Numerical Simulation of The Influence of Steep Coal Seam Mining to Roof Fault Activation
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Abstract. The formation of a certain mine is the overturned stratum. The roof of coal seam is the limestone aquifer, which is cut by the normal fault. The deep mining of steep inclined coal seam is easy to cause the activation of fault and conduct limestone aquifer, resulting in water inrush accident. Facing the increasing of mining depth, this paper studies the effect of deep mining on fault activation in steep coal seam with FLAC\textsuperscript{3D} numerical simulation and linear regression method and summarizes the relationship between mining depth of steeply inclined coal seam and the degree of activation of roof fault. It has important engineering significance in predicting and controlling the fault water inrush in the study area.

Introduction

The hydrogeological conditions of the coal mine is very complex in China, a large proportion of water disasters in coal mine are caused by fault activation, which conduct the aquifer near the coal seam [1-5]. Therefore, the study of fault activation law in the process of coal mining is very important to the safety production in coal mine.

In recent years, many researchers have did a lot of research on the effect of fault in the process of coal mining. Liu et al.[6] fixed a design method of fault waterproof pillar, and classified the waterproof coal pillar in waterproof function. Meng et al.[7] revealed the influence of the normal fault to the physical and mechanical properties of coal and the pressure distribution. Peng et al.[8] Used Mohr-Coulomb criteria to calculate the water inrush type of fault dip angle. Jiang et al.[9] did a lot of research of the time and space change law of normal stress and shear stress on the fault contact surface and the movement rule of the both sides of the fault. Chen et al.[10] used the numerical simulation method to study the stress distribution and deformation characteristics of the overlying strata in the heading side of the major fault zone.

The study of the influence of steep coal seam mining to roof fault activation is very less in domestic and overseas at present. This article uses the methods of FLAC3d numerical simulation and linear regression to study the influence of steep coal seam mining to roof fault activation.

The establishment of the model in the study area

Under the action of nappe structure, the strata was overturned in the study area, and the dip angle of the primary mineable coal bed is about 75°, the thickness of the primary mineable coal bed is about 5 m. The roof and floor of the primary mineable coal bed are mudstone and sandy mudstone. A normal fault located in the roof of the primary mineable coal bed, and cuts the aquifer
in limestone. The distance of the normal fault and the primary mineable coal bed is about 200 m. The gap between the fault is 9 m, and the dip angle of the fault is 65°. According to the geological section map in the exploration line and the comprehensive stratigraphic columns in this study area, the FLAC3d mechanical model was established, as shown in Fig.1. The size of the numerical model in group A (length×width×height) is set to 600×400×750m, there are 201560 units and 233751 nodes in this mechanical model.

Coal and rock in model are represented using Mohr–Coulomb plasticity model. The shearyield of zones complies with the Mohr–Coulomb criterion, as shown in Eq. 1.

\[
f_s = \sigma_1 - \sigma_3 \frac{1 + \sin \phi}{1 - \sin \phi} - 2c \sqrt{\frac{1 + \sin \phi}{1 - \sin \phi}}
\] (1)

In the formula: \(\sigma_1\) is the maximum principal stress (MPa); \(\sigma_3\) is the minimum principal stress (MPa); \(\phi\) is the internal friction angle (°); \(c\) is the cohesive force (MPa). When \(fs > 0\), shear failure will happen. Tensile failure of rock mass can be judged according to tensile criteria, when \(\sigma_3 > \sigma_1\), tensile failure will happen.

Horizontal direction constraints was imposed around the perimeter of the model, and the bottom boundary of the model was fixed, the upper boundary of the model was free. The load was imposed on the upper boundary of this model, which was equal to the weight of the overlying strata, 3.58MPa. In the numerical simulation, stress of primary rock was not considered, we only consider the stress caused by gravity of the strata. According to the rock mechanics test data in the study area, the physical and mechanical parameters of rocks were obtained, as shown in Table 1.

### Table 1 Physical mechanical parameters of coal or rocks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rock stratum</th>
<th>Density/Kg · m(^{-3})</th>
<th>Elasticity modulus/GPa</th>
<th>Poisson ratio</th>
<th>Cohesion/MPa</th>
<th>Strength of extension/MPa</th>
<th>Internal friction angle/°</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mudstone</td>
<td>2550</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine sandstone</td>
<td>2750</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandy mudstone</td>
<td>2690</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limestone</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal</td>
<td>1450</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fault</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Modeling scheme

The mining method of this steep coal seam in the study area is from top to bottom horizontal mining, as shown in Fig.2.
In order to study the influence of the mining height to the fault activation and the thickness of the isolation layer to the fault activation. Arrange monitoring points in two walls of the fault every 50 m in the level -100～-400 m, as shown in Fig.1 and Table 2. The mining height is 35 m and the thickness of the isolation layer is 5 m is the initial scheme, and monitor the displacement differential of the upper wall and the footwall in different monitoring points. Adjust the mining height and the isolation layer to the fault respectively, to study the fault activation condition in different schemes. The scheme design as shown in Table 3.

### Table 2 Monitoring location

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monitoring point</th>
<th>Level/m</th>
<th>Monitoring point</th>
<th>Level/m</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-100</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-150</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-200</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-250</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3 Simulation scheme

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modeling scheme</th>
<th>Mining height/m</th>
<th>Thickness of the isolation layer/m</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scheme1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheme2</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheme3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Simulation Results and Analysis

According to the actual situation of the coal seam mining in the study area, we simulated the production process of the coal seam in the level of -200 m to -700 m, and monitored the displacement differential of the upper wall and the footwall in different levels. The activation condition of the fault was judged by calculating the displacement differential of two walls of the fault.

**Scheme 1.** In the process of coal seam mining, the change of the displacement differential of the upper wall and the footwall with the mining depth are shown in Fig.3. In shallow mining in the study area, the horizontal displacement differential and the vertical displacement differential of the upper wall and the footwall is very small, and there is no obvious change. When the mining depth is -560 m, the displacement differential of two walls of the fault in different monitoring points increased at the same time, the tensile failure and the shear failure occurred in the fault zone, the fault start to active. When the mining depth reaches -700 m, the displacement differential of the upper wall and the footwall in the monitoring points reach the maximum values. After the coal seam mining, the horizontal displacement differential and the vertical displacement differential of
the upper wall and the footwall both appeared in the -300 m level, and the horizontal displacement differential is 32.1 mm, the vertical displacement differential is 5.2 mm.

![Fig.3 Displacement differential between the upper wall and the footwall](image1)

**Scheme 2.** When the mining height is 35 m and the thickness of the isolation layer is 10 m, the change of the displacement differential of the upper wall and the footwall with the mining depth are shown in Fig.4. When the mining depth is -600 m, the displacement differential of the upper wall and the footwall start to significantly increase with the mining depth. When the mining depth reaches -700 m, the displacement differential of the upper wall and the footwall in the monitoring points reach the maximum values. After the coal seam mining, the horizontal displacement differential and the vertical displacement differential of the upper wall and the footwall both appeared in the -300 m level, and the horizontal displacement differential is 25.6 mm, the vertical displacement differential is 30.1 mm. The fault activation degree in the scheme 2 is weaker than that in scheme 1.

![Fig.4 Displacement differential between the upper wall and the footwall after increasing the height of the partition coal pillars](image2)

**Scheme 3.** When the mining height is 30 m and the thickness of the isolation layer is 5 m, the change of the displacement differential of the upper wall and the footwall with the mining depth are shown in Fig.5. When the mining depth is -600 m, the fault start to active. After the coal seam mining, the horizontal displacement differential and the vertical displacement differential of the upper wall and the footwall both appeared in the -300 m level, and the horizontal displacement differential is 6.3 mm, the vertical displacement differential is 12.2 mm. The fault activation degree
in the scheme 3 is weakest of the three schemes, therefore the decrease of the mining height can effectively reduce the activation of the fault.

![Displacement differential between the upper wall and the footwall after decreasing the height of the working face](image)

**Fig.5** Displacement differential between the upper wall and the footwall after decreasing the height of the working face

According to the result of three kinds of simulation schemes, there is a range of mining depth in the mining steep coal seam. When the mining depth reaches this range, the fault in coal seam roof start to active. In the study area, when the mining depth reaches -570 m, the fault in coal seam roof start to active.

**Table 4** The regression relationship between the displacement differential (horizontal and vertical) and the mining elevation in monitoring point 5 after fault activation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modeling scheme</th>
<th>The regression relation of $y_1$ and $x$</th>
<th>The regression relation of $y_2$ and $x$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scheme 1</td>
<td>$y_1 = (-0.2339x - 134.53)/100$</td>
<td>$y_2 = (-0.3587x - 201.2)/1000$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheme 2</td>
<td>$y_1 = (-0.22x - 128.07)/1000$</td>
<td>$y_2 = (-0.2486x - 142.24)/1000$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheme 3</td>
<td>$y_1 = (-0.0695x - 42.29)/1000$</td>
<td>$y_2 = (-0.1195x - 71.15)/1000$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: $y_1$ is horizontal displacement; $y_2$ is vertical displacement; $x$ is mining elevation.

It can be seen from the Table 4, after the start of the activation of the fault, there are linear relationships between the displacement difference in horizontal direction and the mining level, and between the displacement difference in vertical direction and the mining level. The absolute value of regression coefficient in scheme 3 is the minimum in the three schemes, we can come to the conclusion that the decrease of the mining height can reduce the displacement differential of the upper wall and the footwall, and can also reduce the influence of the mining depth to fault activation.

**Conclusions**

(1) When mining the shallow coal seam in three kinds of simulation scenario, the displacement differential of the upper wall and the footwall in different levels is very small in both horizontal direction and vertical direction, and the change is not obvious, there is little affected by coal seam mining in the fault.

(2) According to the simulation results, the activation degree of the fault increased by the increase of the mining level, the maximum displacement differential of the upper wall and the footwall appeared in the monitoring point 5 in the level of -300 m.

(3) Increase the thickness of the isolation layer and decrease the mining height can decrease the displacement differential of the upper wall and the footwall.
(4) The regression equations of the translocation of the upper wall and the footwall in the monitoring point 5 of the three schemes were established, through the analysis of the regression equations, we can come to the conclusion that the decrease of the mining height can reduce the displacement differential of the upper wall and the footwall, and can also reduce the influence of the mining depth to fault activation.
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