A review study: Development of microbial enhancing oil recovery technology
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Abstract. There are over 2 trillion barrels of conventional oil still remain reservoirs worldwide after conventional recovery methods have been exhausted. Based on these many techniques that involved in tertiary oil recovery were developed. However, most of these technologies restrict the further development of oilfields because of their economic limitations. Microbial enhanced oil recovery (MEOR) technique has been proposed for many years as a cheap and effective alternative to enhance oil recovery as its different processes generally do not depend on oil prices. MEOR pilot tests have been applied in many oilfields around the worlds. However, this method did not receive a great attention in the petroleum industry because of its technical bottlenecks. This paper is mainly focused on discussing the basic concept, mechanism, advantages, problems and further trends of MEOR.

Introduction

MEOR is one of tertiary oil recovery techniques that belongs a pioneering application of biological engineering technology in oilfield development (1). MEOR is the technique that mainly used microorganisms (indigenous and/or exogenous) and their microbial components (e.g. metabolites, enzymes, bio-gases and even biochemical pathways, etc.) to interact with oil compounds inside of the porous medium, thereby improving oil recovery (2, 3). Microbial candidates are generally small sized molecules (0.5-5 μm), which facilitate their migration through porous medium (4). Basically, the cellular size of microbes should be less than 20% of pore throat volume of the porous medium (5, 6). Previous study reported that swept volume of injected cell liquid could reach to 60,000 m\textsuperscript{2} when target reservoir permeability greater than 0.6 Darcy (D) (7). Moreover, some microbes could survive and maintain their normal metabolic process in a strict conditions (2). For examples, Bacillus and Clostridium that usually used in MEOR might live in high temperature and high pressure reservoir conditions during the formation of spores (8, 9).

MEOR could be roughly divided into two groups, the indigenous and exogenous MEOR methods. In the early stage, microbes used in MEOR were usually isolated out of oil reservoirs. Those EOR functional microbes were cultured on the ground, and injected underground subsequently. Exogenous microbes generally exhibited good EOR function, whereas they showed low adaptability and affinity to the target reservoir. Therefore, indigenous MEOR technique has been developed in the recent years. In indigenous MEOR method, microorganisms inside of the target reservoir are directly activated by injection of nutrients. Microbial candidates do not need to be cultured on the ground. Those functional cells have a higher adaptability and affinity to reservoir than that of exogenous bacteria.

Development history of MEOR

The use of microorganisms to displace oil from porous medium was firstly introduced by American scientist Beckman in 1926 (10). From 1947 to 1955, Zobell and his group did a series
researches which contributed to a development of MEOR (11). Many microbes were studied in their research, including anaerobic bacteria, alkane degrading bacteria and sulphate-reducing bacteria (SRB). However, the development of MEOR fell into stagnation in 1970’s because of the global economic crisis (12). Oil price subsequently nose-dived in worldwide from 1980’s to 1990’s. Based on this, more and more researches were re-focused on MEOR because of its low cost and generally did not depend on oil price.

In 1980’s many filed applications were conducted in United States (13). In the past 20 years, there were at least 47 MEOR researches have been carried out (14). MEOR was also well-developed fast in Russia by using indigenous microbes. The technique has been entered into industrial stage in Russia at present. Moreover, the MEOR has been developed extensively in England in 1990’s, including studies of reservoir simulator and effectiveness prediction (15). The technique started relatively late in China. It was firstly introduced from United States and Canada in 1960’s. Only two single wells have been applied at the end of 1980’s. At the late1990’s, MEOR research has been developed from a single well to blocks in several oilfields of China.

Nowadays, MEOR techniques improved very fast based on a rapid development of molecular cloning technology. MEOR has already become one of important techniques in tertiary oil recovery after thermal drive, chemical and polymer flooding.

**Basic principle of MEOR**

MEOR principle is more complex than other tertiary EOR techniques, because of different types of functional microorganisms (Table 1). Their principles could be roughly divided into two main classes, changing compositions of oil compounds and improving oil flooding environments (16, 17).

1. Changes in oil compositions:
   a. Microorganisms could uptake high molecular weight hydrocarbons (alkanes, aromatics), which are then degraded into small molecules through cellular normal metabolic processes. Oil fluidity might be increased by reducing oil viscosity, thereby improving EOR efficiency.
   b. Functional enzymes might be also released during the growth of microbes. Some of these enzymes exhibit a high affinity to oil compounds and play a key role in oil bio-degradation.

2. Improvement of oil flooding environments:
   a. Metabolites produced by microbes contains oil flooding functional molecules. For examples, bio-surfactants could change the wettability between oil and stone, reduce both of surface tension and interfacial tension. Some of metabolites are amphip hilic molecules which contain both hydrophilic and lipophilic groups. Those molecules could bind both water and oil molecules resulting in oil/water emulsification, thereby increasing oil fluidity.
   b. Organic acids can dissolve carbonate and/or inorganic mineral scale of rock, resulting in improving the formation of permeability.
   c. Alcohols and ketones released by microbial cells might dissolve oils thereby increasing oil fluidity.
   d. Bio-gas (such as CO₂, CH₄, and H₂S) can replenish formation pressure, and dissolved into oil to improve oil fluidity.
   e. Bio-polymers could increase viscosity of injected water, thereby improving mobility ratio.

**MEOR pilot application**

The key point of MEOR is successfully direct (injection of exogenous microbial cultures) or indirect (injection of nutrients) activation of functional microbes under the target oil reservoirs. In the past 40 years, MEOR field tests have been conducted in more than 20 countries around the world. Most of those pilot applications exhibited a significantly increase in EOR efficiency (Table 2).
Table 1. Functional cellular molecules and their MEOR principles of different microorganisms (18).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Functional molecules</th>
<th>Microorganisms</th>
<th>MEOR principles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biomass</td>
<td><em>Bacillus licheniformis</em>, <em>Leuconostoc mesenteroides</em>, <em>Xanthomonas campestris</em></td>
<td>Reduce oil viscosity, bio-degradation, changing wettability,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio-surfactants</td>
<td><em>Acinetobacter calcoaceticus</em>, <em>Arthrobacter Paraffineus</em>, <em>Bacillus sp.</em>, <em>Pseudomonas sp.</em>, <em>Clostridium sp.</em></td>
<td>Emulsification, reduce interfacial tension, reduce oil viscosity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio-polymers</td>
<td><em>Bacillus polymyxa</em>, <em>Brevibacterium viscosgenes</em>, <em>Leuconostoc mesenteroides</em>, <em>Enterobacter sp.</em></td>
<td>Improve water/oil mobility ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio-solvents</td>
<td><em>Clostridium sp.</em>, <em>Zymomonas mobilis</em></td>
<td>Emulsification, reduce oil viscosity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic acids</td>
<td><em>Clostridium sp.</em>, <em>Enterobacter aerogenes</em></td>
<td>Increase rock permeability, emulsification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio-gases</td>
<td><em>Clostridium sp.</em>, <em>Enterobacter aerogenes</em>, <em>Methanobacterium sp.</em></td>
<td>Replenish formation pressure, reduce interfacial tension, reduce oil viscosity, increase rock permeability</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. MEOR pilot application and the use of functional microbes in the past 40 years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Countries</th>
<th>Functional microbes</th>
<th>EOR efficiency</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td><em>Bacillus</em>, <em>Clostridium</em>, <em>Pseudomonas</em>, alkane degrading bacteria, Microtatobiotes and indigenous micro flora, etc.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>(19-21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td><em>Clostridium tyrobutiricum</em>, indigenous micro flora, sewage bacteria, and mixed culture, etc.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>(22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td><em>Bacillus</em>, <em>Pseudomonas</em>, <em>Fusobacterium</em>, bacteroidete, bio-polymers and bio-surfactants, etc.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>(23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>Microtatobiotes</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>(24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td>Hydrocarbon oxidizing bacteria</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>(25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td><em>Leuconostoc mesenteroides</em></td>
<td>±</td>
<td>(5, 26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czechoslovakia</td>
<td><em>Pseudomonas</em> and SRB</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>(27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great Britain</td>
<td>Acid-producing bacteria</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>(28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td><em>Thermophilic Bacillus</em>, <em>Clostridium</em> and indigenous micro flora, etc.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>(29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>Sewage mixed culture</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>(30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Nitrate reducing bacteria</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>Mixed culture</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>(31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania</td>
<td><em>Bacillus</em>, <em>Clostridium</em>, <em>Pseudomonas</em> and mixed culture, etc.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>(32, 33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holland</td>
<td>Betacoccus dextranicus</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>(3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Advantages of MEOR

In contrast to other tertiary techniques, MEOR has its own distinctive advantages(34). Firstly, MEOR is economic efficiency, and its cost do not depend on oil prices. Secondly, microbes are rich
in diversity under target reservoirs. The activated microbes might produce multi-functions during oil displacement. Thirdly, microbes could voluntarily migrate inside of porous medium. Fourthly, indigenous microbes showed a highly affinity to target reservoir conditions (temperature, permeability and pressure). Finally, MEOR is absolutely environmental friendly. Microbes used for oil displacement are generally existed in a natural world. Functional cells might be dead after oil displacement application (short of nutrients), thereby preventing secondary pollutions.

**Bottlenecks of MEOR technique**

However, MEOR still have some drawbacks which might limit its further industrial applications. The main technical bottlenecks are concluded below (35, 36):

1. **Microbial factors**: Firstly, exogenous bacteria exhibit a lower adaptability to reservoirs. Introduced of exogenous microorganisms might result in changes of distribution, diversity and communication of microbes in the target reservoir. Those changes would further lead an imbalance in symbiotic system, which is then inhibited the growth of the functional cells. Secondly, indigenous microbes show a highly affinity to the target oil reservoirs. However, enzymatic activity of microbes might be inhibited in a strict condition (e.g. >80°C, 4>pH>9.5, high salinity, etc.), even if they are still survived. They would not function on oil displacement in a natural reservoir environment. Thirdly, reservoir is known as a great reactor. Once the nutrients are injected, they would be highly diluted. Therefore, active efficiency of functional cells could not be that high as we expected. Moreover, culture medium usually contains common nutrients (e.g. carbohydrates, and inorganic ions, etc.) which could be utilized by most of cells but not only the functional microbes. Thus, the well-growth cell and functional cell might not be matched.

2. **Metabolites**: Some of cellular metabolites play a role in EOR (e.g. bio-surfactants, bio-polymers and bio-gas, etc.). However, the productivity of natural cells are generally low. The limited yield of metabolites may not lead to a significantly positive effects on EOR. Moreover, the aggregation of metabolites in the environment may cause a toxic effect to their parent cells, thereby subsequently inhibiting cellular enzyme activity.

3. **MEOR mechanisms**: MEOR mechanism still need to be deeply understand, including functional gene expression when exposed to target oil compounds, enzyme construction that encoded by the functional genes, role of functional enzymes in degradation of oil compounds, etc. Furthermore, MEOR mechanisms are generally complicated, because of that different microbial candidates relate to different mechanisms.

4. **Field tests**: MEOR applied blocks are often assessed in the production tail. The situation underground is very complicated. There is lack of knowledge that exact interaction between microbes and oils under the target reservoirs.

**Future trends**

MEOR has been developed for near a century that is unmatched by others based on their economic efficiency and environment protection. It gradually becomes an important technique of tertiary oil recovery technologies, especially for exploitation of high water-cut and heavy oil reservoirs. To overcome its limitations thereby facilitating the further industrial applications, the development of MEOR might be focused on the following aspects in the future:

1. **Construction of functional microbial library**: Diversities and distributions of indigenous microorganisms under target oil reservoirs should be screened and analyzed by using molecular cloning technology. The microbial candidates could be then isolated and classified based on their different oil-displacement functions.

2. **Deeply study on MEOR mechanism**: Mechanism study should focus one or several functional microorganisms. Those cells could be selected from functional microbial library that may exhibit different oil-displacement functions. Their MEOR mechanisms are subsequently studied, including structural gene expression, functional enzyme formation and the key bio-chemical
pathways, etc.

3. Introduction of genetically modified cells: Genetic enhancement of functional genes might improve the yields of functional metabolites (bio-surfactants, bio-gases and key enzymes, etc.) per unit time, thereby accelerating EOR effective period. Transformation of exogenous genes (thermo-genes isolated from thermophilic bacteria) into indigenous functional cells could be used to resist the strict conditions, resulting in an improvement in adaptability and universality of functional cells under the target reservoir conditions.

Conclusions

MEOR has been developed for several decades. It is unmatched by other tertiary EOR methods based on its economic efficiency and good ecological characters. MEOR pilot tests have been applied in oilfields of many countries around the world, and exhibited significant results in EOR efficiency. MEOR could improve EOR by either changing oil property and/or improving oil-displacement environment. However, there are still some bottlenecks which might limit its industrialization, need to be overcome in the future research.
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