

Strong convergence theorems for k -strictly pseudo-contractive mapping in Hilbert spaces

Qiuxia Lu^{1,a}, Yan Hao^{2,b}

^{1,2} School of Mathematics, Physics and Information Science, Zhejiang Ocean University,
Key Laboratory of Oceanographic Big Data Mining & Application of Zhejiang Province,
Zhoushan, Zhejiang 316022, China

^a535645272@qq.com, ^bhaoyanmao@126.com

Keywords: Fixed point; Iterative method; k -strictly pseudo-contractive mapping

Abstract: In this paper, we introduce a general iterative algorithm and prove strong convergence theorems for a non-self k -strictly pseudo-contractive mappings in Hilbert spaces. Our results improve and extend the corresponding results announced by many others.

Introduction and Preliminaries

Let K be a nonempty subset of a Hilbert space H . Recall that a mapping $T : K \rightarrow H$ is said to be a k -strictly pseudo-contractive if there exists a constant $k \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$\|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 + k \|(I - T)x - (I - T)y\|^2 \text{ for all } x, y \in K. \quad (1.1)$$

Note that the class of k -strictly pseudo-contractions includes strictly the class of nonexpansive mapping which are mappings T on K such that

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|, \forall x, y \in K. \quad (1.2)$$

That is, T is nonexpansive if and only if T is 0-strictly pseudo-contractive.

In 2002, Marino and Xu^[1] introduced and considered the following iterative algorithm:

$$\begin{cases} x_0 \in K, \\ x_{n+1} = a_n g f(x_n) + (I - a_n A)Tx_n, \forall n \geq 0. \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

Theorem MX. Let H be a Hilbert space, K be a closed convex subset of H , $T : K \rightarrow K$ be a nonexpansive mapping with $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Let A be a strong positive bounded linear operator on K with coefficient \bar{g} and $f : K \rightarrow K$ be a contraction with the contractive coefficient $(0 < a < 1)$ such that

$0 < g < \frac{\bar{g}}{a}$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in K generated by (1.3). Then, under the hypotheses

(i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$, (ii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = \infty$ and (iii) either $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_{n+1} - a_n| < \infty$ or $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} = 1$,

$\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to a fixed point q of T , which is the unique solution of the following variational inequality related to the linear operator A :

$$\langle (A - gf)q, q - p \rangle \leq 0, \forall p \in F(T).$$

In this paper, motivated by Marino and Xu, we introduce a general iterative and prove strong convergence theorems for k -strictly pseudo-contractive mappings in Hilbert spaces. Our results improve and extend the corresponding ones announced by many others.

Throughout this paper, we use $F(T)$ to denote the fixed point set of the mapping T and P_K to denote the metric projection of a Hilbert space H onto a closed convex subset K of H . Recall that a self-mapping $f : K \rightarrow K$ is a contraction on K if there exists a constant $a \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\|f(x) - f(y)\| \leq a \|x - y\|, \forall x, y \in K. \quad (1.4)$$

In order to prove our main results, we need the following definitions and lemmas.

Lemma 1.1^[2] If T is a k -strictly pseudo-contraction on a closed convex subset of K of a real Hilbert space H , then the fixed point set $F(T)$ is closed convex so that the projection $P_{F(T)}$ is well defined.

Lemma 1.2^[2] Let H be a Hilbert space, K be a closed convex subset of H . Let $T : K \rightarrow H$ be a k -strictly pseudo-contractive mapping with $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Then $F(P_K T) = F(T)$.

Lemma 1.3^[2] Let $T : K \rightarrow H$ be a k -strictly pseudo-contraction. Define $S : K \rightarrow H$ by $Sx = Ix + (1-I)Tx$ for each $x \in K$. Then, as $I \in [k, 1)$, S is a nonexpansive mapping such that $F(S) = F(T)$.

Lemma 1.4^[3] Assume that $\{a_n\}$ is a sequence of nonnegative real numbers such that $a_{n+1} \leq (1-g_n)a_n + d_n, \forall n \geq 0$. where $\{g_n\}$ is a sequence in $(0, 1)$ and $\{d_n\}$ is a sequence such that

(i) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n = \infty$; (ii) $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d_n}{g_n} \leq 0$ or $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |d_n| < \infty$, Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$.

Lemma 1.5^[4]. Let H be a real Hilbert space, the following inequality holds

$$\|x + y\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 + 2\langle y, x + y \rangle, \forall x, y \in H.$$

Main results

Theorem 2.1. Let K be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H and $T : K \rightarrow H$ be a k -strictly pseudo-contractive mapping with a common fixed point for some $0 \leq k < 1$. Let $f : K \rightarrow K$ be a contraction with the contractive coefficient $(0 < a < 1)$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in K generated in the following manner:

$$\begin{cases} x_1 \in K, \\ x_{n+1} = a_n f(x_n) + (1-a_n)P_K Sx_n, \forall n \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

where $S : K \rightarrow H$ is a mapping defined by $Sx = Ix + (1-I)Tx$. If the control sequence $\{a_n\}$ satisfies the following conditions: (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$; (ii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = \infty$; (iii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_{n+1} - a_n| < \infty$. Then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to a fixed point q of T , which solves the following variational inequality:

$$\langle f(q) - q, p - q \rangle \leq 0, \forall p \in F(T).$$

Proof. From Lemma 1.3, we know that the mapping $S : K \rightarrow H$ is a nonexpansive mapping and $F(S) = F(T)$. By our assumptions on T , we have $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. By Lemma 1.1, we see

$F(P_K S) = F(S) \neq \emptyset$. Since $P_K : H \rightarrow K$ is a nonexpansive mapping, we conclude that

$P_K S : K \rightarrow K$ is also nonexpansive. Observing the condition (i), we may assume that $a_n < 1$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Taking a point $p \in F(T)$,

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\| &= \|a_n(f(x_n) - p) + (1-a_n)(P_K Sx_n - p)\| \\ &\leq (1-a_n)\|P_K Sx_n - p\| + a_n\|f(x_n) - p\| \\ &\leq [1-a_n(1-a)]\|x_n - p\| + a_n\|f(p) - p\|. \end{aligned}$$

By simple inductions, we have $\|x_n - p\| \leq \max \left\{ \|x_0 - p\|, \frac{\|p - f(p)\|}{1-a} \right\}, \forall n \geq 1$,

which yields that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is bounded. On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+2} - x_{n+1} &= (1-a_n)(P_K Sx_{n+1} - P_K Sx_n) - (a_{n+1} - a_n)P_K Sx_n \\ &\quad + [a_{n+1}(f(x_{n+1}) - f(x_n)) + f(x_n)(a_{n+1} - a_n)]. \end{aligned}$$

which yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+2} - x_{n+1}\| &\leq (1 - a_{n+1})\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + |a_{n+1} - a_n| \|P_K Sx_n\| \\
&\quad + [a_{n+1} a \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|] + \|f(x_n)\| \|a_{n+1} - a_n\| \\
&\leq [1 - a_{n+1} (1 - a)] \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + |a_{n+1} - a_n| M_1,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where M_1 is an appropriate constant such that $M_1 \geq \sup_{n \geq 1} \{\|P_K Sx_n\| + \|f(x_n)\|\}$.

Noticing the condition (i), (ii) and (iii) and apply Lemma (1.4) to (2.1),

$$\text{we have } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

Notice that $\|x_n - P_K Sx_n\| \leq \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \|x_{n+1} - P_K Sx_n\| \leq \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + a_n \|f(x_n) - P_K Sx_n\|$.

$$\text{It follows from the condition (i) and (2.2) that } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - P_K Sx_n\| = 0. \tag{2.3}$$

Next we claim that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f(q) - q, x_n - q \rangle \leq 0$,

$$(2.4)$$

where $q = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} x_t$ with x_t being the fixed point of the contraction $x \mapsto tf(x) + (1-t)P_K Sx$.

Then x_t solves the fixed point equation $x_t = tf(x_t) + (1-t)P_K Sx_t$. Thus we have

$$\|x_t - x_n\| = \|(1-t)(P_K Sx_t - x_n) + t(f(x_t) - x_n)\|.$$

It follows from the Lemma 1.5 that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_t - x_n\|^2 &= \|(1-t)(P_K Sx_t - x_n) + t(f(x_t) - x_n)\|^2 \\
&\leq (1-t)^2 \|P_K Sx_t - x_n\|^2 + 2t \langle f(x_t) - x_n, x_t - x_n \rangle \\
&\leq (1-2t+t^2) \|x_t - x_n\|^2 + f_n(t) + 2t \langle f(x_t) - x_t, x_t - x_n \rangle + 2t \langle x_t - x_n, x_t - x_n \rangle,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

$$\text{where } f_n(t) = (2\|x_t - x_n\| + \|x_n - P_K Sx_n\|) \|x_n - P_K Sx_n\| \rightarrow 0 (n \rightarrow \infty). \tag{2.6}$$

$$\text{and } \langle x_t - x_n, x_t - x_n \rangle = \|x_t - x_n\|^2. \tag{2.7}$$

Combining (2.5) and (2.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
2t \langle x_t - f(x_t), x_t - x_n \rangle &\leq (t^2 - 2t) \|x_t - x_n\|^2 + f_n(t) + 2t \langle x_t - x_n, x_t - x_n \rangle \\
&\leq t^2 \|x_t - x_n\|^2 + f_n(t)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{It follows that } \langle x_t - f(x_t), x_t - x_n \rangle \leq \frac{t}{2} \|x_t - x_n\|^2 + \frac{1}{2t} f_n(t). \tag{2.8}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.8) and noting (2.6) yields

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_t - f(x_t), x_t - x_n \rangle \leq \frac{t}{2} M_2, \tag{2.9}$$

where $M_2 > 0$ is a constant such that $M_2 \geq \|x_t - x_n\|^2$ for all $t \in (0, 1)$ and $n \geq 1$. Taking $t \rightarrow 0$ in (2.9), we

$$\text{have } \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_t - f(x_t), x_t - x_n \rangle \leq 0. \tag{2.10}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle f(q) - q, x_n - q \rangle &= \langle f(q) - q, x_n - q \rangle - \langle f(q) - q, x_n - x_t \rangle \\
&\quad + \langle f(q) - q, x_n - x_t \rangle - \langle f(q) - x_t, x_n - x_t \rangle \\
&\quad + \langle f(q) - x_t, x_n - x_t \rangle - \langle f(x_t) - x_t, x_n - x_t \rangle + \langle f(x_t) - x_t, x_n - x_t \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f(q) - q, x_n - q \rangle \leq \|f(q) - q\| \|x_t - q\| + \|x_t - q\| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x_t\|$$

$$+a \|q - x_t\| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x_t\| + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f(x_t) - x_t, x_n - x_t \rangle.$$

Therefore, from (2.10), it follows that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f(q) - q, x_n - q \rangle = \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f(q) - q, x_n - q \rangle \leq 0.$$

Hence (2.4) holds. Now from the Lemma 1.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - q\|^2 &= \|(1 - a_n)(P_K Sx_n - q) + a_n(f(x_n) - q)\|^2 \\ &\leq (1 - a_n)^2 \|x_n - q\|^2 + a_n a \left(\|x_n - q\|^2 + \|x_{n+1} - q\|^2 \right) + 2a_n \langle f(q) - q, x_{n+1} - q \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - q\|^2 &\leq \frac{(1 - a_n)^2 + a_n a}{1 - a_n a} \|x_n - q\|^2 + \frac{2a_n}{1 - a_n a} \langle f(q) - q, x_{n+1} - q \rangle \\ &\leq \left[1 - \frac{2a_n(1 - a)}{1 - a_n a} \right] \|x_n - q\|^2 + \frac{2a_n(1 - a)}{1 - a_n a} \left[\frac{1}{1 - a} \langle f(q) - q, x_{n+1} - q \rangle + \frac{a_n}{2(1 - a)} M_3 \right], \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

where M_3 is an appropriate constant such that $M_3 \geq \sup_{n \geq 1} \{\|x_n - q\|^2\}$.

$$\text{Put } j_n = \frac{2a_n(1 - a)}{1 - a_n a} \text{ and } t_n = \frac{1}{1 - a} \langle f(q) - q, x_{n+1} - q \rangle + \frac{a_n}{2(1 - a)} M_3.$$

$$\text{Then we have } \|x_{n+1} - q\|^2 \leq (1 - j_n) \|x_n - q\|^2 + j_n t_n. \quad (2.13)$$

It follows from the conditions (i), (ii) and (2.4) that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} j_n = 0$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} j_n = \infty$, $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n \leq 0$.

Therefore, applying Lemma 1.4 to (2.13), we have $x_n \rightarrow q$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof.

References

- [1] H.K. Xu, Another control condition in an iterative method for nonexpansive mappings, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 65 (2002) 109–113.
- [2] H.K. Xu, An iterative approach to quadratic optimization, J. Optim. Theory Appl. 116 (2003) 659–678.
- [3] B.E. Rhoades, Fixed point iterations using infinite matrices, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 196 (1974) 162–176.
- [4] S. Reich, Weak convergence theorems for nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 67 (1979) 274–276.