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Abstract. The feature of electric enterprise safety management capability evaluation system was analyzed according to the new study of the safety management theory and the system theory. An evaluation index system of electric enterprise safety management capability was built up based on the study of the hierarchy process of electric enterprise safety management capability and their relation. A corresponding evaluation method was given by using gray analytic hierarchy process.

Introduction

With the construction of power grid speeding up, the power grid restructuring and operating mode change more and more frequent. In addition, the influence of external damage and bad weather increased a lot, and the problem of power security and stability becomes more and more prominent [1]. Given the lack of security situation of power enterprise management situation and the current security management analysis tools, it is urgent to take safety analysis methods and tools to systematically and comprehensively analyze the specific situation of power enterprises. In response to the proposed demand, this paper proposed an Power enterprise security management evaluation system based on gray analytic hierarchy process [2]. This system contains 3 analysis units: provincial, municipal and county-level. The quantitative description and dynamic measurement traceability system is established for the actual level of safety management capacity. The modern enterprise safety management theory and system engineering theory and methods are applied to analyze the safety management level of the power enterprises, which can not only comprehensively reflect the integrated enterprise security management status, but also dynamically reflect the development potential of power enterprises, transfer the security management from passive to active, and make more good service in the electricity enterprise security management [3].

The Establishment Of The Evaluation Index System

The analysis of power enterprise security management capabilities should examine the following two aspects: First is the content knowledge and skills of security system configuration factors, namely, staff, equipment, systems, power grids and the environment these five elements of knowledge and skills of the case; the second is security system performance improvement, namely the security elements of the system should have the ability to improve dynamically.

Therefore, the power enterprise security management capability can be divided into the following five aspects:

(1) Ability to protect employee safety operations; (2) Ability to monitor the use of safety equipment; (3) Ability to improve the safety regulatory level; (4) Ability to optimize the power supply safety; (5) Ability to improve safety in production environments.

These five aspects ability (Feature layer) can be decomposed into different component (Discriminating layer) according to the specific circumstances. Each component can be decomposed into a set of the most basic element (Index layers). Structural relationship at all levels is shown in Table 1.

These five aspects should be considered in the power enterprise security management capacity evaluation. Through the index system related security capabilities statistical analysis, effectively
identify all aspects of the ability, and analyze the growth approach of the enterprise security management capabilities. Then, the comprehensive performance improvement of the security system can be achieved.

Table 1. Power enterprise security management capability evaluation index system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature layer</th>
<th>Discriminating layer</th>
<th>Index layers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ability to protect employee safety operations B1</td>
<td>Safety education component C1</td>
<td>Security level of protection of educational resources D1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Security level of implementation of the education system D2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Safety quality assessment qualified level D3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Personnel accidents level D4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Field control component C2</td>
<td>&quot;Two votes&quot; executive level D5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Standard operating level D6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Security auditing organization level D7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Safe and civilized construction level D8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Equipment support component C3</td>
<td>Safety equipment protection level D9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Horizontal construction equipment operations D10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Staff support component C4</td>
<td>Anti-error device management level D11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Production staffing level D12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Production staff training level D13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Device Management component C5</td>
<td>Equipment accident level D14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Device file system level D15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Technical support component C6</td>
<td>Executive management system level D16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Technology safeguards level D17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Repair and test equipment level D18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to improve the safety regulatory level B3</td>
<td>Organizational security component C7</td>
<td>Security responsibilities system level D19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>System security component C8</td>
<td>Safety supervision organization security level D20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Implementation force component C9</td>
<td>Safety system protection level D21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Security system executive level D22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to optimize the power supply safety B4</td>
<td>Optimization component C10</td>
<td>Urban grid structure optimization level D23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Communication and coordination component C11</td>
<td>Security electricity supply level D24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Power quality index level D25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Emergency repair component C12</td>
<td>Communication and coordination grid scheduling level D26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Power facilities protection and coordination level D27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to improve safety in production environments B5</td>
<td>Cultural support component C13</td>
<td>Materials and equipment protection level D28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Facilities component C14</td>
<td>Repair tissue security level D29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Safety culture construction level D30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Standardization construction level D31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Qualified safety level D32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Evaluation Method

As the power enterprise security management is a complex integrated qualitative and quantitative indicators, this paper proposes the use of systems engineering AHP and gray combination of theoretical methods to study the power enterprise security management capabilities hierarchical
structure and their relationships.

Combining with the actual situation of power companies, the security management capacity assessment should consider the total level layer, feature layer, discriminating layer, and index layer. In AHP, the value of different levels of decision-making power is calculated by using the gray theory. Power enterprise security management capabilities specific assessment steps are as follows:

(1) Determine the hierarchical structure of the enterprise security management capabilities Index System. To determine the safety management capacity indicators would need to follow the scientific, practical, feasible, rationality and the principle of comparability, Table 1 shows the level of power enterprise security management capability evaluation index system.

(2) Calculate an evaluation index system for the combination of the underlying elements of target weight. In index system, the main index layer is \( A = (B_1, B_2, B_3, B_4, B_5) \), and its weight is \( a = (b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5) \). In B level index layer, \( B_1 = (C_1, C_2, C_3) \), and its weight is \( b_1 = (c_1, c_2, c_3) \); \( B_2 = (C_4, C_5, C_6) \), and its weight is \( b_2 = (c_4, c_5, c_6) \); \( B_3 = (C_7, C_8, C_9) \), and its weight is \( b_3 = (c_7, c_8, c_9) \); \( B_4 = (C_{10}, C_{11}, C_{12}) \), and its weight is \( b_4 = (c_{10}, c_{11}, c_{12}) \); \( B_5 = (C_{13}, C_{14}) \), and its weight is \( b_5 = (c_{13}, c_{14}) \). In C level index layer, \( C_1 = (D_1, D_2, D_3, D_4) \), and its weight is \( c_1 = (d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4) \); \( C_2 = (D_5, D_6, D_7, D_8) \), and its weight is \( c_2 = (d_5, d_6, d_7, d_8) \). And so on, all of the parameter values can be obtained. Then, calculate the feature vectors of A, B and C. The feature vector component is the corresponding element of the relative weight of the upper element of weight.

The combination weight from underlying elements to target weight \( W = (w_1, w_2, L, w_{32}) \) is obtained through multiplying the weight of each layers, such as \( w_1 = b_1 \cdot c_1 \cdot d_1 \), \( w_2 = b_1 \cdot c_1 \cdot d_2 \), \( w_{32} = b_5 \cdot c_{14} \cdot d_{32} \).

(3) Calculate the evaluation index value matrix \( D_{JI}^{(4)} (A=1,2,L,32) \).

\[
D_{JI}^{(4)} = \begin{bmatrix}
\mathbf{L} & \mathbf{d}^{(4)}_{i1} & \mathbf{d}^{(4)}_{i2} & \cdots & \mathbf{d}^{(4)}_{ij} \\
\mathbf{d}^{(4)}_{j1} & \mathbf{L} & \mathbf{d}^{(4)}_{j2} & \cdots & \mathbf{d}^{(4)}_{jk} \\
\mathbf{d}^{(4)}_{k1} & \mathbf{d}^{(4)}_{k2} & \mathbf{L} & \cdots & \mathbf{d}^{(4)}_{kl} \\
\mathbf{d}^{(4)}_{l1} & \mathbf{d}^{(4)}_{l2} & \mathbf{d}^{(4)}_{l3} & \cdots & \mathbf{L}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Where \( D_{JI}^{(4)} \) is the A-th evaluation indicator value matrix of J-th power enterprise assessed by I-th evaluator.

(4) Determine the evaluation gray class. Set \( K = 4 \), namely there are four gray classes which includes "Excellent", "Good", "Medium" and "Poor". Their corresponding gray number and whitening weight function are as follows:

The first gray class, "Excellent", \( (K=1) \), set gray number as \( \mathcal{O}1 \in [9, \infty] \), whitening weight function as \( f_1 \):

\[
f_1(d_{ji}) = \begin{cases}
\frac{9}{d_{ji}}, & d_{ji} \in [0,9] \\
1, & d_{ji} \in [9,\infty) \\
0, & d_{ji} \in (-\infty,0]
\end{cases}
\]

(2)

The second gray class, "Good", \( (K=2) \), set gray number as \( \mathcal{O}2 \in [0.7,14] \), whitening weight function as \( f_2 \):

\[
f_2(d_{ji}) = \begin{cases}
\frac{7}{d_{ji}}, & d_{ji} \in [0,7] \\
2 - \frac{d_{ji}}{7}, & d_{ji} \in [7,14] \\
0, & d_{ji} \notin [0,14]
\end{cases}
\]

(3)
The third gray class, "Medium", \((K = 3)\), set gray number as \(\ominus 3 \in [0.5, 10]\), whitening weight function as \(f_3: \)
\[
\begin{align*}
d_j &\leq 5, \quad d_j \in [0, 5] \\
2 - d_j &\leq 5, \quad d_j \in [5, 10] \\
0 &\leq d_j \notin [0, 10]
\end{align*}
\]
(4)

The fourth gray class, "Poor", \((K = 4)\), set gray number as \(\ominus 4 \in [0, 1, 4]\), whitening weight function as \(f_4: \)
\[
\begin{align*}
1 &\leq d_j \in [0, 1] \\
(4 - d_j) / 3 &\leq d_j \in [1, 4] \\
0 &\leq d_j \notin [0, 4]
\end{align*}
\]
(5)

(5) Calculate the gray evaluation coefficient. The K-th class gray evaluation coefficient of A-th index of the J-th power enterprise can be obtained from \(D_{ji}^{(a)}\) and \(f_k(d_{ji})\), which is defined as \(n_{jk}^{(a)}:\)
\[
n_{jk}^{(a)} = \sum_{i=1}^{4} f_k(d_{jk}^{(a)})
\]
(6)

For the evaluation index A, commentary by power companies belonging to J total ash gray evaluate coefficients of each assessment category \(n_{j}^{(a)}\), there are:
\[
n_{j}^{(a)} = \sum_{k=1}^{4} n_{jk}^{(a)}
\]
(7)

(6) Calculate the gray evaluation weight vector and weight matrix. The K-th gray class of J-th power enterprise of them can be obtained from \(n_{jk}^{(a)}\) and \(n_{j}^{(a)}\), and are defined as \(r_{jk}^{(a)}\) and \(r_{j}^{(a)}:\)
\[
r_{jk}^{(a)} = n_{jk}^{(a)} / n_{j}^{(a)}
\]
(8)
\[
r_{j}^{(a)} = \{r_{j1}^{(a)}, r_{j2}^{(a)}, \ldots, r_{jk}^{(a)}\}
\]
(9)

Moreover, the gray evaluation weight matrix of evaluation index A from all power enterprises \(R^{(a)} = \{r_{j}^{(a)}\}\) can be calculated.
\[
R^{(a)} = \begin{bmatrix} r_{11}^{(a)} & r_{12}^{(a)} & r_{13}^{(a)} & r_{14}^{(a)} \\
r_{21}^{(a)} & r_{22}^{(a)} & r_{23}^{(a)} & r_{24}^{(a)} \\
r_{31}^{(a)} & r_{32}^{(a)} & r_{33}^{(a)} & r_{34}^{(a)} \\
r_{41}^{(a)} & r_{42}^{(a)} & r_{43}^{(a)} & r_{44}^{(a)} \end{bmatrix}
\]
(10)

(7) Evaluate different evaluation index. From \(R^{(a)}\), it can get \(r_{jk}^{\text{eval}} = \max_{j} \{r_{jk}^{(a)}\}\). Then, the index evaluation weight vector can be obtained:
\[
r_{j}^{\text{eval}} = \{r_{j1}^{\text{eval}}, r_{j2}^{\text{eval}}, \ldots, r_{jk}^{\text{eval}}\}
\]
(11)

According to the results of \(r_{j}^{\text{eval}}\), the power enterprise gray class of different indicators can be obtained.

(8) Comprehensively evaluate the power enterprise security management capabilities. Arrange \((A = 1, 2, 3, 32)\) into the matrix \(r\) which includes 32 rows and J arrays. \(r^{*}\) is defined as the comprehensive evaluation weight matrix. Compute \(r_j = W \times r^{*}\), we can obtain the comprehensive evaluation value \(r_j\). Depending on the size of the assessed value of the merits of the order can be discharged by the power companies comprehensive assessment of all indicators after the enterprise security management capabilities.
Through the implementation of power safety management evaluation, the following goals can be achieved: (1) Establish the enterprise security management evaluation index system database and achieve computer management. (2) Establish enterprise security management capacity factor index analysis system and achieve the targets longitudinal, transverse and comparative dynamic analysis. (3) Provide security control dynamics analysis system. Through varying the intensity of security control, time and direction, analyze developments in enterprise security management capabilities.

Conclusions

The Analysis and evaluation of power corporate security management is using modern theory and methods to comprehensively analyze the factors affecting the safety of power enterprises. Use theoretical methods and safety system engineering theory and analyze the relationship between the factors of power between enterprise security systems, establish the electricity enterprise security management evaluation system. Establishing a scientific and objective electricity enterprise security management evaluation system is not only to provide decision-making reference for enterprises to develop scientific and reasonable security strategy, but also can improve the optimal allocation of the level of corporate security resource inputs and help enterprises integrate resources, improve enterprise security management level.
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