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Abstract. The thermal decomposition process of magnesite significantly affects the catalytic activity of MgO. The structure, stability and growth strategies of the (MgO)\textsubscript{n} (n = 1-13) clusters were investigated by density functional theory. From reaction energy it can be seen that the contributions of the small clusters to grow are larger at lower temperature. By analyzing the differences in Gibbs free energy between MgO crystal and (MgO)\textsubscript{n} clusters, it can be seen that at the initial decomposition temperature of magnesite (about 700K), the (MgO)\textsubscript{n} clusters with linear or distorted cubic structures become more stable than the hexagon ring structures, even the hybrid structures are more stable than the cage structures.

Introduction

The study of clusters allows a better understanding of the evolution of the main physical properties of systems [1]. Characteristics of cluster growth are major important to develop nanotechnology and nano-catalysis [2]. Magnesite is present at the Earth’s surface to deep mantle [3]. Accurate control of the thermal decomposition of magnesite in industry is necessary to achieve a high reactivity [4]. Fu et al. [5] observed the grain size of MgO during the thermal decomposition of magnesite by the X-ray line broadening technique. These experimental results reflect the information after product nucleation, but it is difficult to analyze the nucleation mechanism. A detailed understanding of the growth of (MgO)\textsubscript{n} clusters will lead to an improved understanding of epitaxial growth of MgO surfaces [6]. The research on the (MgO)\textsubscript{n} clusters has typical significance to cage-like metal oxide clusters.

In this work, a first-principles method is used to calculate the stability of (MgO)\textsubscript{n} (n=1-13) clusters. How the growth strategy changes with the temperature for the (MgO)\textsubscript{n} clusters will be discussed.

Computational Details

The stable structures of (MgO)\textsubscript{n} clusters (Fig.1) were adopted from Ref. [1-2,6-12]. Our calculations were performed using the spin polarized density functional theory (DFT) implemented in the DMol\textsuperscript{3} package [13,14] within the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) using the BLYP hybrid exchange functional [15,16]. The double numerical polarization (DNP) basis set was adopted [13], and effective core potentials were used. We observed the following convergence thresholds for geometry optimization: total energy convergence tolerance 1.0×10\textsuperscript{-5} Ha steps, 2×10\textsuperscript{-3} Ha/Å for maximum force and 5×10\textsuperscript{-3} Å for maximum displacement. The energy gradient and atomic displacements converged to within 1×10\textsuperscript{-5} Hartree/Bohr and 5×10\textsuperscript{-3} Å, respectively. In self-consistent calculation, charge density tolerance 1.0×10\textsuperscript{-6} e/Å\textsuperscript{3}, smearing were set to 5.0×10\textsuperscript{-5} Ha.

For the lowest-energy structures of (MgO)\textsubscript{n} (n=1-13), only (MgO)\textsubscript{m}+(MgO)\textsubscript{n-m}→(MgO)\textsubscript{n} aggregation model was considered. The reaction energy ΔE was defined as
\[ \Delta E = \left( E_m + E_{n-m} \right) - E_n \right) / n \] (1)

The vibration spectrum can also be calculated to get the thermodynamic properties. The difference in Gibbs free energy between MgO crystal and \((\text{MgO})_n\) clusters is

\[ \Delta G = G_{\text{MgO}(\text{crystal})} - \frac{1}{n} G_{(\text{MgO})_n} \] (2)

**Results and Discussions**

**Structures of \((\text{MgO})_n\) Clusters**

Fig. 1. The lowest-energy configurations and low-lying isomers of \((\text{MgO})_n\) (n = 1-13) clusters. (Lawngreen ball: Mg atom, and red ball: O atom).

The optimized structures of low-lying isomers of \((\text{MgO})_n\) (n=1-13) are shown in Fig.1. To verify the accuracy of calculation, the bond length \((r = 1.790 \text{ Å})\) of the linear structure \((\text{C}_v)\) MgO is compared with the other calculated data \((r = 1.76\text{Å}[1], 1.749\text{Å}[1], 1.739\text{Å}[17], 1.733\text{Å}[17], 1.751\text{Å}[17], 1.739\text{Å}[18], 1.75\text{Å}[12])\). The bond length is 1.894Å (1.88Å[12]) in the most favorable structure of \((\text{MgO})_2\) which is a rhombus structure \((\text{D}_{2h})\). The other linear structure \((\text{C}_v)\) is 1.301 eV higher in energy than the quadrilateral ring \((\text{D}_{2h})\). The lowest-energy structure of \((\text{MgO})_3\) is the hexagonal ring isomer \((\text{D}_{3h})\). The bond angles at oxygen ions are smaller than at magnesium ions,
but the Mg-O bond lengths remain the same within this planar structure [12]. The other (Cv) structure is 2.704 eV higher in energy than the hexagonal ring (D3h). From n = 4 on, the three-dimensional structures are favored. Our result from n=4 is different from those in Ref. [12] in which the two low-lying isomers were found not to be C1 and D4h in sequence. The hybrid structure Cs for (MgO)3 has not been mentioned in Ref.[12].

Stability of (MgO)n Clusters

This calculated binding energies are slightly lower than that by LDA theory[1], but they are slightly larger than that by BL3YP and 6-311G(d) theory[2]. The calculated ΔE_{b,n} and ΔE^2_{b,n} for the considered (MgO)_n (n=1-13) clusters are plotted in Fig. 2. It found that the maximum of ΔE_{b,n} for (MgO)_n is n=6,12. It means that (MgO)_6 and (MgO)_{12} cluster have a higher stability. The second-order differences ΔE^2_{b,n} for (MgO)_n demonstrate that the Hexagonal ring D_{3d} (MgO)_6 and cage structure (MgO)_{12} are more stable. The small clusters also show a preference for the hexagonal tubes which are stacked by the (MgO)$_3$ hexagons, and they have been confirmed by infrared spectra for (MgO)$_{3n}$ (n = 2–5) [19] and the mass spectrum of MgO clusters [20]. The covalent interaction in small MgO clusters is responsible for the preferable stability of the hexagonal tubes over the rock-salt structures [21].

![Fig. 2. Size dependence of the ΔE_{b,n} and ΔE^2_{b,n} for the (MgO)_n (n = 1-13) clusters.](image)

Growth Strategies of (MgO)n Clusters

To understand the growth strategies of the (MgO)$_n$ clusters at lower temperature, the reaction energies are listed in Table 1. For the same cluster size n, from the largest ΔE it can be seen the contributions of (MgO)$_1$, (MgO)$_1+(MgO)_1→(MgO)_2$, (MgO)$_1+(MgO)_2→(MgO)_3$, (MgO)$_1+(MgO)_3→(MgO)_4$, (MgO)$_1+(MgO)_4→(MgO)_5$, (MgO)$_1+(MgO)_5→(MgO)_6$, (MgO)$_1+(MgO)_6→(MgO)_7$, (MgO)$_1+(MgO)_7→(MgO)_8$, (MgO)$_1+(MgO)_8→(MgO)_9$, (MgO)$_1+(MgO)_9→(MgO)_10$, (MgO)$_1+(MgO)_{10}→(MgO)_{11}$... In a word, the contributions of the small clusters to grow at lower temperatures as follows:(MgO)$_1$, (MgO)$_1+(MgO)_1$, (MgO)$_1+(MgO)_2$, (MgO)$_1+(MgO)_3$, (MgO)$_1+(MgO)_4$, (MgO)$_1+(MgO)_5$, (MgO)$_1+(MgO)_6$, (MgO)$_1+(MgO)_7$, (MgO)$_1+(MgO)_8$, (MgO)$_1+(MgO)_9$, (MgO)$_1+(MgO)_{10}$, (MgO)$_1+(MgO)_{11}$... In word, the contributions of the small clusters to grow at lower temperatures as follows:(MgO)$_1$, (MgO)$_1+(MgO)_1$, (MgO)$_1+(MgO)_2$, (MgO)$_1+(MgO)_3$, (MgO)$_1+(MgO)_4$, (MgO)$_1+(MgO)_5$, (MgO)$_1+(MgO)_6$, (MgO)$_1+(MgO)_7$, (MgO)$_1+(MgO)_8$, (MgO)$_1+(MgO)_9$, (MgO)$_1+(MgO)_{10}$, (MgO)$_1+(MgO)_{11}$... It can also be seen that the reaction energy has the magic number character.
Table 1. The $\Delta E$ of $(\text{MgO})_{m}^{+}(\text{MgO})_{n-m}\rightarrow(\text{MgO})_{n}$ [eV/atom]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$m$=1</th>
<th>$m$=2</th>
<th>$m$=3</th>
<th>$m$=4</th>
<th>$m$=5</th>
<th>$m$=6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$n$=2</td>
<td>1.3353</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n$=3</td>
<td>0.9832</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n$=4</td>
<td>0.7044</td>
<td>0.7741</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n$=5</td>
<td>0.4865</td>
<td>0.5159</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n$=6</td>
<td>0.6061</td>
<td>0.5664</td>
<td>0.5444</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n$=7</td>
<td>0.3465</td>
<td>0.4845</td>
<td>0.4106</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n$=8</td>
<td>0.4171</td>
<td>0.3865</td>
<td>0.4724</td>
<td>0.4242</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n$=9</td>
<td>0.3652</td>
<td>0.4393</td>
<td>0.3811</td>
<td>0.4721</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n$=10</td>
<td>0.2457</td>
<td>0.3074</td>
<td>0.3461</td>
<td>0.3069</td>
<td>0.4273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n$=11</td>
<td>0.2905</td>
<td>0.2710</td>
<td>0.3017</td>
<td>0.3490</td>
<td>0.3483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n$=12</td>
<td>0.2898</td>
<td>0.3335</td>
<td>0.2925</td>
<td>0.3316</td>
<td>0.4070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n$=13</td>
<td>0.1570</td>
<td>0.2191</td>
<td>0.2380</td>
<td>0.2103</td>
<td>0.2760</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To further understand the growth strategies of the $(\text{MgO})_{n}$ clusters as the temperature increased, Table 2 lists the $\Delta G$ between MgO crystal and various structures for $(\text{MgO})_{n}$ clusters with the sequence in Fig.1 as the initial thermal decomposition temperature of magnesite 700 K [22-25]. From Table 2 it can be seen that $\Delta G$ is less than zero as $n$>7, it means that $(\text{MgO})_{n}$ ($n$>7) clusters begin to spontaneously grow at 700K. For $(\text{MgO})_{4}$, stair-type fused rhombi (C1) becomes easier to gather than octagonal rings structure D4h. For $(\text{MgO})_{5}$, fused rhombus structure C1 and hybrid structure C5 become easier to assemble than ten-member ring structure D5h. The lowest-energy structure of $(\text{MgO})_{6}$ becomes the distorted cubic structure (D2h) instead of the stacked double ring structure (D3d). For $(\text{MgO})_{7}$, hybrid structures based on distorted cubic structure are more stable than that based on hexagon ring structure. For $(\text{MgO})_{6}$, the lowest-energy structure becomes distorted cubic D2d isomer rather than the hybrid structure (S4). For $(\text{MgO})_{9}$, the stability of distorted cubic C4 becomes higher than that of cage structure C3h. For $(\text{MgO})_{10}$, the calculated lowest-energy structure becomes distorted cubic D2h other than cage structure (C2), and even the hybrid structures based on stacked distorted cubic are more stable than the other isomers. For $(\text{MgO})_{11}$, the lowest-energy structure is no longer the cage structure (C1), but the hybrid structure C5. The hybrid structures based on stacked distorted cubic are also more stable than the other isomers. For $(\text{MgO})_{12}$, the lowest-energy structure is not a cage structure (C1), but the stacked ring C2h. Then the stability of the clusters develops into a distorted cubic structure C2h > the hybrid structures C4 based on hexagon ring and cubic > distorted cubic structure C2v. For $(\text{MgO})_{13}$, the stability of cage structure C1 becomes the worst.

Table 2. The $\Delta G$ between MgO crystal and $(\text{MgO})_{n}$ clusters at 700 K.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>$\Delta G$ [kJ/mol]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>105.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>52.65, 61.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>32.31, 44.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>13.63, 24.61, 22.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.03, 20.20, 15.86, 16.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.23, 2.17, 6.17, 6.41, 17.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-0.96, 1.20, 0.70, 0.09, 16.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-3.60, -1.68, -4.14, 16.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-6.89, -5.48, -6.66, -2.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-6.83, -6.54, -7.37, -7.90, -6.02, -6.56, -4.54, -7.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In short, the \((\text{MgO})_n\) clusters with linear or distorted cubic structures become more stable than the hexagon ring structures at 700 K, even the hybrid structures based on the hexagonal rings and distorted cubes are more stable than the cage structures. This explains why the observed decomposition produces \((\text{MgO})\) of magnesite are cube nano-particles. Kim et al.\[26\] observed the structures of larger \(\text{MgO}\) clusters by using transmission electron microscopy and found that the cube-like nanoparticles with the edge length 2-3 nm.

**Conclusions**

The structure, stability and growth strategies of the \((\text{MgO})_n\) \((n = 1-13)\) clusters have been investigated by density functional theory. From reaction energy it can be seen that the contributions of the small clusters to grow is larger at lower temperature. By analyzing the differences in Gibbs free energy between \(\text{MgO}\) crystal and \((\text{MgO})_n\) clusters, it can be seen that at the initial decomposition temperature of magnesite (about 700K), the \((\text{MgO})_n\) clusters with linear or distorted cubic structures become more stable than the hexagon ring structures, even the hybrid structures based on the hexagonal rings and distorted cubes are more stable than the cage structures.
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