \text{ kNm} \)
Dead time service brake: \( t_{totBB} = 0.4 \text{ s} \)
Safety brake torque: \( MSB = 130 \text{ kNm} \)
Dead time safety brake: \( t_{totSB} = 0.1 \text{ s} \)
Gearing stiffness: \( c = 4 \times 10^4 \text{ Nm/rad} \)
Clearance drum coupling: \( s = 3^\circ \)

The hoist with given data is regarded as a rigid mass model with definitions according to Figure 3. Three masses are defined: One rotating mass at each end of the gearing and a translational mass to model load plus load attachment device. Positive direction of movement is lifting. Braking torques are defined positive for positive movement, lifting.
Basic for further considerations is the equation for the motor shaft acceleration:

\[ \dot{\omega}_1 = \frac{M_M - M_{HB} - M_{SB} - LF \cdot M_{st}}{\theta_1 + \theta_2 + LF \cdot \omega_1}. \]

The torque in the gearing input shaft is determined by:

\[ M_G = M_M - M_{HB} - \theta_1 \dot{\omega}_1 \]

These formulae are valid for all conditions of the systems, either driven or braked down.

In case of braking in emergency cases with the safety brake the torque in the gearing input shaft will reach different levels, depending on given hoisting or lowering modus. Out of hoisting should generally be considered:

\[ \frac{M_{G_{max}}}{M_{st}} = \phi \left( MF \left( BF_{SB} + 1 \right) - 1 \right) + 1 \]

With neglecting of dynamic effects (dynamic factor \( \phi = 1.0 \)) this reduces to

\[ \frac{M_{G_{max}}}{M_{st}} = MF \left( BF_{SB} + 1 \right) \]

Equivalent equations for braking out of lowering are:

General:

\[ \frac{M_{G_{max}}}{M_{st}} = \phi \left( MF \left( LF - BF_{SB} \right) - LF \right) + LF \]

Load factor \( LF = 1.0 \):

\[ \frac{M_{G_{max}}}{M_{st}} = \phi \left( MF \left( 1 - BF_{SB} \right) \right) - 1 + 1 \]
Dynamic factor $\phi = 1.0$:

$$\frac{M_{G_{\text{max}}}}{M_{st}} = MF \left(1 - BF_{st}\right)$$

Summarizing, the following general equation can be given:

$$\frac{M_{G_{\text{max}}}}{M_{st}} = \phi \left(MF \left(LF + BF_{SB}\right) - LF\right) + LF$$

+ Hoisting / – Lowering

Out of these relations high dynamic internal forces may occur. The height of the peaks depends on the base level, the target level and dynamic behaviour (Figure 4). The dynamic behaviour expressed by dynamic factor $\phi$, probably, can not be changed to reduce such peaks. Therefore, conditions are examined to reach the same level for the base level (T1, T2) and the target level (T2, T1).

$$T_{\text{max}} = T_1 + \phi (T_2 - T_1)$$

$$T_{\text{min}} = T_2 + \phi (T_1 - T_2)$$

Figure 4: Dynamic loading on input shaft of gearing hoist during braking out of hoisting

The target of the research is to evaluate conditions to have no variation of shaft torque from the moment before braking to the moment just after beginning of braking. These conditions are to be evaluated under the assumption of synchronous activation of holding brake and safety brake.

For hoisting this leads to the following brake factors:

$$BF_{HB} = LF + MF \frac{\theta_{ges}^*}{M_{st}} \frac{\Delta \omega}{\Delta t}$$

$$BF_{SB} = (1 - MF) \frac{\theta_{ges}^*}{M_{st}} \frac{\Delta \omega}{\Delta t}$$

Attention: $\Delta \omega$ positive for Braking out of Lowering

Summarizing, the following equations for the brake factors can be given:

$$BF_{HB} = LF + MF \frac{\theta_{ges}^*}{M_{st}} \frac{\Delta \omega}{\Delta t}$$

$$BF_{SB} = (1 - MF) \frac{\theta_{ges}^*}{M_{st}} \frac{\Delta \omega}{\Delta t}$$

Attention: $\Delta \omega$ positive for Braking out of Lowering, $\Delta \omega$ negative for Braking out of Hoisting.

The braking factors for the holding brake BFHB, for the safety brake BFSB and the sum of both BFBB+BFSB are shown for hoisting in Figure 5 and for lowering in Figure 6.

Figure 5: Braking factors for braking out of hoisting
Figure 6: Braking factors for braking out of lowering

IV. RESULTS

To check the results, the internal torque for the gearing input shaft is considered for different load cases. Compared are the static load torque and the dynamic torque during braking.

Case 1: Hoisting, Dead load, Nominal Speed

\[ LF = \frac{m}{m_{SWL}} = \frac{10t}{62t} = 0.161 \]

\[ M_G = 467.4Nm = 0.161M_{st}^* \]

Case 2: Hoisting, Maximum Load, Nominal Speed

\[ LF = \frac{m}{m_{SWL}} = \frac{62t}{62t} = 1.0 \]

\[ M_G = 2902.1Nm = 1.0M_{st}^* \]

Case 3: Hoisting, Half Load, Nominal Speed

\[ LF = \frac{m}{m_{SWL}} = \frac{31t}{62t} = 0.5 \]

\[ M_G = 1453.2Nm = 0.5M_{st}^* \]

Case 4: Lowering, Dead load, Nominal Speed

\[ LF = \frac{m}{m_{SWL}} = \frac{10t}{62t} = 0.161 \]

\[ M_G = 472.2Nm = 0.163M_{st}^* \]

Case 5: Lowering, Maximum Load, Nominal Speed

\[ LF = \frac{m}{m_{SWL}} = \frac{62t}{62t} = 1.0 \]

\[ M_G = 2889.7Nm = 1.0M_{st}^* \]

Case 6: Lowering, Half Load, Nominal Speed

\[ LF = \frac{m}{m_{SWL}} = \frac{31t}{62t} = 0.5 \]

\[ M_G = 1442.8Nm = 0.5M_{st}^* \]

As shown, in all the cases the static load torque and the dynamic torque are equal. If the determined brake factors are applied, no dynamic loading of the gearing input as a result of braking shaft will occur any more. Certainly this insinuates a perfect braking system, which means a braking system with controllable braking torques at all brakes and a synchronous activation of all braking systems. Certainly synchronous activation should take place in a minimum of time [18].

V. CONCLUSION

Hoists are dynamic systems with a corresponding dynamic loading of the hoist elements. For safety oriented hoists with brakes on the axis of the motor shaft and on the axis of the rope drum can be shown, that these dynamic loadings can be removed by suitable braking. Synchronous braking with determined torques will prevent the gearing input shaft to be loaded dynamically by braking in theory.

Real systems will have deviations with regard to braking torques [19] and synchrony [20]. It is the task for further work to examine possible real system behavior [21, 22] and the gain remaining due to these variations.
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