Research of Column microbial desulfurization of high sulfur coal
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ABSTRACT: A study was made to desulphurize the coal from WuHai of Nei Meng Gu province in China, characterized by high content of sulphur (2.85%) and high content of organic sulphur (0.49%) by using the mesophilic bacteria isolated from WuHai coal. In the present investigation, while using these natural microbial strains, the optimum results were obtained under conditions of desulfurization was carried out when coal particle size of ~6 mm and column height 1.5 meters after continuous treatment for 6 days. Maximum total sulphur concentration of the desulfurized coal was 0.70%, a desulfurize rate, as high as, 70–80% of inorganic and 40–50% organic sulphur demonstrated the efficiency of using the mesophilic bacteria for desulfurization, the result showed that the ash content was reduced and the calorific value was increased due to gangue minerals dis-solved in acidic mediums.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Coal is one of the most important fossil fuels sources for energy supply, China is the largest producer of coal in the world, the China’s coal production has reached 1.36 billion tons in 2015, over eighty percent of which is used for power generation. With the deep mining, sulfur content in coal gradually increased to 2wt%, releasing of sulphur dioxide into the air and our environment has constantly been polluted by coal combustion, which has received extreme attention throughout the world. Reducing of these gases are essential to our environmental protection. China’s government has formulated the law about prevention and treatment of air pollution, which regulated the coal prohibit to use when sulfur content higher than 0.8wt%. Microbial desulfurization of coal is one option for emissions control of sulfur when burning coal (G. Olsson et al., 1994), sulphur occurs in coal in both organic and inorganic forms. The major part of the inorganic sulfur in coal consists of pyrite, 80–90% of the inorganic sulphur can be removed by using mesophilic and acidophilic bacterium Thiobacillus ferrooxidans. Compared with the removal of inorganic sulfur element, the elimination of organic sulfur is very difficult(C Acharya et al., 2001). The organic sulfur is a component of organic matter in coal and embedded in the coal matrix lattice, so remove organic sulfur from coal need to destroy the C-S bonds. There have been several researches on organic sulphur removal from coal with various bacteria [2–4], including the fungi, which has ability to metabolize a wide range of hydrocarbons through the react of cytochrome p-450 and enzymes. Celin et al.,( 2005) Newly isolated strain Aspergillus sp. from Assam coal could remove 78% of total sulphur under conditions of coal grinding fineness of ~74 µm and 2% (w/v) pulp density for the process duration of 10 days.

Lot of North China coals contain sulphur higher than 2.0wt%, the sulphur is found mainly occurs in organic sulfur, which is very difficult to be eliminated by floatation and other chemical methods. The present investigation focus on study the degree of column eliminate of sulphur from high sulphur coal using native efficient microorganisms isolated from coal acid mine drainage.

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Coals

The coal samples in this study were obtained from Nei Meng Gu province of China. Its elemental composition, proximate analyses and metal analyses are listed in Table 1.

2.2 Organisms

The mixed culture of acidophilic bacteria used in this study was isolated from coal acid mine drainage,
the medium used was “Leathen’s” medium (K$_2$HPO$_4$ 0.05g/l, (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$ 0.15g/l, MgSO$_4$$\cdot$7H$_2$O 0.05g/l, Ca(NO$_3$)$_2$ 0.01g/l, KC1 0.05 g/l), in the experiments with coal, yeast extract of 1 g/L was added to the “Leathen’s” medium.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mineral</th>
<th>Content(wt%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total sulfur</td>
<td>2.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyritic sulfur</td>
<td>2.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic sulfur</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash</td>
<td>2.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calorific value</td>
<td>5780 Kcal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Chemical composition of coal sample.

2.3 Column operation

Four columns (125 mm internal diameter, 1.5 meters height) were established, the temperature controlled at 35-45°C. Each column contained 4-5 kg of ore, top size controlled to -6mm (1#column), -8mm (2#column) and -10mm (3#column) respectively. The columns were irrigated (flow rate 10 Lm$^{-2}$h$^{-1}$) with acidified solutions at the set points pH 1.8 until the pH values for the column leachate matched the selected set points.

Each column was then inoculated with mixed bacterial cultures suited to the selected temperatures and containing sulfur oxidising species, no further acid was added once the biooxidation of the sulfur generated acid and the pH values of the leachate dropped below their respective set points. A fourth column (4#column, top size -8mm) discharge solutions neutralized with lime periodically in order to get rid of sulfate and ferric ions. During column biooxidation, the solution pH and redox potential were monitored periodically and solution samples withdrawn for element analysis.

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Sulfur elimination

With the exception of the 4# columns, the column discharge solutions reflected the pH set points rapidly (Fig.1). When four columns be inoculated with the same mixed culture, ORP values and mixed cells rose rapidly (Fig.2 and Fig.3) indicating strong microbial activity in those columns.

In the 4# column (discharge solutions neutralized with lime periodically), the pH fluctuated between 1.8 and 2.0 for the acid generated by bacteria consumed with lime periodically. Colonisation by sulfur-oxidising microorganisms was indicated by decrease in pH from about day 25. Colonisation by iron-oxidising microorganisms was indicated by the rise in ORP from about day 10. The higher ORP in that column reflected increased ferric ion concentrations that caused the oxidation of pyrite but the generation of sulfate would be absorbed on the surface of coal, which would inhibit oxidation of sulfur noticeably. Sulfate from the oxidation of pyrite and organic sulfur in the coal was generated in all columns and was attributed to the ferric iron hydrolysis and jarosite precipitation during passage through the coal bed. Acid was generated from the partial biooxidation of pyrite (60–80% oxidized) and organic sulfur (30–50% oxidized). Some of that acid may be consumed by the partial dissolution of carbonate gangue minerals.
The sulfur oxidation kinetics correlated closely with coal size and sulfate ion concentration in inoculated columns, increased size had large effect on elimination of sulfur, degree of remove of total sulphur was increased at the smaller coal size (Fig.4 and Table 2). The result show that a more effective remove of total sulphur with the extension in oxidation time from 10–45 days, the growth rate of desulphurisation very slow above 45 days. Further prolongation of the oxidation time exceed 45 days would lead to unnecessary accumulating of sulfate and there was no more increase in the rate of sulphur remove from coal. Therefore the experiments were ended beyond 60 days, the rate of total sulphur elimination was found to be 70.18% in smaller size (-6mm) in contrast to the coarser case (-10mm), which was 57.54%.

Few sulfur content were decreased in the period 0–10 days during which acid was added periodically to supplement gangue mineral consumption, but fast elimination achieved for sulfur when the colonisation occurred, from approximately day 10 in the 35°C column. An overview of organic elimination data after 60 days, on the basis of coal and leached residue chemical analyses, was shown in Table2. Overall, the organic sulfur elimination data show very little grain size dependence, but the pyrite sulfur elimination data show very large grain size dependence.

The other factor contributing to the variability of the sulfur elimination was the sulfate ion concentration in the solution. The sulfate ion concentration in the range 100–140gL⁻¹ were measured in the 1-3# columns leaching solutions. The CaSO₄ Ksp values was 7.1×10⁻⁵, hence CaSO₄ has the highest concentration of sulphate ion in a saturated solution. However, when the concentration of sulfate ion concentration was too high, it would be precipitated in the form of calcium sulfate in the saturated solution, and then readhered to the surface of the coal, result in the desulphurization efficiency reduced. Since the sulfate ion was a main impurity contained on the surface of coal, controlling the sulfate ion concentration of the process solutions was important regarding coal microbial desulphurisation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyses (wt%)</th>
<th>Duration(days)</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>45</th>
<th>60</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total sulfur</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyritic sulfur</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic sulfur</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total sulfur</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyritic sulfur</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic sulfur</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total sulfur</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>1.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyritic sulfur</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic sulfur</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total sulfur</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyritic sulfur</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic sulfur</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyses</th>
<th>Duration(days)</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>45</th>
<th>60</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1# Ash(wt%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>1.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1# Calorific value(Kcal)</td>
<td></td>
<td>6214</td>
<td>6348</td>
<td>6395</td>
<td>6410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2# Total sulfur</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>2.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2# Organic sulfur</td>
<td></td>
<td>6185</td>
<td>6298</td>
<td>6322</td>
<td>6360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3# Total sulfur</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>2.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3# Organic sulfur</td>
<td></td>
<td>6158</td>
<td>6215</td>
<td>6256</td>
<td>6275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4# Total sulfur</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4# Organic sulfur</td>
<td></td>
<td>6245</td>
<td>6386</td>
<td>6410</td>
<td>6425</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The contents of some minerals in the leached residues were compared with their content in the coal. The results showed that there was almost complete oxidation of pyrite but only moderate organic sulfur oxidation in inoculated tests, compared with the high sulfur coal. The subsequent precipitation of jarosite was also evident in the results for all columns, with ferric concentration and pH dependence. The presence of Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ in the solution was confirmed, corroborating the higher-than-expected acid consumptions.

The contents of ash decreased and calorific value increased markedly during leaching. The results show that the ash concentration of the smaller coal fraction and the coarser fraction can be decreased to 1.86 and 2.24%, respectively, from 2.83% in the
original coal. Correspondingly, the calorific value of
the finer coal fraction and the coarser fraction could
be increased to 6410 and 6275 Kcal, respectively,
from 5780 Kcal in the original coal. The difference
between the ashes of treated and original coals
shows the existence of relevance between the rate of
desulphurisation of the coal and degree of ash re-
moved. This difference revealed a predominant re-
move of heavy metals, such as iron, calcium and
manganese etc, caused by acidity of the
(bio)leaching medium(Grossman et al., 1999). This
was an advantage, when the problems associated
with heavy metals happened in the ash after combus-
tion of high sulfur coals, were considered.(Celin et
al., 2005)
The mixed culture capable of oxidizing pyrite and
removing sulfur from coals, addition of yeast extract
to the medium did improve the degree of pyrite deg-
radation and organic sulfur oxidation.

3.2 Mechanism analysis
Microbials have different mechanisms for using or
eliminating sulphur element from high sulfur coal.
The rate of sulfur eliminating from the coal was ob-
served to be considerably similar with oxida
tion of pure pyrite for mesophilic bacteria. Conseque
tly, during eliminate of inorganic sulfur of coal and ox-
dation of pure pyrite(reactio (1)) (Biao et al., 2014;
Ruan et al., 2010 ) showed similar values of the rate
constant.

\[
FeS_2(s) + \frac{3}{2}O_2(aq) + H_2O \rightarrow Fe^{2+} + 2SO_4^{2-} + 2H^+ \quad (1)
\]
Eliminate of organic means a complete destroy
of the whole molecule or a selective cleave of the C–
S bond, we find advantage in this natural mesophile
bacteria to eliminate the organic sulfur (DBT) from
high sulfur coals. Further work was needed to de-
termine the major culture composition and enzymat-
ic system involving organic sulphur oxidation.

4 CONCLUSIONS
The result show that the mesophilic bacteria isolated
from WuHai coal could remove 75% of total sulfur
with coal particle size of -6 mm in 60 days of oxida-
tion time with column height 1.5 meters. The degree
of sulfur elimination result indicated the competitive
attack of the microorganisms on organic sulfur and
inorganic sulfur. Also, it was observed that the ash
content was reduced and the calorific value was in-
creased due to gangue minerals dissolved in acidic
mediums, this was an advantage of sulfur coals
treated with acidophilus bacteria be considered.
There was a generation of excessive high content of
ferric iron and sulphate, which was mainly in the
form of jarosite-like precipitates. They covered the
coal surfaces and embedded sulfur in the precipitates
became untouchable for microbial strains, leading to
poor removing results, as was evident in our re-
search(1-3# columns). These problems did not occur
while part discharge solutions neutralized with lime
periodically in the present study(4# columns).
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